arXiv:1208.0926v3 [math.CA] 6 Sep 2012

Topics in Fourier Analysis

Stephen Semmes
Rice University

Preface

Of course, the most classical versions of Fourier analysis deal with functions on the circle and the real line, as well as their higher-dimensional counterparts. At the same time, there are more "fractal" types of situations, such as infinite products of cyclic groups, p-adic numbers, and solenoids. An overview of some of the relevant notions is given here, with examples like these especially in mind. In particular, although many cases have a lot of features in common, there is also some nice variety in other ways.

Contents

1 Preliminaries 1

- 1.1 Real and complex numbers 1
- 1.2 The complex exponential function 2
- 1.3 Metrics and norms 3
- 1.4 Inner product spaces 4
- 1.5 Orthogonal vectors 5
- 1.6 Orthogonal sequences 7
- 1.7 Minimizing distances 8
- 1.8 Summable functions 10
- 1.9 p-Summability 12
- 1.10 Square-summability 14
- 1.11 Bounded linear functionals 16
- 1.12 Bounded linear mappings 18
- 1.13 Double sums 21
- 1.14 Continuous functions 23
- 1.15 Double integrals 24
- 1.16 Ultrametrics 26

2 Fourier series 29

- 2.1 Basic notions 29
- 2.2 Abel sums 31
- 2.3 The Poisson kernel 32
- 2.4 Continuous functions 34
- 2.5 Integrable functions 35
- 2.6 Borel measures 37
- 2.7 Absolutely convergent series 39
- 2.8 Holomorphic functions 41

3 Topological groups 43

- 3.1 Definitions and basic properties 43
- 3.2 Metrizability 46
- 3.3 Uniform continuity 48
- 3.4 Haar measure 50
- 3.5 Left and right translations 52

3.6 Compact subgroups 54
3.7 Additional properties 55
3.8 Quotient spaces 57
3.9 Invariant measures 60
3.10 Semimetrics 62
3.11 Connectedness 64
3.12 Homeomorphism groups 65
3.13 Non-compact spaces 68
3.14 Groups of isometries 70

4 Commutative groups 74
4.1 Homomorphisms into **R** 74
4.2 Homomorphisms into **T** 76
4.3 Finite abelian groups 78
4.4 Discrete groups 80
4.5 Compact groups 82
4.6 Locally compact groups 84
4.7 Equicontinuity 85
4.8 Local compactness of $\widehat{A}$ 86

5 The Fourier transform 88
5.1 Integrable functions 88
5.2 Complex Borel measures 89
5.3 Vanishing at infinity 90
5.4 Convolution of integrable functions 92
5.5 Convolution of other functions 93
5.6 Convolution of measures 95
5.7 Functions and measures 98

6 Banach algebras 102
6.1 Definitions and examples 102
6.2 Invertibility 103
6.3 Spectrum and spectral radius 105
6.4 Maximal ideals and homomorphisms 108
6.5 Homomorphisms on $L^1(A)$ 109
6.6 The weak* topology 111
6.7 Comparing topologies on $\widehat{A}$ 113

7 Operators on L^2 115
7.1 Convolution operators 115
7.2 Simultaneous eigenfunctions 116
7.3 Non-compact groups 118
7.4 Some connections with duality 119

8 p-Adic numbers 122
8.1 The p-adic absolute value . . . 122
8.2 Completion . . . 123
8.3 p-Adic integers . . . 124
8.4 Haar measure on $\mathbf{Q}_p$ . . . 125
8.5 $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$ . . . 126
8.6 Coherent sequences . . . 127
8.7 Characters . . . 128

9 r-Adic integers and solenoids 131
9.1 r-Adic absolute values . . . 131
9.2 r-Adic integers . . . 132
9.3 Some solenoids . . . 134
9.4 Complexification . . . 136

10 Compactifications 138
10.1 Compactifications and duality . . . 138
10.2 Almost periodic functions . . . 139
10.3 Spaces of continuous functions . . . 142
10.4 The Bohr compactification . . . 144

11 Completeness 146
11.1 Directed systems and nets . . . 146
11.2 Cauchy sequences and nets . . . 147
11.3 Completeness and compactness . . . 148
11.4 Continuous functions . . . 149
11.5 Filters . . . 152
11.6 Refinements . . . 154
11.7 Ultrafilters . . . 157
11.8 Equicontinuity . . . 159

Bibliography 161

Chapter 1

Preliminaries

1.1 Real and complex numbers

Remember that the *absolute value* $|x|$ of a real number x is defined to be equal to x when $x \geq 0$ and to $-x$ when $x \leq 0$. Thus $|x| \geq 0$ for every x in the real line $\mathbf{R}$, and $|x| = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$. It is easy to see that

$$|x + y| \leq |x| + |y| \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$|x\,y| = |x|\,|y| \tag{1.2}$$

for every $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$.

A complex number z can be expressed as $x + y\,i$, where x and y are real numbers, and $i^2 = -1$. More precisely, x and y are known as the *real* and *imaginary* parts of z, which may be denoted $\operatorname{Re} z$ and $\operatorname{Im} z$, respectively. The *complex conjugate* of z is defined by

$$\overline{z} = x - y\,i, \tag{1.3}$$

and it is easy to see that

$$\overline{z + w} = \overline{z} + \overline{w} \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$\overline{z\,w} = \overline{z}\,\overline{w} \tag{1.5}$$

for every z, w in the complex plane $\mathbf{C}$.

The *modulus* of a complex number $z = x + y\,i$, $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$, is defined by

$$|z| = (x^2 + y^2)^{1/2}. \tag{1.6}$$

Note that this reduces to the absolute value of z when $y = 0$, and that $|z|$ is the same as the standard Euclidean norm of $(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2$ for every $z \in \mathbf{C}$. In particular, it is well known that

$$|z + w| \leq |z| + |w| \tag{1.7}$$

for every $z, w \in \mathbf{C}$. One can also check that

$$|z|^2 = z\,\overline{z} \tag{1.8}$$

for every $z \in \mathbf{C}$, which implies that

$$|z\,w| = |z|\,|w| \tag{1.9}$$

for every $z, w \in \mathbf{C}$, by (1.5).

1.2 The complex exponential function

The complex exponential function is defined for each $z \in \mathbf{C}$ by

$$\exp z = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{j!}, \tag{1.10}$$

where z^j is interpreted as being equal to 1 for each z when $j = 0$. As usual, $j!$ is "j factorial", the product of the integer from 1 to j, and interpreted as being equal to 1 when $j = 0$. It is easy to see that this series converges absolutely for each $z \in \mathbf{C}$, using the ratio test or by comparison with geometric series. This implies that the partial sums converge uniformly on bounded subsets of $\mathbf{C}$, and hence that $\exp z$ is a continuous function on $\mathbf{C}$.

If w is another complex number, then

$$(\exp z)\,(\exp w) = \Big(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{j!}\Big)\,\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{w^k}{k!}\Big) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Big(\sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{z^j\,w^{n-j}}{j!\,(n-j)!}\Big), \tag{1.11}$$

using the standard expression for the product of two infinite series in terms of Cauchy products in the second step. More precisely, it is well known that the absolute convergence of the original series for the exponential function implies the absolute convergence of the Cauchy product series, and that the sum of the Cauchy product series is the product of the original series. The binomial theorem implies that

$$(w+z)^n = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{n!}{j!\,(n-j)!}\, z^j\, w^{n-j}, \tag{1.12}$$

for every $z, w \in \mathbf{C}$, so that

$$(\exp z)\,(\exp w) = \exp(z+w). \tag{1.13}$$

If we take $w = -z$ in (1.13), then we get that

$$(\exp z)\,(\exp(-z)) = \exp 0 = 1 \tag{1.14}$$

for every $z \in \mathbf{C}$. In particular, $\exp z \neq 0$ for each $z \in \mathbf{C}$. Note that $\exp x$ is a real number when x lies in the real line $\mathbf{R}$. It is easy to see that $\exp x \geq 1$ when

$x \geq 0$, and indeed that $\exp x$ is strictly increasing on the set of nonnegative real numbers. Since $\exp(-x) = 1/(\exp x)$, as in (1.14), we get that $0 < \exp x \leq 1$ when $x \leq 0$, and that $\exp x$ is strictly increasing on all of $\mathbf{R}$.

Observe that

$$\overline{\exp z} = \exp \overline{z} \tag{1.15}$$

for every $z \in \mathbf{C}$, because of (1.4) and (1.5). Similarly,

$$|\exp z|^2 = (\exp z)\,(\exp \overline{z}) = \exp(z + \overline{z}) = \exp(2\,\mathrm{Re}\, z), \tag{1.16}$$

for every $z \in \mathbf{C}$, because of (1.5) and (1.13).

1.3 Metrics and norms

A *metric space* is a set M together with a nonnegative real-valued function $d(x, y)$ defined for $x, y \in M$ such that $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,

$$d(x, y) = d(y, x) \tag{1.17}$$

for every $x, y \in M$, and

$$d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z) \tag{1.18}$$

for every $x, y, z \in M$. Similarly, a *norm* on a vector space V over the real or complex numbers is a nonnegative real-valued function $\|v\|$ on V such that $\|v\| = 0$ if and only if $v = 0$,

$$\|t\,v\| = |t|\,\|v\| \tag{1.19}$$

for every $v \in V$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$, as appropriate, and

$$\|v + w\| \leq \|v\| + \|w\| \tag{1.20}$$

for every $v, w \in V$. Here $|t|$ denotes the absolute value of $t \in \mathbf{R}$ in the real case, and the modulus of $t \in \mathbf{C}$ in the complex case. If $\|v\|$ is a norm on V, then it is easy to see that

$$d(v, w) = \|v - w\| \tag{1.21}$$

defines a metric on V.

Of course, the real line may be considered as a 1-dimensional real vector space, and the absolute value function defines a norm on $\mathbf{R}$. The complex plane may also be considered as a 1-dimensional complex vector space, and the modulus defines a norm on $\mathbf{C}$. The corresponding metrics are the standard Euclidean metrics on $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{C}$, respectively.

Let V be a real or complex vector space with a norm $\|v\|$ again. An infinite series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} v_j$ with terms $v_j \in V$ is said to *converge* in V with respect to a norm $\|v\|$ if the corresponding sequence of partial sums $\sum_{j=1}^{n} v_j$ converges in V as $n \to \infty$ with respect to the metric (1.21) associated to the norm, in which case the sum $\sum_{j=1}^\infty v_j$ is defined to be the limit of the partial sums. Similarly, $\sum_{j=1}^\infty v_j$ is said to converge *absolutely* with respect to $\|v\|$ if

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty \|v_j\| \tag{1.22}$$

converges as an infinite series of nonnegative real numbers. As in the classical situation of real or complex numbers, one can check that the sequence of partial sums $\sum_{j=1}^n v_j$ of an absolutely convrergent series in V is a Cauchy sequence with respect to the associated metric (1.21), using the triangle inequality. If V is complete as a metric space with respect to (1.21), so that every Cauchy sequence of elements of V converges to an element of V, then V is said to be a *Banach space* with respect to the norm $\|v\|$. Thus an absolutely convergent series $\sum_{j=1}^\infty v_j$ in a Banach space V converges in V, and it is easy to see that

$$\Big\|\sum_{j=1}^\infty v_j\Big\| \le \sum_{j=1}^\infty \|v_j\|, \tag{1.23}$$

by standard arguments.

1.4 Inner product spaces

Let V be a vector space over the real or complex numbers. An *inner product* on V is a real or complex-valued function $\langle v, w\rangle$, as appropriate, defined for $v, w \in V$, and satisfying the following properties. First, $\langle v, w\rangle$ is a linear function of v for each $w \in W$. Second,

$$\langle w, v\rangle = \langle v, w\rangle \tag{1.24}$$

for every $v, w \in V$ in the real case, and

$$\langle w, v\rangle = \overline{\langle v, w\rangle} \tag{1.25}$$

for every $v, w \in V$ in the complex case. It follows that $\langle v, w\rangle$ is a linear function of w for each $v \in V$ in the real case, and that $\langle v, w\rangle$ is conjugate-linear in w in the complex case. In the complex case, (1.25) also implies that $\langle v, v\rangle$ is a real number for every $v \in V$. The third and last condition is that

$$\langle v, v\rangle > 0 \tag{1.26}$$

for every $v \in V$ with $v \neq 0$. Of course, $\langle v, v\rangle = 0$ when $v = 0$, because of the first condition about linearity.

If $\langle v, w\rangle$ is an inner product on V, then we put

$$\|v\| = \langle v, v\rangle^{1/2} \tag{1.27}$$

for every $v \in V$. The *Cauchy–Schwarz inequality* states that

$$|\langle v, w\rangle| \le \|v\| \, \|w\| \tag{1.28}$$

for every $v, w \in V$. As usual, this can be shown using the fact that

$$\langle v + t\, w, v + t\, w\rangle \ge 0 \tag{1.29}$$

for every $t \in \mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$, as appropriate. Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, one can check that

$$\|v + w\|^2 \le \|v\|^2 + 2\, \|v\| \, \|w\| + \|w\|^2 = (\|v\| + \|w\|)^2 \tag{1.30}$$

for each $v, w \in V$, so that $\| \cdot \|$ satisfies the triangle inequality (1.20). This implies that $\|v\|$ defines a norm on V, since the positivity and homogeneity conditions for a norm follow directly from the definition of an inner product. In particular, (1.21) defines a metric on V, as in the previous section. If V is complete as a metric space with respect to (1.21), then V is said to be a *Hilbert space.*

Let n be a positive integer, and let $\mathbf{R}^n$ and $\mathbf{C}^n$ be the usual spaces of n-tuples of real and complex numbers, respectively. Remember that these are vector spaces with respect to coordinatewise addition and scalar multiplication. The standard inner product on $\mathbf{R}^n$ is defined by

$$\langle v, w\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n v_j \, w_j, \tag{1.31}$$

and the standard inner product on $\mathbf{C}^n$ is defined by

$$\langle v, w\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n v_j \, \overline{w_j}. \tag{1.32}$$

It is easy to see that these do define inner products on $\mathbf{R}^n$ and $\mathbf{C}^n$, for which the corresponding norm

$$\|v\| = \Big(\sum_{j=1}^n |v_j|^2\Big)^{1/2} \tag{1.33}$$

is the standard Euclidean norm.

1.5 Orthogonal vectors

Let V be a real or complex vector space, and let $\langle v, w\rangle$ be an inner product on V. A pair of vectors $v, w \in V$ are said to be *orthogonal* if

$$\langle v, w\rangle = 0, \tag{1.34}$$

which may be also expressed symbolically by $v \perp w$. If v is orthogonal to w in V, then it is easy to see that

$$\|v + w\|^2 = \|v\|^2 + \|w\|^2. \tag{1.35}$$

More precisely, (1.35) is equivalent to the orthgonality of v and w in the real case, and in the complex case (1.35) holds if and only if $\mathrm{Re}\langle v, w\rangle = 0$. Note that a complex vector space V may be considered as a real vector space by forgetting about scalar multiplication by i, and that the real part of an inner product on V as a complex vector space is an inner product on V as a real inner product space, which determines the same norm on V.

Suppose that $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ are finitely many orthonormal vectors in V, so that $v_j \perp v_l$ when $j \neq l$ and $\|v_j\| = 1$ for each j. Let W be the linear subspace of V spanned by $v_1, \ldots, v_n$, and put

$$P_W(v) = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle v, v_j\rangle\, v_j \tag{1.36}$$

for each $v \in V$. Thus P_W defines a linear mapping from V into W, and it is easy to see that $P_W(v_l) = v_l$ for each $l = 1, \ldots, n$, which implies that $P_W(w) = w$ for every $w \in W$. Similarly,

$$\langle P_W(v), v_l\rangle = \langle v, v_l\rangle, \tag{1.37}$$

for each $v \in V$ and $1 \leq l \leq n$, so that $v - P_W(v)$ is orthogonal to v_l for each l. This implies that $(v - P_W(v)) \perp w$ for every $v \in V$ and $w \in W$.

Conversely, let $v \in V$ be given, and suppose that $u \in W$ has the property that $(v - u) \perp w$ for every $w \in W$. Thus $P_W(v) - u \in W$, and

$$P_W(v) - u = (v - u) - (v - P_W(v)) \tag{1.38}$$

is also orthogonal to every $w \in W$. If we apply this to $w = P_W(v) - u$, then we get that $P_W(v) - u$ is orthogonal to itself, and hence is equal to 0. This shows that $P_W(v)$ is uniquely determined by the conditions that $P_W(v) \in W$ and $(v - P_W(v)) \perp w$ for every $w \in W$. In particular, $P_W(v)$ depends only on W, and not on the choice of orthonormal basis $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ of W.

Note that

$$\|v\|^2 = \|P_W(v)\|^2 + \|v - P_W(v)\|^2 \tag{1.39}$$

for each $v \in V$, because $P_W(v) \in W$ and $v - P_W(v)$ is orthogonal to every element of W, so that $P_W(v) \perp (v - P_W(v))$. This implies that

$$\|v\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n |\langle v, v_j\rangle|^2 + \|v - P_W(v)\|^2, \tag{1.40}$$

and hence that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n |\langle v, v_j\rangle|^2 \leq \|v\|^2. \tag{1.41}$$

If $w \in W$, then $(v - P_W(v))$ is also orthogonal to $P_W(v) - w \in W$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v - w\|^2 &= \|(v - P_W(v)) - (P_W(v) - w)\|^2 \\ &= \|v - P_W(v)\|^2 + \|P_W(v) - w\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{1.42}$$

It follows that

$$\|v - w\| \ge \|v - P_W(v)\| \tag{1.43}$$

for each $w \in W$, and that equality holds in (1.43) if and only if $w = P_W(v)$. Thus $P_W(v)$ minimizes the distance to v among elements of W, and is uniquely determined by this property.

1.6 Orthogonal sequences

Let V be a real or complex vector space with an inner product $\langle v, w\rangle$ again, and let $v_1, v_2, v_3, \ldots$ be a sequence of pairwise-orthogonal vectors in V. Note that

$$\Big\|\sum_{j=1}^n v_j\Big\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \|v_j\|^2 \tag{1.44}$$

for each positive integer n, as in (1.35). Thus the partial sums $\sum_{j=1}^n v_j$ of $\sum_{j=1}^\infty v_j$ have bounded norm in V if and only if

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty \|v_j\|^2 \tag{1.45}$$

converges as an infinite series of nonnegative real numbers. In this case, one can check that the partial sums of $\sum_{j=1}^\infty v_j$ form a Cauchy sequence in V with respect to the metric associated to the norm. If V is complete, then it follows that $\sum_{j=1}^\infty v_j$ converges in V, and one can also check that

$$\Big\|\sum_{j=1}^\infty v_j\Big\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \|v_j\|^2. \tag{1.46}$$

Now let $v_1, v_2, v_3, \ldots$ be an infinite sequence of orthonormal vectors in V, and let W_n be the linear span of $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ for each positive integer n. Put

$$P_n(v) = P_{W_n}(v) = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle v, v_j\rangle\, v_j \tag{1.47}$$

for each n, so that

$$\|v\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n |\langle v, v_j\rangle|^2 + \|v - P_n(v)\|^2 \tag{1.48}$$

for each n, as in (1.40). Thus (1.41) holds for each n, which implies that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\langle v, v_j \rangle|^2$ converges as an infinite series of nonnegative real numbers, and satisfies

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\langle v, v_j \rangle|^2 \le \|v\|^2. \tag{1.49}$$

If V is complete, then it follows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle v, v_j \rangle \, v_j \tag{1.50}$$

converges in V, as before.

Observe that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} W_n$ is a linear subspace of V, which is the linear span of the v_j's. Let W be the closure of $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} W_n$ in V with respect to the metric associated to the norm, which is a closed linear subspace of V. Equivalently, $v \in V$ is an element of W if and only if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|v - P_n(v)\| = 0, \tag{1.51}$$

because $P_n(v)$ minimizes the distance from v to W_n for each n, as in (1.43), and $W_n \subseteq W_{n+1}$ for each n. This says exactly that (1.50) converges and is equal to v when $v \in W$, which works whether or not V is complete.

Suppose that (1.50) converges for some $v \in V$, and let the sum be denoted $P(v)$. Thus $P(v) \in W$, and

$$\|P(v)\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\langle v, v_j \rangle|^2 \le \|v\|^2. \tag{1.52}$$

If l is any positive integer, then

$$\langle P(v), v_l \rangle = \lim_{n \to \infty} \langle P_n(v), v_l \rangle = \langle v, v_l \rangle, \tag{1.53}$$

as in (1.37). This implies that $(v - P(v)) \perp v_l$ for each l, and hence that $(v - P(v)) \perp w$ for every $w \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} W_n$, and indeed for every $w \in W$. As in the previous section, $P(v)$ is uniquely determined by the conditions that $P(v) \in W$ and $(v - P(v)) \perp w$ for every $w \in W$. These conditions also imply that the analogues of (1.42) and (1.43) with $P_W(v)$ replaced by $P(v)$ hold for each $w \in W$, as before. Hence $P(v)$ minimizes the distance from v to W, and is also uniquely determined by this property. If V is complete, then $P(v)$ is defined for every $v \in V$, and defines a linear mapping from V onto W.

1.7 Minimizing distances

Let V be a real or complex vector space with an inner product $\langle v, w \rangle$. The *parallelogram law* states that

$$\left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{x-y}{2} \right\|^2 = \frac{\|x\|^2}{2} + \frac{\|y\|^2}{2} \tag{1.54}$$

for every $x, y \in V$. This is easy to check, by expanding the norms in terms of the inner products, and observing that the cross terms cancel. Let W be a linear subspace of V, let v be an element of W, and put

$$r = \inf\{\|v - w\| : w \in W\}. \tag{1.55}$$

Also let z_j be an element of W such that

$$\|v - z_j\| < r + 1/j \tag{1.56}$$

for each positive integer j. Applying the parallelogram law to $x = v - z_j$ and $y = v - z_k$, we get that

$$\left\|v - \frac{z_j + z_k}{2}\right\|^2 + \left\|\frac{z_j - z_k}{2}\right\|^2 = \frac{\|v - z_j\|^2}{2} + \frac{\|v - z_k\|^2}{2} \tag{1.57}$$

for every $j, k \geq 1$. Because $(z_j + z_k)/2 \in W$, we have that

$$\left\|v - \frac{z_j + z_k}{2}\right\| \geq r \tag{1.58}$$

for each j and k, and hence

$$r^2 + \left\|\frac{z_j - z_k}{2}\right\|^2 < \frac{(r + 1/j)^2}{2} + \frac{(r + 1/k)^2}{2}, \tag{1.59}$$

using also (1.56). This implies that

$$\left\|\frac{z_j - z_k}{2}\right\|^2 < r\,(1/j + 1/k) + (1/2)\,(1/j^2 + 1/k^2) \to 0 \tag{1.60}$$

as $j, k \to \infty$, so that $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in V.

Suppose now that V is complete and that W is a closed linear subspace of V, so that $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ converges to an element z of W. By construction,

$$\|v - z\| = r. \tag{1.61}$$

If $w \in W$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$, as appropriate, then $z - t\,w \in W$ too, and hence

$$\|v - z\|^2 \leq \|v - z + t\,w\|^2, \tag{1.62}$$

by the definition (1.55) of r. In the real case, this implies that

$$\|v - z\|^2 \leq \|v - z\|^2 + 2\,t\,\langle v - z, w\rangle + t^2\,\|w\|^2, \tag{1.63}$$

and in the complex case, we get that

$$\|v - z\|^2 \leq \|v - z\|^2 + 2\,\mathrm{Re}\,t\,\langle v - z, w\rangle + |t|^2\,\|w\|^2. \tag{1.64}$$

In both cases, the minimum of the right side is attained at $t = 0$, and one can use this to show that

$$\langle v - z, w \rangle = 0 \tag{1.65}$$

for every $w \in W$.

As in Section 1.5, z is uniquely determined by the conditions that $z \in W$ and $(v - z) \perp w$ for every $w \in W$. If we put $P_W(v) = z$, then it is easy to see that P_W defines a linear mapping from V into W, because these conditions characterizing $P_W(v)$ are linear in v. Note that $P_W(v)$ is the same as in in Section 1.5 when W is finite-dimensional, and that $P_W(v)$ is the same as $P(v)$ in Section 1.6 when W is the closure of the linear span of an orthonormal sequence in V. If W is infinite-dimensional and separable, in the sense that W has a countable dense subset, then one can use the Gram–Schmidt process to get an orthonormal sequence of vectors in W whose linear span is dense in W. As in the previous situations, $P_W(v) = v$ when $v \in W$, and

$$\|P_W(v)\| \le \|v\| \tag{1.66}$$

for every $v \in V$.

The *orthogonal complement* $W^\perp$ of W is defined by

$$W^\perp = \{y \in V : y \perp w \text{ for every } w \in W\}, \tag{1.67}$$

which is automatically a closed linear subspace of V. The previous discussion implies that every element of V has a unique representation as a sum of elements of W and $W^\perp$ when V is complete and W is a closed linear subspace of V.

1.8 Summable functions

Let E be a nonempty set, and let f be a nonnegative real-valued function on E. If A is a nonempty finite subset of E, then the sum

$$\sum_{x \in A} f(x) \tag{1.68}$$

of f over the elements of A can be defined in the usual way. The sum

$$\sum_{x \in E} f(x) \tag{1.69}$$

is defined as the supremum of the finite subsums (1.68) over all nonempty finite subsets A of E. More precisely, f is said to be *summable* on E if the finite subsums (1.68) have a finite upper bound in $\mathbf{R}$, and otherwise (1.69) is interpreted as being $+\infty$.

If a is a nonnegative real number, then it is easy to see that

$$\sum_{x \in E} a\, f(x) = a \sum_{x \in E} f(x), \tag{1.70}$$

with the convention that $0 \cdot (+\infty) = 0$ when $a = 0$ and f is not summable on E. Similarly, if g is another nonnegative real-valued function on E, then one can check that

$$\sum_{x \in E} (f(x) + g(x)) = \sum_{x \in E} f(x) + \sum_{x \in E} g(x), \tag{1.71}$$

with the usual conventions that $b + (+\infty) = (+\infty) + b = +\infty$ for every real number b and $(+\infty)+(+\infty) = +\infty$. In particular, if f and g are both summable functions on E, then $f + g$ is summable too.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given, and put

$$E(f, \epsilon) = \{x \in E : f(x) \ge \epsilon\}. \tag{1.72}$$

If f is summable on E, then $E(f, \epsilon)$ has only finitely many elements, and in fact

$$\epsilon\, (\#E(f, \epsilon)) \le \sum_{x \in E} f(x), \tag{1.73}$$

where $\#A$ is the number of elements of a finite set A. It follows that

$$\{x \in E : f(x) > 0\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E(f, 1/n) \tag{1.74}$$

has only finitely or countably many elements when f is summable on E.

Now let f be a real or complex-valued function on E, and let us say that f is *summable* on E if $|f(x)|$ is summable on E. Let $\ell^1(E)$ be the space of all summable functions on E, which may also be denoted $\ell^1(E, \mathbf{R})$ or $\ell^1(E, \mathbf{C})$, to indicate whether real or complex valued functions are being considered. It is easy to see that $\ell^1(E)$ is a vector space with respect to pointwise addition and scalar multiplication, and that

$$\|f\|_1 = \sum_{x \in E} |f(x)| \tag{1.75}$$

defines a norm on $\ell^1(E)$.

There are a couple of equivalent ways in which to define the sum (1.69) of a summable real or complex-valued function f on E. One way is to express f as a linear combination of nonnegative real-valued summable functions, and apply the previous definition to those. Another way is to use the fact that $f(x) \ne 0$ for only finitely or countably many $x \in E$, by applying (1.74) to $|f(x)|$. This permits the sum (1.69) to be reduced to either a finite sum or an absolutely convergent infinite series. This also uses the fact that absolutely convergent series are invariant under rearrangements, so that the definition of (1.69) does not depend on the way that the $x \in E$ with $f(x) \ne 0$ are listed in a sequence.

In both of these approaches, one can check that the sum (1.69) is linear in f, and satisfies

$$\Big|\sum_{x \in E} f(x)\Big| \le \sum_{x \in E} |f(x)|, \tag{1.76}$$

where the right side is defined as before. Of course, the definition of the sum (1.69) is trivial when $f(x) = 0$ for all but finitely many $x \in E$, and one can show that the sum (1.69) for summable real or complex-valued functions on E is characterized by these properties. This is because the functions f on E with $f(x) = 0$ for all but finitely many $x \in E$ form a dense linear subspace of $\ell^1(E)$ with respect to the metric associated to the ℓ^1 norm $\|f\|_1$, and the sum (1.69) defines a uniformly continuous function on $\ell^1(E)$, since it is linear in f and satisfies (1.76). One can also use these properties to give another approach to the definition of the sum (1.69), because any uniformly continuous mapping from a dense subset of a metric space M into a complete metric space N can be extended to a uniformly continuous mapping from M into N.

Suppose that $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\ell^1(E)$ with respect to the ℓ^1 metric. This implies that $\{f_j(x)\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$, as appropriate, for each $x \in E$. Because the real and complex numbers are complete with respect to their standard metrics, it follows that $\{f_j(x)\}_{j=1}^\infty$ converges for each $x \in E$. If the limit is denoted $f(x)$, then one can check that $f(x)$ is also summable on E, and that $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ converges to f with respect to the ℓ^1 norm, using the Cauchy condition for $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ with respect to the ℓ^1 norm. It follows that $\ell^1(E)$ is complete, and hence a Banach space.

1.9 p-Summability

Let E be a nonempty set, and let p be a positive real number. A real or complex-valued function f on E is said to be *p-summable* if $|f(x)|^p$ is a summable function on E, in which case we put

$$\|f\|_p = \Big(\sum_{x \in E} |f(x)|^p\Big)^{1/p}. \tag{1.77}$$

Let $\ell^p(E)$ be the space of p-summable functions on E, which may also be denoted $\ell^p(E, \mathbf{R})$ or $\ell^p(E, \mathbf{C})$, to indicate whether real or complex-valued functions are being considered. As a substitute for $p = \infty$, let $\ell^\infty(E)$ be the space of bounded real or complex-valued functions on E, which may also be denoted $\ell^\infty(E, \mathbf{R})$ or $\ell^\infty(E, \mathbf{C})$ to indicate whether real or complex-valued functions are being used. If $f \in \ell^\infty(E)$, then we put

$$\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in E} |f(x)|. \tag{1.78}$$

If f is p-summable on E for any $p > 0$, then $|f(x)| \le \|f\|_p$ for each $x \in E$, so that f is bounded on E and

$$\|f\|_\infty \le \|f\|_p. \tag{1.79}$$

Similarly, if $p < q < \infty$, then f is q-summable and

$$\|f\|_q \le \|f\|_p. \tag{1.80}$$

To see this, observe that

$$|f(x)|^q \le \|f\|_\infty^{q-p}\,|f(x)|^p \le \|f\|_p^{q-p}\,|f(x)|^p \tag{1.81}$$

for each $x \in E$, so that

$$\|f\|_q^q = \sum_{x\in E} |f(x)|^q \le \|f\|_p^{q-p} \sum_{x \in E} |f(x)|^p \le \|f\|_p^{q-p}\,\|f\|_p^p = \|f\|_p^q. \tag{1.82}$$

If p is a positive real number, f is a p-summable function on E, and a is a real or complex number, as appropriate, then it is easy to see that $a\,f(x)$ is also p-summable on E, and that

$$\|a\,f\|_p = |a|\,\|f\|_p. \tag{1.83}$$

Similarly, if f and g are p-summable functions on E, then one can check that $f+g$ is also p-summable, because

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)+g(x)|^p &\le (|f(x)|+|g(x)|)^p \le (2\max(|f(x)|,|g(x)|))^p \\ &= 2^p \max(|f(x)|^p, |g(x)|^p) \le 2^p\,(|f(x)|^p + |g(x)|^p) \end{aligned} \tag{1.84}$$

for every $x \in E$. Thus $\ell^p(E)$ is a vector space with respect to pointwise addition and scalar multiplication when $0 < p < \infty$, which can also be verified directly from the definitions when $p = \infty$.

As in the previous section, $\|f\|_1$ defines a norm on $\ell^1(E)$, and it is easy to see that $\|f\|_\infty$ defines a norm on $\ell^\infty(E)$. It is well known that $\|f\|_p$ also defines a norm on $\ell^p(E)$ when $1 < p < \infty$. One way to show this is to check that the unit ball in $\ell^p(E)$ is convex when $p \ge 1$, using the convexity of the function r^p on the set of nonnegative real numbers. If $0 < p < 1$ and E has at least two elements, then the unit ball in $\ell^p(E)$ is not convex, and $\|f\|_p$ does not define a norm on $\ell^p(E)$. There is however an alternative to this, as follows.

If $0 < p \le 1$ and a, b are nonnegative real numbers, then

$$(a+b)^p \le a^p + b^p. \tag{1.85}$$

This can be derived from (1.80) with $q = 1$, by taking E to be a set with exactly two elements. If f and g are p-summable functions on any set E and $0 < p \le 1$, then we get that

$$|f(x)+g(x)|^p \le (|f(x)|+|g(x)|)^p \le |f(x)|^p + |g(x)|^p \tag{1.86}$$

for each $x \in E$, and hence

$$\|f+g\|_p^p \le \|f\|_p^p + \|g\|_p^p. \tag{1.87}$$

This implies that

$$d_p(f,g) = \|f-g\|_p^p \tag{1.88}$$

defines a metric on $\ell^p(E)$ when $0 < p \le 1$, in place of (1.21).

Suppose that $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\ell^p(E)$ for some p, $0 < p \le \infty$, with respect to the metric $\|f - g\|_p$ associated to the ℓ^p norm when $p \ge 1$, and with respect to the metric (1.88) when $0 < p \le 1$. In both cases, $\{f_j(x)\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$ for each $x \in E$, as appropriate, and hence converges to a real or complex number $f(x)$. Using the Cauchy condition with respect to the ℓ^p metric, one can show that $f \in \ell^p(E)$ too, and that $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ converges to f with respect to the ℓ^p metric. Thus $\ell^p(E)$ is complete with respect to the ℓ^p metric for each $p > 0$, and hence $\ell^p(E)$ is a Banach space when $p \ge 1$.

A real or complex-valued function f on E is said to *vanish at infinity* if

$$E(f, \epsilon) = \{x \in E : |f(x)| \ge \epsilon\} \tag{1.89}$$

is a finite set for each $\epsilon > 0$. Let $c_0(E)$ be the space of functions on E that vanish at infinity, which may also be denoted $c_0(E, \mathbf{R})$ or $c_0(E, \mathbf{C})$, to indicate whether real or complex-valued functions are being used. It is well known and not difficult to check that $c_0(E)$ is a closed linear subspace of $\ell^\infty(E)$. If f is a p-summable function on E for some p, $0 < p < \infty$, then it is easy to see that $f \in c_0(E)$, with

$$\epsilon^p \, (\# E(f, \epsilon))^p \le \|f\|_p^p \tag{1.90}$$

for each $\epsilon > 0$. Note that $f(x) = 0$ for all but finitely or countably many elements of E when f is any function that vanishes at infinity on E, as in the previous section.

1.10 Square-summability

Let E be a nonempty set again, and let us restrict our attention now to $p = 2$. If a, b are nonnegative real numbers, then

$$a\, b \le \max(a^2, b^2) \le a^2 + b^2, \tag{1.91}$$

and in fact $2\, a\, b \le a^2 + b^2$, since $(a - b)^2 \ge 0$. If f, g are 2-summable functions on E, then we can apply either of these inequalities to $a = |f(x)|$ and $b = |g(x)|$, to conclude that the product $|f(x)|\, |g(x)|$ is summable on E. Put

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{x \in E} f(x)\, g(x) \tag{1.92}$$

in the real case, and

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{x \in E} f(x)\, \overline{g(x)} \tag{1.93}$$

in the complex case. It is easy to see that these define inner products on $\ell^2(E, \mathbf{R})$ and $\ell^2(E, \mathbf{C})$, respectively, for which the corresponding norms are equal to the ℓ^2 norm discussed in the previous section.

Now let V be a real or complex vector space with an inner product $\langle v, w \rangle_V$, and let $\|v\|_V$ be the correspponding norm on V. Also let A be a nonempty set, and suppose that for each $\alpha \in A$ we have a vector $v_\alpha \in V$ such that $\|v_\alpha\|_V = 1$ and $v_\alpha \perp v_\beta$ when $\alpha \neq \beta$. If $v \in V$ and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ are finitely many distinct elements of A, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} |\langle v, v_{\alpha_j} \rangle_V|^2 \le \|v\|_V^2, \tag{1.94}$$

as in (1.41). Thus

$$f_v(\alpha) = \langle v, v_\alpha \rangle_V \tag{1.95}$$

is a 2-summable function on A, with

$$\sum_{\alpha \in A} |f_v(\alpha)|^2 \le \|v\|_V^2. \tag{1.96}$$

Note that the mapping from $v \in V$ to $f_v \in \ell^2(A)$ is linear.

Let f be any 2-summable function on A, which is real or complex-valued depending on whether V is real or complex. If V is complete, then we would like to define

$$T(f) = \sum_{\alpha \in A} f(\alpha)\, v_\alpha \tag{1.97}$$

as an element of V. This reduces to an ordinary finite sum when $f(\alpha) = 0$ for all but finitely many $\alpha \in A$, and otherwise $f(\alpha) = 0$ for all but countably many $\alpha \in A$, because f is 2-summable on A. In the latter case, the sum may be considered as an infinite series, as in Section 1.6, and one can also show that the sum does not depend on the way that the terms are listed, as for absolutely convergent series. Of course, if A has only finitely or countably many elements, then one can use the same listing of elements of A for every $f \in \ell^2(A)$.

It is easy to see that the mapping from $f \in \ell^2(A)$ to $T(f) \in V$ is linear. As in (1.46),

$$\|T(f)\|_V = \|f\|_{\ell^2(A)} \tag{1.98}$$

for every $f \in \ell^2(A)$, where $\|f\|_{\ell^2(A)} = \|f\|_2$ is the ℓ^2 norm of f on A. Similarly,

$$\langle T(f), T(g) \rangle_V = \langle f, g \rangle_{\ell^2(A)} \tag{1.99}$$

for every $f, g \in \ell^2(A)$, where $\langle f, g \rangle_{\ell^2(A)}$ is the ℓ^2 inner product on A defined earlier. The mapping $f \mapsto T(f)$ may be characterized as the unique linear mapping from $\ell^2(A)$ into V that satisfies (1.98) and agrees with (1.97) when $f(\alpha) = 0$ for all but finitely many $\alpha \in A$, since the $f \in \ell^2(A)$ with $f(\alpha) = 0$ for all but finitely many $\alpha \in A$ are dense in $\ell^2(A)$. Alternatively, one can start with $T(f)$ as in (1.97) when $f(\alpha) = 0$ for all but finitely many $\alpha \in A$, and show that this has a unique extension to a linear mapping from $\ell^2(A)$ into V that satisfies (1.98) when V is complete.

Let W be the set of vectors in V of the form $T(f)$ for some $f \in \ell^2(A)$. Thus W is a linear subspace of V, W contains the linear span of the v_α's in V, and W is contained in the closure of the linear span of the v_α's in V. Using the completeness of $\ell^2(A)$ and (1.98), one can show that W is complete with respect to the restriction of the norm $\|\cdot\|_V$ to W, which implies that W is a closed set in V. Hence W is equal to the closure of the linear span of the v_α's in V. In particular, $W = V$ when the linear span of the v_α's is dense in V.

If $v \in V$, then we can define $f_v \in \ell^2(A)$ as in (1.95), and then consider $T(f_v) \in V$ as in (1.97). It is easy to see that $T(f_v)$ is the same as the orthogonal projection of v onto W, as in Sections 1.5 and 1.6. In particular, $v = T(f_v)$ for every $v \in W$, and for every $v \in V$ when the linear span of the v_α's is dense in V. Similarly, if $f \in \ell^2(A)$, then

$$\langle T(f), v_\beta \rangle_V = f(\beta) \tag{1.100}$$

for each $\beta \in A$, so that $f = f_v$ with $v = T(f)$.

An orthonormal family of vectors $\{v_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ in a Hilbert space V is said to be an *orthonormal basis* for V if the linear span of the v_α's is dense in V. Thus the mapping T defines an isometric linear isomorphism from $\ell^2(A)$ onto V in this case. If V is separable, then one can use the Gram–Schmidt process to get an orthonormal basis in V with only finitely or countably many elements.

1.11 Bounded linear functionals

Let V be a real or complex vector space with a norm $\|v\|$. Remember that a *linear functional* on V is a linear mapping from V into the real or complex numbers, as appropriate. A linear functional λ on V is said to be *bounded* if

$$|\lambda(v)| \le C\,\|v\| \tag{1.101}$$

for some nonnegative real number C and every $v \in V$. This implies that

$$|\lambda(v) - \lambda(w)| = |\lambda(v - w)| \le C\,\|v - w\| \tag{1.102}$$

for every $v, w \in V$, so that λ is uniformly continuous with respect to the metric on V associated to the norm $\|\cdot\|$. Conversely, if a linear functional λ on V is continuous at 0, then there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $|\lambda(v)| < 1$ for every $v \in V$ with $\|v\| < \delta$, and one can check that (1.101) holds with $C = 1/\delta$.

It is easy to see that the dual space V^* of bounded linear functionals on V is also a real or complex vector space, as appropriate, with respect to pointwise addition and scalar multiplication. If $\lambda \in V^*$, then put

$$\|\lambda\|_* = \sup\{|\lambda(v)| : v \in V,\ \|v\| \le 1\}, \tag{1.103}$$

which is the same as the smallest $C \ge 0$ for which (1.101) holds. One can check that this defines a norm on V^*, known as the *dual norm* associated to the norm $\|\cdot\|$ on V. It is well known that V^* is automatically complete with respect to the dual norm. As usual, one can start by showing that a Cauchy sequence in V^* converges pointwise to a linear functional on V, and then use the Cauchy condition with respect to the dual norm to show that the limit is a bounded linear functional on V, and that the sequence converges to this limit with respect to the dual norm.

Suppose that $\langle v, w\rangle$ is an inner product on V, and that $\|v\|$ is the norm on V corresponding to this inner product. If $w \in V$, then

$$\lambda_w(v) = \langle v, w\rangle \tag{1.104}$$

defines a linear functional on V, which is bounded by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality. More precisely, the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality implies that the dual norm of λ_w is less than or equal to $\|w\|$, and one can check that the dual norm of λ_w is equal to w, since

$$\lambda_w(w) = \|w\|^2. \tag{1.105}$$

Conversely, if V is a Hilbert space, then one can show that every bounded linear functional λ on V is of the form λ_w for some $w \in W$. One way to do this is to look at vectors in V that are orthogonal to the kernel of λ, using orthogonal projections.

Now let E be a nonempty set, and suppose that $1 \le p, q \le \infty$ are conjugate exponents, in the sense that

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \tag{1.106}$$

where $1/\infty = 0$, as usual. If $f \in \ell^p(E)$ and $g \in \ell^q(E)$, then *Hölder's inequality* states that $f(x)\, g(x)$ is a summable function on E, and that

$$\Big|\sum_{x \in E} f(x)\, g(x)\Big| \le \|f\|_p\, \|g\|_q. \tag{1.107}$$

This is very simple when $p = 1$ and $q = \infty$ or $q = 1$ and $p = \infty$, and it follows from the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality when $p = q = 2$. This implies that

$$\lambda_g(f) = \sum_{x \in E} f(x)\, g(x) \tag{1.108}$$

defines a bounded linear functional on $\ell^p(E)$ when $g \in \ell^q(E)$, with dual norm less than or equal to $\|g\|_q$. One can check that the dual norm of λ_g on $\ell^p(E)$ is actually equal to $\|g\|_q$, by considering suitable choices of $f \in \ell^p(E)$.

Conversely, if λ is a bounded linear functional on $\ell^p(E)$ and $1 \le p < \infty$, then one can show that $\lambda = \lambda_g$ for some $g \in \ell^q(E)$. More precisely, for each $y \in E$, let $\delta_y(x)$ be the function on E equal to 1 when $x = y$ and to 0 when $x \ne y$. Thus $\delta_y \in \ell^p(E)$, and one can define g on E by

$$g(y) = \lambda(\delta_y). \tag{1.109}$$

Using the boundedness of λ on $\ell^p(E)$, one can show that $g \in \ell^q(E)$, and that $\lambda_g(f) = \lambda(f)$ for every $f \in \ell^p(E)$. This also uses the fact that the functions f on E such that $f(x) = 0$ for all but finitely many $x \in E$ are dense in $\ell^p(E)$, which works when $p < \infty$ and not when $p = \infty$.

As an alternative for $p = \infty$, one can consider bounded linear functionals on $c_0(E)$, with respect to the ℓ^∞ norm on $c_0(E)$. If $g \in \ell^1(E)$, then λ_g defines a bounded linear functional on $\ell^\infty(E)$ as before, and hence its restriction to $c_0(E)$ is a bounded linear functional on $c_0(E)$. One can also check that the dual norm of the restriction of λ_g to $c_0(E)$ is equal to $\|g\|_1$. Conversely, one can show that every bounded linear functional on $c_0(E)$ is of this form, by the same type of argument as for $\ell^p(E)$ when $1 \le p < \infty$. This uses the fact that the functions f on E with $f(x) = 0$ for all but finitely many $x \in E$ are dense in $c_0(E)$.

Of course, $\ell^2(E)$ is a Hilbert space, and the bounded linear functionals on $\ell^2(E)$ can also be described as in that case. The two descriptions are equivalent, even if they are presented in slightly different ways in the complex case.

If V is any real or complex vector space with a norm $\|\cdot\|$, $v \in V$, and $v \ne 0$, then the theorem of Hahn and Banach implies that there is a bounded linear functional λ on V such that $\lambda(v) = \|v\|$ and $\|\lambda\|_* = 1$. In many situations, this can be verified more directly, or at least approximately so.

1.12 Bounded linear mappings

Let V and W be vector spaces, both real or both complex, and equipped with norms $\|v\|_V$ and $\|w\|_W$, respectively. A linear mapping T from V into W is said to be *bounded* if

$$\|T(v)\|_W \le C\, \|v\|_V \tag{1.110}$$

for some nonnegative real number C and every $v \in V$. Thus a bounded linear functional on V is the same as a bounded linear mapping from V into the real or complex numbers, as appropriate. As for bounded linear functionals, it is easy to see that a bounded linear mapping from V into W is uniformly continuous with respect to the corresponding metrics, and conversely that a linear mapping from V into W is bounded if it is continuous at 0. The space of bounded linear mappings from V into W is denoted $\mathcal{BL}(V, W)$, and is a vector space with respect to pointwise addition and scalar multiplication.

If T is a bounded linear mapping from V into W, then put

$$\|T\|_{op} = \sup\{\|T(v)\|_W : v \in V,\ \|v\|_V \le 1\}, \tag{1.111}$$

which is the same as the smallest $C \ge 0$ for which (1.110) holds. It is easy to see that this defines a norm on $\mathcal{BL}(V, W)$, known as the *operator norm* associated to the given norms on V and W. As before, one can show that $\mathcal{BL}(V, W)$ is complete with respect to the operator norm when W is complete. Suppose now that V_1, V_2, and V_3 are vector spaces, all real or all complex, and equipped with norms $\|\cdot\|_1$, $\|\cdot\|_2$, and $\|\cdot\|_3$, respectively. If $T_1 : V_1 \to V_2$ and $T_2 : V_2 \to V_3$ are bounded linear mappings, then one can check that their composition $T_2 \circ T_1$ is a bounded linear mapping from V_1 into V_3, and that

$$\|T_2 \circ T_1\|_{op,13} \le \|T_1\|_{op,12}\, \|T_2\|_{op,23}, \tag{1.112}$$

where the subscripts indicate the norms and spaces being used.

Let us restrict our attention for the rest of this section to the case where V is a real or complex Hilbert space, with inner product $\langle v, w\rangle$ and corresponding norm $\|v\|$. If T is a bounded linear mapping from V into itself, then the operator norm of T can also be given by

$$\|T\|_{op} = \sup\{|\langle T(v), w\rangle| : v, w \in V,\ \|v\|, \|w\| \le 1\}. \tag{1.113}$$

Indeed, this expression for the operator norm is clearly less than or equal to the one in (1.111), because of the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality. To get the opposite inequality, one can choose w in (1.113) so that $\langle T(v), w\rangle = \|T(v)\|$.

The *adjoint* T^* of a bounded linear mapping T from V into itself is defined as follows. It is easy to see that

$$\mu_w(v) = \langle T(v), w\rangle \tag{1.114}$$

is a bounded linear functional on V for each $w \in V$, since T is bounded on V. As in the previous section, there is an element $T^*(w)$ of V such that

$$\langle T(v), w\rangle = \langle v, T^*(w)\rangle \tag{1.115}$$

for every $v \in V$. One can also check that $T^*(w)$ is uniquely determined by w, and that T^* is linear as a mapping from V into itself. Moreover,

$$\|T^*(w)\| \le \|T\|_{op}\, \|w\| \tag{1.116}$$

for every $w \in V$, because $\|T^*(w)\|$ is equal to the dual norm of μ_w, which is less than or equal to $\|T\|_{op}\, \|w\|$. This implies that T^* is a bounded linear mapping on V, with operator norm less than or equal to the operator norm of T. In fact,

$$\|T^*\|_{op} = \|T\|_{op}, \tag{1.117}$$

by (1.113) and (1.115).

Observe that $(T_1 + T_2)^* = T_1^* + T_2^*$ for any two bounded linear operators T_1 and T_2 on V. Similarly, if T is a bounded linear operator on V, then $(a\,T)^* = a\,T^*$ for every $a \in \mathbf{R}$ in the real case, and $(a\,T)^* = \overline{a}\,T^*$ for every $a \in \mathbf{C}$ in the complex case. If T_1 and T_2 are bounded linear operators on V again, then their composition $T_2 \circ T_1$ is also a bounded linear operator on V, and

$$(T_2 \circ T_1)^* = T_1^* \circ T_2^*. \tag{1.118}$$

The adjoint of the identity operator I on V is equal to itself. If T is any bounded linear operator on V, then one can check that

$$(T^*)^* = T. \tag{1.119}$$

A bounded linear operator T on V is said to be *invertible* if T is a one-to-one mapping of V onto itself for which the inverse mapping T^{-1} is also bounded on V. In this case, T^* is invertible on V too, and

$$(T^*)^{-1} = (T^{-1})^*, \tag{1.120}$$

since one can apply (1.118) to $T^{-1} \circ T = T \circ T^{-1} = I$.

A bounded linear operator T on V is said to be *self-adjoint* if $T^* = T$, which is equivalent to asking that

$$\langle T(v), w \rangle = \langle v, T(w) \rangle \tag{1.121}$$

for every $v, w \in V$. The sum of two bounded self-adjoint linear operators on V is also self-adjoint, as is a real number times a bounded self-adjoint linear operator on V. Thus the bounded self-adjoint linear operators on V form a vector space over the real numbers in a natural way, and it is important to use the real numbers for this even when V is a complex Hilbert space. As a related point, if V is a complex Hilbert space and T is a bounded self-adjoint linear operator on V, then

$$\langle T(v), v \rangle \in \mathbf{R} \tag{1.122}$$

for each $v \in V$. Indeed, (1.121) and the definition of an inner product imply that

$$\langle T(v), v \rangle = \langle v, T(v) \rangle = \overline{\langle T(v), v \rangle} \tag{1.123}$$

for every $v \in V$.

A bounded self-adjoint linear operator T on a real or complex Hilbert space V is said to be *nonnegative* if

$$\langle T(v), v \rangle \geq 0 \tag{1.124}$$

for every $v \in V$. Note that the sum of two nonnegative bounded self-adjoint linear operators on V is nonnegative, as is a nonnegative real number times a nonnegative bounded self-adjoint linear operator on V. If T is any bounded linear operator on V, then $T^* \circ T$ is self-adjoint, because

$$(T^* \circ T)^* = T^* \circ (T^*)^* = T^* \circ T. \tag{1.125}$$

Moreover, $T^* \circ T$ is nonnegative, since

$$\langle (T^* \circ T)(v), v \rangle = \langle v, T^*(T(v)) \rangle = \langle T(v), T(v) \rangle = \|T(v)\|^2 \geq 0 \tag{1.126}$$

for every $v \in V$.

Let W be a closed linear subspace of V, and let P_W be the orthogonal projection of V onto W. Remember that P_W is characterized by the conditions that $P_W(v) \in W$ and $v - P_W(v) \in W^\perp$ for every $v \in V$, and that P_W is a bounded linear operator on V with operator norm equal to 1, except in the trivial case where $W = \{0\}$ and $P_W = 0$. Using this, one can check that

$$\langle P_W(v), w \rangle = \langle P_W(v), P_W(w) \rangle = \langle v, P_W(w) \rangle \tag{1.127}$$

for every $v, w \in V$, so that P_W is self-adjoint on V. In particular,

$$\langle P_W(v), v \rangle = \langle P_W(v), P_W(v) \rangle = \|P_W(v)\|^2 \geq 0 \tag{1.128}$$

for each $v \in V$, so that P_W is nonnegative. Note that $P_W \circ P_W = P_W$, and hence $P_W = P_W^* \circ P_W$, because P_W is self-adjoint.

If T is any bounded linear operator on V, then

$$\|T^* \circ T\|_{op} \le \|T^*\|_{op} \, \|T\|_{op} = \|T\|_{op}^2. \tag{1.129}$$

Using (1.126) and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we also have that

$$\|T(v)\|^2 \le \|(T^* \circ T)(v)\| \, \|v\| \le \|T^* \circ T\|_{op} \, \|v\|^2 \tag{1.130}$$

for every $v \in V$. This implies that $\|T\|_{op}^2 \le \|T^* \circ T\|_{op}$, and hence

$$\|T^* \circ T\|_{op} = \|T\|_{op}^2, \tag{1.131}$$

which is known as the *C^*-identity.*

A linear mapping T of V onto itself is said to be *unitary* if

$$\langle T(v), T(w) \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle \tag{1.132}$$

for every $v, w \in V$. In the real case, one might say instead that T is an *orthogonal transformation.* If we take $v = w$ in (1.132), then we get that

$$\|T(v)\| = \|v\| \tag{1.133}$$

for every $v \in V$. Conversely, it is well known that (1.133) implies (1.132), because of polarization identities. Note that (1.133) implies that T is a bounded linear operator on V with trivial kernel, and that the inverse operator T^{-1} is also bounded when T maps V onto itself. It is easy to see that (1.132) holds if and only if $T^* \circ T = I$. If T maps V onto itself, then this is the same as saying that T is invertible, with $T^{-1} = T^*$.

Suppose now that V is a complex Hilbert space, and let T be a bounded linear operator on V. Observe that

$$A = \frac{T + T^*}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \frac{T - T^*}{2\,i} \tag{1.134}$$

are bounded self-adjoint linear operators on V, and that $T = A + i\,B$. If T and T^* commute with each other, which is to say that $T \circ T^* = T^* \circ T$, then T is said to be *normal.* Equivalently, T is normal if and only if A and B commute. Thus self-adjoint linear operators are automatically normal, and unitary operators are normal as well, since an invertible operator automatically commutes with its inverse.

1.13 Double sums

Let E_1 and E_2 be nonempty sets, and consider their Cartesian product $E = E_1 \times E_2$, which is the set of all ordered pairs (x, y) with $x \in E_1$ and $y \in E_2$. If $f(x, y)$ is a nonnegative real-valued function on E, then we can define the sums

$$f_1(x) = \sum_{y \in E_2} f(x, y) \tag{1.135}$$

for every $x \in E_1$ and

$$f_2(y) = \sum_{x \in E_1} f(x, y) \tag{1.136}$$

for every $y \in E_2$ as the suprema of the corresponding finite subsums, as in Section 1.8. We can then define the iterated sums

$$\sum_{x \in E_1} f_1(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{y \in E_2} f_2(y) \tag{1.137}$$

also as in Section 1.8, with the obvious convention that these sums are infinite when any of their terms are infinite. Under these conditions, one can show that the two sums in (1.137) are equal to each other and to the double sum

$$\sum_{(x,y) \in E} f(x, y), \tag{1.138}$$

also defined as in Section 1.8. In particular, $f(x, y)$ is summable on E if and only if the sums in (1.137) are finite.

Now let $f(x, y)$ be a real or complex-valued summable function on E, so that $|f(x, y)|$ is a nonnegative real-valued summable function on E. In this case, $f(x, y)$ is summable as a function of $y \in E_2$ for each $x \in E_1$, and similarly $f(x, y)$ is summable as a function of $x \in E_1$ for each $y \in E_2$. Thus $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(y)$ may be defined as in (1.135) and (1.136), and we have that

$$|f_1(x)| \le \sum_{y \in E_2} |f(x, y)| \tag{1.139}$$

for each $x \in E_1$, and

$$|f_2(y)| \le \sum_{x \in E_1} |f(x, y)| \tag{1.140}$$

for each $y \in E_2$. Because $f(x, y)$ is summable on E, it follows that

$$\sum_{x \in E_1} |f_1(x)| \le \sum_{x \in E_1} \Big(\sum_{y \in E_2} |f(x, y)| \Big) \tag{1.141}$$

and

$$\sum_{y \in E_2} |f_2(y)| \le \sum_{y \in E_2} \Big(\sum_{x \in E_1} |f(x, y)| \Big) \tag{1.142}$$

are finite, so that $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(y)$ are summable on E_1 and E_2, respectively. This implies that the iterated sums (1.137) are defined in this situation, and one can check that they are equal to each other and to the double sum (1.138). One way to do this is to express $f(x, y)$ as a linear combination of nonnegative real-valued summable functions on E, to reduce to the previous case. Alternatively, one can approximate $f(x, y)$ by functions with finite support on E.

1.14 Continuous functions

Let X be a topological space, and let $C(X)$ be the space of continuous real or complex-valued functions on X. As usual, this may also be denoted $C(X, \mathbf{R})$ or $C(X, \mathbf{C})$ to indicate whether real or complex-valued functions are being used, and similarly for other spaces of functions on X. Note that $C(X)$ is a vector space with respect to pointwise addition and scalar multiplication.

Let $C_b(X)$ be the linear subspace of X consisting of bounded continuous functions on X. Of course, every continuous function on X is bounded when X is compact. If $f \in C_b(X)$, then the *supremum norm* of f is defined by

$$\|f\|_{sup} = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|. \tag{1.143}$$

It is easy to see that this defines a norm on $C_b(X)$, and it is well known that $C_b(X)$ is complete with respect to this norm. This uses the fact that if $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of continuous functions on X that converges uniformly to a function f on X, then f is continuous on X too.

As usual, the *support* $\operatorname{supp} f$ of a function f on X is the closure of the set of $x \in X$ such that $f(x) \neq 0$. Let $C_{com}(X)$ be the space of continuous functions on X with compact support, which is a linear subspace of $C_b(X)$. Urysohn's lemma implies that there are plenty of continuous functions on X with compact support when X is a locally compact Hausdorff space.

Suppose from now on in this section that X is a locally compact Hausdorff space. A continuous function f on X is said to *vanish at infinity* if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is a compact set $K \subseteq X$ such that

$$|f(x)| < \epsilon \tag{1.144}$$

for every $x \in X \backslash K$. In particular, this implies that f is bounded on X, and one can check that the space $C_0(X)$ of continuous functions on X that vanish at infinity is a closed linear subspace of $C_b(X)$ with respect to the supremum norm. Of course, $C_{com}(X) \subseteq C_0(X)$, and one can also check that $C_0(X)$ is the closure of $C_{com}(X)$ in $C_b(X)$. More precisely, if $f \in C_0(X)$, then one can approximate f uniformly on X by continuous functions on X with compact support, by multiplying f by suitable cut-off functions obtained from Urysohn's lemma.

A linear functional λ on $C_{com}(X)$ is said to be *nonnegative* if $\lambda(f)$ is a nonnegative real number for every nonnegative real-valued continuous function f with compact support on X. In this case, the Riesz representation theorem implies that there is a unique nonnegative Borel measure μ on X with certain regularity properties such that

$$\lambda(f) = \int_X f \, d\mu \tag{1.145}$$

for every $f \in C_{com}(X)$. In particular, $\mu(K) < \infty$ for every compact set $K \subseteq X$ under these conditions.

Now let λ be a bounded linear functional on $C_0(X)$ with respect to the supremum norm. Another version of the Riesz representation theorem implies that there is a unique real or complex Borel measure μ on X, as appropriate, with certain regularity properties such that (1.145) holds for every $f \in C_0(X)$. Remember that there is a nonnegative measure $|\mu|$ associated to any real or complex measure μ, known as the *total variation measure*. The regularity of μ means that $|\mu|$ is regular as a nonnegative Borel measure on X, and part of the theorem is that the dual norm of λ on $C_0(X)$ is equal to $|\mu|(X)$.

A set $A \subseteq X$ is said to be *σ-compact* if there is a sequence $K_1, K_2, K_3, \ldots$ of compact subsets of X such that $A = \bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} K_l$. If every open set in X is σ-compact, and if μ is a nonnegative Borel measure on X such that $\mu(K) < \infty$ for every compact set $K \subseteq X$, then it is well known that μ satisfies the same regularity conditions as in the Riesz representation theorem. See Theorem 2.18 on p50 of [103], for instance. In particular, this condition holds when there is a countable base for the topology of X. To see this, note that a locally compact Hausdorff space X is regular as a topological space, which is to say that X satisfies the third separation condition. Together with local compactness, this implies that for every open set U in X and every point $p \in U$ there is an open set $V(p)$ in X such that $p \in V(p)$ and the closure $\overline{V(p)}$ of $V(p)$ in X is a compact set contained in U. If there is a countable base for the topology of X, then it follows that U can be expressed as the union of only finitely or countably many $V(p)$'s, and hence as the union of only finitely or countably many of their closures.

1.15 Double integrals

Let X and Y be locally compact Hausdorff topological spaces, and let μ and ν be Borel measures on X and Y, respectively. More precisely, μ and ν may be real or complex measures, or nonnegative measures which are finite on compact sets. In order to apply the usual construction of product measures on $X \times Y$, one normally asks μ and ν to be σ-finite.

However, there is a technical problem with the usual product construction of measurable subsets of $X \times Y$, which is that one would like open subsets of $X \times Y$ to be measurable, and which would imply that Borel subsets of $X \times Y$ are measurable. Of course, products of open subsets of X and Y are measurable in $X \times Y$, and hence countable unions of products of open subsets of X and Y are measurable in $X \times Y$. If there are countable bases for the topologies of X and Y, then one can get a countable base for the topology of $X \times Y$ by taking products of the basic open sets in X and Y, and it follows that every open set in $X \times Y$ is a countable union of products of open subsets of X and Y. If an open set W in $X \times Y$ is σ-compact, then one can also check that W can be expressed as the union of countably many products of open subsets of X and Y. One can also consider subclasses of the Borel sets, for which continuous functions with compact support or which vanish at infinity are still measurable.

Alternatively, one can approach integration on $X \times Y$ in terms of linear functionals on spaces of continuous functions on $X \times Y$, as in the previous section. More precisely, given nonnegative linear functionals λ_X and λ_Y on $C_{com}(X)$ and $C_{com}(Y)$, respectively, one would like to define a nonnegative linear functional $\lambda_{X \times Y}$ on $C_{com}(X \times Y)$. Similarly, if λ_X and λ_Y are bounded linear functionals on $C_0(Y)$ and $C_0(Y)$, respectively, then one would like to define a bounded linear functional $\lambda_{X \times Y}$ on $C_0(X \times Y)$. In both cases, one would like $\lambda_{X \times Y}$ to satisfy

$$\lambda_{X \times Y}(f\, g) = \lambda_X(f)\, \lambda_Y(g) \tag{1.146}$$

when $f(x)$ and $g(y)$ are continuous functions of the appropriate type on X and Y, so that $f(x)\, g(y)$ is continuous on $X \times Y$. One can show that $\lambda_{X \times Y}$ is uniquely determined by this condition, by approximating continuous functions on $X \times Y$ by finite sums of products of continuous functions on X and Y. If $h(x, y)$ is a continuous function on $X \times Y$ with compact support or that vanishes at infinity, then one can define $\lambda_{X \times Y}(h)$ by first applying λ_X to $h(x, y)$ as a function of $x \in X$ for each $y \in Y$, and then apply λ_Y to the resulting function of y. It is not too difficult to check that this has the desired properties. One could also apply λ_X and λ_Y in the opposite order, and this would lead to the same value of $\lambda_{X \times Y}(h)$, by the uniqueness argument mentioned earlier. As in the previous section, one could then use the appropriate version of the Riesz representation theorem to get a Borel measure on $X \times Y$ corresponding to $\lambda_{X \times Y}$.

Now let I be an infnite set, and suppose that X_j is a compact Hausdorff topological space for each $j \in I$. Also let $X = \prod_{j \in I} X_j$ be the Cartesian product of the X_j's, which is a compact Hausdorff space with respect to the product topology, by Tychonoff's theorem. If μ_j is a probability measure on X_j for each $j \in I$, then there is a well known construction of a product probability measure μ on X. Alternatively, one can approach this in terms of nonnegative linear functionals on $C(X_j)$, as follows. Suppose that λ_j is a nonnegative linear functional on $C(X_j)$ such that

$$\lambda_j(\mathbf{1}_{X_j}) = 1 \tag{1.147}$$

for each $j \in I$, where $\mathbf{1}_{X_j}$ is the constant function equal to 1 on X_j. Thus λ_j corresponds to a regular Borel probability measure on X_j for each $j \in I$, by the Riesz representation theorem, and one would like to define a corresponding product nonnegative linear functional λ on $C(X)$. If f is a continuous function on X that depends on only finitely many variables $x_j \in X_j$, then $\lambda(f)$ can be defined by applying λ_j to f as a function of x_j for those finitely many $j \in I$, as before. Otherwise, one can use compactness to show that every continuous function f on X can be approximated uniformly by continuous functions that depend on only finitely many variables, and then use this to extend λ to a nonnegative linear functional on $C(X)$.

1.16 Ultrametrics

Let $(M, d(x,y))$ be a metric space. The metric $d(x,y)$ on M is said to be an *ultrametric* if

$$d(x,z) \le \max(d(x,y), d(y,z)) \tag{1.148}$$

for every $x, y, z \in M$. Of course, this is stronger than the ordinary triangle inequality (1.18) in Section 1.3. As a basic example, the discrete metric on any set M is defined by putting $d(x,y)$ equal to 1 when $x \ne y$ and equal to 0 when $x = y$, and is an ultrametric.

Let $(M_1, d_1(x_1, y_1))$ and $(M_2, d_2(x_2, y_2))$ be metric spaces, and consider their Cartesian product. As usual, it is easy to see that

$$D((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) = d_1(x_1, y_1) + d_2(x_2, y_2) \tag{1.149}$$

and

$$D'((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) = \max(d_1(x_1, y_1), d_2(x_2, y_2)) \tag{1.150}$$

define metrics on $M_1 \times M_2$, for which the corresponding topologies are the same as the product topology associated to the topologies on M_1 and M_2 by the metrics $d_1(x_1, y_1)$ and $d_2(x_2, y_2)$, respectively. If $d_1(x_1, y_1)$ and $d_2(x_2, y_2)$ are ultrametrics on M_1 and M_2, then (1.150) is also an ultrametric on $M_1 \times M_2$. Note that a ball in $M_1 \times M_2$ with respect to (1.150) is the same as the Cartesian product of balls in M_1 and M_2 with the same radius.

Now let $(M_j, d_j(x_j, y_j))$, $j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, be a sequence of metric spaces, and let $M = \prod_{j=1}^\infty M_j$ be their Cartesian product. Thus M consists of sequences $x = \{x_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$, where $x_j \in M_j$ for each j. Also let $t = \{t_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of positive real numbers that converges to 0, and put

$$d_j'(x_j, y_j) = \min(d_j(x_j, y_j), t_j) \tag{1.151}$$

for each j. It is easy to see that $d_j'(x_j, y_j)$ is also a metric on M_j for each j, which determines the same topology on M_j as $d_j(x_j, y_j)$. Put

$$d(x,y) = \max_{j \ge 1} d_j'(x_j, y_j) \tag{1.152}$$

for each $x, y \in M$, which is obviously equal to 0 when $x = y$. If $x \ne y$, then $x_{j_0} \ne y_{j_0}$ for some $j_0 \ge 1$, so that $d_{j_0}'(x_{j_0}, y_{j_0}) > 0$. This implies that

$$d_j'(x_j, y_j) \le t_j \le d_{j_0}'(x_{j_0}, y_{j_0}) \tag{1.153}$$

for all but finitely many j, since $t_j \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$, so that the maximum in (1.152) always exists.

One can check that (1.152) is a metric on M, for which the corresponding topology is the same as the product topology associated to the topologies on the M_j's determined by the metrics $d_j(x_j, y_j)$. More precisely, an open ball in M of radius $r > 0$ with respect to (1.152) can be expressed as a product $\prod_{j=1}^\infty B_j$, where B_j is an open ball in M_j with radius r when $r \le t_j$, and $B_j = M_j$ when

$r > t_j$. In particular, $B_j = M_j$ for all but finitely many j, since $t_j \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$, which implies that open balls in M with respect to (1.152) are open sets with respect to the product topology. By taking r sufficiently small, one gets that $r \le t_j$ for any finite set of $j \ge 1$, so that open balls in M with respect to (1.152) generate the product topology on M. If $d_j(x_j, y_j)$ is an ultrametric on M_j for each j, then $d_j'(x_j, y_j)$ is an ultrametric on M_j as well, and (1.152) is an ultrametric on M too.

Let $(M, d(x, y))$ be an arbitrary metric space again. The open ball in M with center $x \in M$ and radius $r > 0$ is defined as usual by

$$B(x, r) = \{y \in M : d(x, y) < r\}, \tag{1.154}$$

and similarly the closed ball with center $x \in M$ and radius $r \ge 0$ is given by

$$\overline{B}(x, r) = \{y \in M : d(x, y) \le r\}. \tag{1.155}$$

Let us also put

$$V(x, r) = \{y \in M : d(x, y) > r\} \tag{1.156}$$

for each $x \in M$ and $r \ge 0$, which is the same as the complement of $\overline{B}(x, r)$. It is well known that $B(x, r)$ and $V(x, r)$ are open sets in M, and that $\overline{B}(x, r)$ is a closed set. More precisely, if $z \in B(z, r)$, then one can check that

$$B(z, t) \subseteq B(x, r) \tag{1.157}$$

with $t = r - d(x, z) > 0$, using the triangle inequality. Similarly, if $z \in V(x, r)$, then we have that

$$B(z, t) \subseteq V(x, r) \tag{1.158}$$

with $t = d(x, z) - r > 0$. One can check directly that $\overline{B}(x, r)$ is a closed set, in the sense that it contains all of its limit points, or derive this from the fact that $V(x, r)$ is an open set.

Suppose now that $d(x, y)$ is an ultrametric on M. In this case, it is easy to see that (1.157) holds for every $z \in B(x, r)$ with $t = r$. Similarly,

$$\overline{B}(z, r) \subseteq \overline{B}(x, r) \tag{1.159}$$

for every $z \in \overline{B}(x, r)$. Observe that

$$d(x, z) \le d(x, y) \tag{1.160}$$

for every $y \in M$ with $d(y, z) < d(x, z)$, since $d(x, y) < d(x, z)$ would imply that $d(x, z) < d(x, z)$. This shows that (1.158) holds with $t = d(x, z)$.

Put

$$W(x, r) = \{y \in M : d(x, y) \ge r\} \tag{1.161}$$

for each $x \in M$ and $r \ge 0$, which is the same as the complement of $B(x, r)$ in M. Using (1.160) again, we get that

$$B(z, d(x, z)) \subseteq W(x, r) \tag{1.162}$$

for every $z \in W(x, r)$. In particular, $W(x, r)$ is an open set in an ultrametric space, so that $B(x, r)$ is both open and closed. It follows from (1.159) that $\overline{B}(x, r)$ is an open set in an ultrametric space, and hence is both open and closed as well. Thus ultrametric spaces are totally disconnected, in the sense that they do not contain any connected subsets with more than one element.

Chapter 2

Fourier series

2.1 Basic notions

Let $\mathbf{T}$ be the unit circle in the complex plane, which is the set of $z \in \mathbf{C}$ with $|z| = 1$. Also let f be a complex-valued integrable function on $\mathbf{T}$ with respect to arc-length measure $|dz|$ on $\mathbf{T}$, which corresponds to Lebesgue measure on an interval in the real line when $\mathbf{T}$ is parameterized by arc length. The nth *Fourier coefficient* of f is defined by

$$\widehat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{T}} f(z)\, \overline{z}^n \, |dz| \tag{2.1}$$

for each integer n. The corresponding *Fourier series* is given by

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(n)\, z^n, \tag{2.2}$$

where for the moment this is a formal series in $z \in \mathbf{T}$. Note that

$$|\widehat{f}(n)| \le \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{T}} |f(z)|\, |dz| \tag{2.3}$$

for each $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, where $\mathbf{Z}$ denotes the integers.

It is well known that

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}} z^n \, |dz| = 0 \tag{2.4}$$

for each $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $n \ne 0$. One way to see this is to use the fact that $\exp(i\,t)$ parameterizes $\mathbf{T}$ by arc length for $0 \le t \le 2\pi$. Of course, $|\exp(i\,t)| = 1$ for each $t \in \mathbf{R}$, as in (1.16), and the derivative of $\exp(i\,t)$ is equal to i times $\exp(i\,t)$, as one can see by differentiating the power series for the exponential function term by term. Thus the modulus of the derivative of $\exp(i\,t)$ is also equal to 1 for every $t \in \mathbf{R}$, so that $\exp(i\,t)$ goes around the unit circle at unit speed. This permits (2.4) to be reduecd to

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \exp(i\,n\,t)\,dt = 0 \tag{2.5}$$

when $n \ne 0$, which can be derived from the fundamental theorem of calculus.

If f and g are complex-valued square-integrable functions on $\mathbf{T}$, then put

$$\langle f, g\rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{T}} f(z)\,\overline{g(z)}\,|dz|. \tag{2.6}$$

This defines an inner product on $L^2(\mathbf{T})$, with the corresponding norm

$$\Big(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{T}} |f(z)|^2\,|dz|\Big)^{1/2}. \tag{2.7}$$

It is well known that $L^2(\mathbf{T})$ is complete with respect to this norm, and is thus a Hilbert space. Put

$$e_n(z) = z^n \tag{2.8}$$

for each $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $z \in \mathbf{T}$, and observe that the e_n's form an orthonormal collection of functions in $L^2(\mathbf{T})$ with respect to the inner product (2.6), because of (2.4). The nth Fourier coefficient of $f \in L^2(\mathbf{T})$ can be expressed as

$$\widehat{f}(n) = \langle f, e_n\rangle \tag{2.9}$$

for each $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, and we have that

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 \le \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{T}} |f(z)|^2\,|dz|, \tag{2.10}$$

as in (1.41), (1.49), and (1.96) in Sections 1.5, 1.6, and 1.10, respectively.

In particular, the Fourier series (2.2) converges in $L^2(\mathbf{T})$ when $f \in L^2(\mathbf{T})$, as in Sections 1.6 and 1.10. Using the Stone–Weierstrass theorem, one can show that the linear span of the e_n's is dense in the space $C(\mathbf{T})$ of continuous complex-valued functions on $\mathbf{T}$ with respect to the supremum norm, and hence is also dense in $L^2(\mathbf{T})$. This implies that the Fourier series of f converges to f in $L^2(\mathbf{T})$, and we shall see another proof of this later on. Note that the convergence of the sum in (2.10) implies that

$$\lim_{|n|\to\infty} |\widehat{f}(n)| = 0 \tag{2.11}$$

for every $f \in L^2(\mathbf{T})$. This also holds when $f \in L^1(\mathbf{T})$, as one can show using the fact that $L^2(\mathbf{T})$ is dense in $L^1(\mathbf{T})$, and the simple estimate (2.3).

2.2 Abel sums

Let us say that an infinite series $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n$ of complex numbers is *admissible* if $\sum_{n=0}^\infty |a_n|\, r^n$ converges for every $r \in \mathbf{R}$ with $0 \le r < 1$. Of course, the convergence of $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n\, r^n$ implies that $\{a_n\, r^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ converges to 0, and hence is bounded. Conversely, if $\{a_n\, t^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is bounded for some $t \in \mathbf{R}$ with $0 < t < 1$, then $\sum_{n=0}^\infty |a_n|\, r^n$ converges for every $r \in \mathbf{R}$ with $0 \le r < t$, by comparison with the convergent geometric series $\sum_{n=0}^\infty (r/t)^n$. Thus $\sum_{n=0}^\infty$ is admissible if and only if $\{a_n\, t^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is bounded for every $t \in \mathbf{R}$ with $0 \le t < 1$. In particular, $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n$ is admissible when $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is bounded.

Suppose that $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n$ is admissible, and put

$$A(r) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n\, r^n \tag{2.12}$$

for each $r \in \mathbf{R}$ with $0 \le r < 1$. If the limit

$$\lim_{r \to 1-} A(r) \tag{2.13}$$

exists, then $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n$ is said to be *Abel summable*. If $\sum_{n=0}^\infty |a_n|$ converges, then $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n$ is obviously admissible, and one can check that $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n$ is Abel summable, with Abel sum (2.13) equal to the usual sum $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n$. One way to do this is to use the analogue of Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem for sums. Alternatively, if $\sum_{n=0}^\infty |a_n|$ converges, then the partial sums $\sum_{n=0}^N a_n\, r^n$ converge uniformly on $[0, 1]$ as $N \to \infty$ to $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n\, r^n$, by Weierstrass' M-test. This implies that $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n\, r^n$ defines a continuous function of r on $[0, 1]$ in this case, so that (2.13) exists and is equal to $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n$. If a_n is a nonnegative real number for each n, then it is easy to see that the Abel sums $A(r)$ are uniformly bounded for $0 \le r < 1$ if and only if $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n$ converges.

If $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n$ is a convergent series of complex numbers, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = 0$, and hence $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n$ is admissible. It is well known that $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n$ is also Abel summable in this case, with (2.13) equal to $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n$. To see this, let

$$s_n = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j \tag{2.14}$$

be the partial sums of $\sum_{j=0}^\infty a_j$, and put $s_{-1} = 0$ for convenience. Thus $a_n = s_n - s_{n-1}$ for each $n \ge 0$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} A(r) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty s_n\, r^n - \sum_{n=0}^\infty s_{n-1}\, r^n &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty s_n\, r^n - \sum_{n=0}^\infty s_n\, r^{n+1} \\ &= (1 - r) \sum_{n=0}^\infty s_n\, r^n \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

for every $r \in [0, 1)$. This uses the fact that $s_{-1} = 0$ in the second step, and the boundedness of the partial sums s_n to get the convergence of these series when

$0 \le r < 1$. Put $s = \lim_{n \to \infty} s_n = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j$, and observe that

$$A(r) - s = (1 - r) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (s_n - s)\, r^n \tag{2.16}$$

for each $r \in [0, 1)$, since $(1 - r) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n = 1$. One can show that (2.16) tends to 0 as $r \to 1-$, because $\{s_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to s, and $(1 - r)\, s_n\, r^n \to 0$ as $r \to 1$ for each n. If $a_j = z^j$ for some $z \in \mathbf{C}$ with $|z| = 1$ and $z \neq 1$, then $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j$ does not converge, but $A(r) = (1 - r\, z)^{-1}$ for each $r \in [0, 1)$, which tends to $(1 - z)^{-1}$ as $r \to 1-$.

Suppose now that $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n$ is a doubly-infinite series of complex numbers. The preceding discussion can be applied to each of the ordinary infinite series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{-n}, \tag{2.17}$$

or to the series

$$a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n + a_{-n}). \tag{2.18}$$

If both of the series in (2.17) are admissible, then (2.18) is also admissible, and the Abel sums for (2.18) are the same as the sum of the Abel sums for the series in (2.17), which can be expressed as

$$A(r) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n\, r^{|n|}. \tag{2.19}$$

Note that the Fourier series (2.2) of an integrable function f on $\mathbf{T}$ satisfies these conditions for each $z \in \mathbf{T}$, since the Fourier coefficients are bounded, as in (2.3).

2.3 The Poisson kernel

Let f be an integrable complex-valued function on the unit circle $\mathbf{T}$, and consider the Abel sums (2.19) corresponding to the Fourier series (2.2) of f for each $z \in \mathbf{T}$. This is the same as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(n)\, r^{|n|}\, z^n &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(n)\, r^n\, z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(-n)\, r^n\, z^{-n} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(n)\, r^n\, z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(-n)\, r^n\, \overline{z}^n \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

for each $r \in [0, 1)$ and $z \in \mathbf{T}$. Alternatively, if we put $\zeta = r\, z$, then $|\zeta| < 1$, and (2.20) is equal to

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(n)\, \zeta^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(-n)\, \overline{\zeta}^n. \tag{2.21}$$

Note that the first sum in (2.21) defines a holomorphic function on the open unit disk

$$U = \{\zeta \in \mathbf{C} : |\zeta| < 1\}, \tag{2.22}$$

the second sum in (2.21) is the complex-conjugate of a holomorphic function on U, and so the sum of these two function is harmonic on U.

The *Poisson kernel* $P(\zeta, w)$ is defined for $\zeta \in U$ and $w \in \mathbf{T}$ by

$$P(\zeta, w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \zeta^n \, \overline{w}^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\zeta}^n \, w^n. \tag{2.23}$$

Observe that the partial sums of these series converge uniformly over $|\zeta| \le \rho$ and $w \in \mathbf{T}$ for each $\rho < 1$, by Weierstrass' M-test, and in particular that $P(\zeta, w)$ is continuous on $U \times \mathbf{T}$. By construction, (2.21) is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{T}} P(\zeta, w) \, f(w) \, |dw| \tag{2.24}$$

for every $\zeta \in U$, using considerations of uniform convergence to interchange the order of summation and integration. It is easy to see that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{T}} P(\zeta, w) \, |dw| = 1 \tag{2.25}$$

for every $\zeta \in U$, using (2.4), or by applying the previous remarks to the constant function f equal to 1 on $\mathbf{T}$.

In order to compute the Poisson kernel, let us re-express it as

$$P(\zeta, w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \zeta^n \, \overline{w}^n + \overline{\Big(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \zeta^n \, \overline{w}^n \Big)} - 1 = 2 \, \mathrm{Re} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\zeta \, \overline{w})^n - 1. \tag{2.26}$$

Of course, we can sum the geometric series, to get that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\zeta \, \overline{w})^n = \frac{1}{1 - \zeta \, \overline{w}} = \frac{1}{(1 - \zeta \, \overline{w})} \, \frac{(1 - \overline{\zeta} \, w)}{(1 - \overline{\zeta} \, w)} = \frac{1 - \overline{\zeta} \, w}{|1 - \zeta \, \overline{w}|^2} \tag{2.27}$$

for each $\zeta \in U$ and $w \in \mathbf{T}$. This implies that

$$P(\zeta, w) = \frac{2 - 2 \, \mathrm{Re}(\overline{\zeta} \, w) - |1 - \zeta \, \overline{w}|^2}{|1 - \zeta \, \overline{w}|^2} = \frac{1 - |\zeta|^2}{|1 - \zeta \, \overline{w}|^2} \tag{2.28}$$

for every $\zeta \in U$ and $w \in \mathbf{T}$, since

$$|1 - \zeta \, \overline{w}|^2 = (1 - \zeta \, \overline{w})(1 - \overline{\zeta} \, w) = 1 - 2 \, \mathrm{Re}(\overline{\zeta} \, w) + |\zeta|^2 \tag{2.29}$$

when $|w| = 1$. In particular, $P(\zeta, w) \ge 0$ for every $\zeta \in U$ and $w \in \mathbf{T}$.

Because $|w| = 1$, the Poisson kernel can also be given by

$$P(\zeta, w) = \frac{1 - |\zeta|^2}{|\zeta - w|^2} \tag{2.30}$$

for every $\zeta \in U$ and $w \in \mathbf{T}$. Thus, for each $\eta > 0$, we have that

$$P(\zeta, w) \le \eta^{-2} (1 - |\zeta|^2) \tag{2.31}$$

for every $\zeta \in U$ and $w \in \mathbf{T}$ such that $|\zeta - w| \ge \eta$. This implies that

$$P(\zeta, w) \to 0 \tag{2.32}$$

uniformly as $|\zeta| \to 1$ on the set where $|\zeta - w| \ge \eta$, for each $\eta > 0$.

2.4 Continuous functions

Let f be a continuous complex-valued function on the unit circle, and define a complex-valued function u on the closed unit disk

$$\overline{U} = \{\zeta \in \mathbf{C} : |\zeta| \le 1\} \tag{2.33}$$

by putting $u(\zeta) = f(\zeta)$ when $|\zeta| = 1$ and $u(\zeta)$ equal to (2.21) when $|\zeta| < 1$, which is the same as (2.24). Thus the restrictions of u to the open unit disk U and to the unit circle $\mathbf{T}$ are continuous, and one can show that u is actually continuous on the closed unit disk $\overline{U}$. This means that for each $z \in \mathbf{T}$,

$$\lim_{\substack{\zeta \in U \\ \zeta \to z}} u(\zeta) = f(z), \tag{2.34}$$

where the limit as $\zeta \to z$ is taken only over $\zeta \in U$. This can be derived from the properties of the Poisson kernel discussed in the previous section, which imply that $u(\zeta)$ is basically an average of f on $\mathbf{T}$ that is concentrated near z as $\zeta \in U$ approaches z. Note that u is uniformly continuous on $\overline{U}$, since continuous functions on compact metric spaces are always uniformly continuous, and indeed one can also get uniformity of the limit in (2.34) from the uniform continuity of f on $\mathbf{T}$ by the same argument.

Alternatively, put

$$f_r(z) = u(r\,z) \tag{2.35}$$

for each $z \in \mathbf{T}$ and $r \in [0, 1)$, which is the same as (2.20). This can also be expressed as

$$f_r(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{T}} P_r(z, w)\, f(w)\, |dw|, \tag{2.36}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P_r(z, w) = P(r\,z, w) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n\, z^n\, \overline{w}^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^n\, \overline{z}^n\, w^n \\ &= \frac{1 - r^2}{|1 - r\,z\,\overline{w}|^2} \end{aligned} \tag{2.37}$$

is another version of the Poisson kernel. Under these conditions,

$$\lim_{r \to 1-} f_r(z) = f(z) \tag{2.38}$$

uniformly over $z \in \mathbf{T}$. This follows from the uniform continuity of u on the closed unit disk, and it can also be derived from the uniform continuity of f on $\mathbf{T}$, using the same type of argument as for (2.34).

Note that the partial sums of the series in (2.20) converge uniformly over $z \in \mathbf{T}$ for each $r \in [0, 1)$. This follows from Weierstrass' M-test and the boundedness of the Fourier coefficients of f, as in (2.3). In particular, f_r can be approximated uniformly on $\mathbf{T}$ by finite linear combinations of the functions z^n with $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ for each $r \in [0, 1)$. This implies that every continuous function f on $\mathbf{T}$ can be approximated uniformly on $\mathbf{T}$ by finite linear combinations of the z^n's, because of the uniform convergence in (2.38).

As in Section 2.1, the linear span of the z^n's is also dense in $L^2(\mathbf{T})$, because continuous functions are dense in $L^2(\mathbf{T})$. Thus the z^n's with $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ form an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathbf{T})$. This implies that the Fourier series of $f \in L^2(\mathbf{T})$ converges in $L^2(\mathbf{T})$, and hence that

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{T}} |f(z)|^2 \, |dz|. \tag{2.39}$$

2.5 Integrable functions

Let f be a complex-valued integrable function on the unit circle, and for each $r \in [0, 1)$, let f_r be the function on the unit circle which is given by (2.20), or equivalently (2.36). Thus

$$|f_r(z)| \le \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{T}} P_r(z, w) \, |f(w)| \, |dw| \tag{2.40}$$

for every $z \in \mathbf{T}$ and $r \in [0, 1)$, since $P_r(z, w) \ge 0$, as before. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{T}} |f_r(z)| \, |dz| &\le \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \int_{\mathbf{T}} P_r(z, w) \, |f(w)| \, |dw| \, |dz| \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \Big(\int_{\mathbf{T}} P_r(z, w) \, |dz| \Big) \, |f(w)| \, |dw|, \end{aligned} \tag{2.41}$$

using Fubini's theorem in the second step. Observe that

$$P_r(z, w) = P_r(w, z) \tag{2.42}$$

for every $z, w \in \mathbf{T}$ and $r \in [0, 1)$, so that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{T}} P_r(z, w) \, |dz| = 1 \tag{2.43}$$

for every $w \in \mathbf{T}$ and $r \in [0, 1)$, by (2.25). Plugging this into (2.41), we get that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{T}} |f_r(z)| \, |dz| \le \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{T}} |f(w)| \, |dw| \tag{2.44}$$

for every $r \in [0, 1)$.

Using (2.44), one can show that

$$\lim_{r \to 1-} f_r = f \tag{2.45}$$

for every $f \in L^1(\mathbf{T})$, where the convergence takes place with respect to the L^1 norm. More precisely, if f is a continuous function on $\mathbf{T}$, then we already know that (2.45) holds uniformly on $\mathbf{T}$, and hence with respect to the L^1 norm. If f is an integrable function on $\mathbf{T}$, then one can show that (2.45) holds with respect to the L^1 norm, by approximating f by continuous functions on $\mathbf{T}$ with respect to the L^1 norm, and using (2.44) to estimate the errors.

Suppose now that $f \in L^p(\mathbf{T})$ for some p, $1 < p < \infty$. Because $|t|^p$ is a convex function on the real line when $p \ge 1$, one can use (2.25), (2.41), and Jensen's inequality to get that

$$|f_r(z)|^p \le \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{T}} P_r(z, w)\, |f(w)|^p \, |dw| \tag{2.46}$$

for every $z \in \mathbf{T}$ and $r \in [0, 1)$. This implies that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{T}} |f_r(z)|^p \, |dz| \le \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{T}} |f(w)|^p \, |dw| \tag{2.47}$$

for every $r \in [0, 1)$, by integrating (2.46) over $z \in \mathbf{T}$ and interchanging the order of integration, as before. One can also show that (2.45) holds with respect to the L^p norm when $f \in L^p(\mathbf{T})$ and $1 < p < \infty$, by approximating f by continuous functions and using (2.47) to estimate the errors again. If $p = 2$, then the series expansion (2.20) for $f_r(z)$ implies that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{T}} |f_r(z)|^2 \, |dz| = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} r^{|n|} \, |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 \tag{2.48}$$

for each $r \in [0, 1)$, because of the orthonormality of the z^n's in $L^2(\mathbf{T})$. In particular, this implies (2.47) in this case, because of (2.39). One can also use the series expansion (2.20) and the orthonormality of the z^n's to show that (2.45) holds with respect to the L^2 norm. This is analogous to the convergence of the Abel sums of an absolutely convergent series.

If f is a bounded measurable function on the unit circle, then (2.40) and (2.25) imply that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbf{T}} |f_r(z)| \le \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{T})} \tag{2.49}$$

for every $r \in [0, 1)$, where $\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{T})}$ is the usual L^∞ norm of f, which is the essential supremum of $|f|$ on $\mathbf{T}$. However, if f_r converges to f as $r \to 1-$ with respect to the L^∞ norm, then f has to be the same as a continuous function almost everywhere on $\mathbf{T}$. Remember that the L^∞ norm of a continuous function on $\mathbf{T}$ is equal to its supremum norm, so that the convergence of a sequence of continuous functions on $\mathbf{T}$ with respect to the L^∞ norm implies that the sequence is also a Cauchy sequence with respect to the supremum norm. This implies that the sequence converges with respect to the supremum norm on $\mathbf{T}$, and that the limit is a continuous function on $\mathbf{T}$. Of course, the limit of the sequence with respect to the L^∞ norm is equal to the limit with respect to the supremum norm almost everywhere on $\mathbf{T}$.

2.6 Borel measures

Let μ be a complex Borel measure on the unit circle. The *Fourier coefficients* of μ are defined by

$$\widehat{\mu}(n) = \int_{\mathbf{T}} \overline{z}^n \, d\mu(z) \tag{2.50}$$

for each $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, and the corresponding *Fourier series* is given by

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{\mu}(n) \, z^n. \tag{2.51}$$

If f is an integrable function on $\mathbf{T}$, and if μ is the Borel measure defined by

$$\mu(A) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_A f(z) \, |dz| \tag{2.52}$$

for each Borel set $A \subseteq \mathbf{T}$, then (2.50) and (2.51) are the same as (2.1) and (2.2) for f, respectively. As in (2.3),

$$|\widehat{\mu}(n)| \le |\mu|(\mathbf{T}) \tag{2.53}$$

for each $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, where $|\mu|$ denotes the total variation measure on $\mathbf{T}$ associated to μ. However, it is not necessary for $\widehat{\mu}(n)$ to tend to 0 as $|n| \to \infty$, as one can see by taking μ to be a Dirac mass at a point in $\mathbf{T}$.

As before, put

$$\mu_r(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{\mu}(n) \, r^{|n|} \, z^n \tag{2.54}$$

for each $z \in \mathbf{T}$ and $r \in [0, 1)$, so that

$$\mu_r(z) = \int_{\mathbf{T}} P_r(z, w) \, d\mu(w), \tag{2.55}$$

as in Section 2.4. Thus

$$|\mu_r(z)| \le \int_{\mathbf{T}} P_r(z, w) \, d|\mu|(w) \tag{2.56}$$

for each $z \in \mathbf{T}$ and $r \in [0, 1)$, as in the previous section. This implies that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{T}} |\mu_r(z)| \, |dz| \le |\mu|(\mathbf{T}) \tag{2.57}$$

for each $r \in [0, 1)$, by interchanging the order of integration and using (2.43), as in the case of integrable functions. Note that $\mu_r(z)$ is a nonnegative real number for each $z \in \mathbf{T}$ and $r \in [0, 1)$ when μ is a nonnegative real-valued measure on $\mathbf{T}$, since the Poisson kernel is real-valued and nonnegative.

If f is a continuous complex-valued function on $\mathbf{T}$, then it is easy to see that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \mu_r(z)\, f(z)\, |dz| = \int_{\mathbf{T}} f_r(z)\, d\mu(z) \tag{2.58}$$

for every $r \in [0, 1)$, where $f_r(z)$ is as in (2.35). We have already seen that $f_r \to f$ uniformly on $\mathbf{T}$ as $r \to 1-$, which implies that

$$\lim_{r \to 1-} \int_{\mathbf{T}} f_r(z)\, d\mu(z) = \int_{\mathbf{T}} f(z)\, d\mu(z). \tag{2.59}$$

This shows that

$$\lim_{r \to 1-} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \mu_r(z)\, f(z)\, |dz| = \int_{\mathbf{T}} f(z)\, d\mu(z) \tag{2.60}$$

for every continuous function f on $\mathbf{T}$.

Of course,

$$\lambda(f) = \int_{\mathbf{T}} f(z)\, d\mu(z) \tag{2.61}$$

defines a linear functional on the vector space $C(\mathbf{T})$ of continuous complex-valued functions on $\mathbf{T}$. More precisely, this is a bounded linear functional on $C(\mathbf{T})$ with respect to the supremum norm, because

$$|\lambda(f)| \le \int_{\mathbf{T}} |f(z)|\, d|\mu|(z) \le \Big(\sup_{z \in \mathbf{T}} |f(z)|\Big)\, |\mu|(\mathbf{T}) \tag{2.62}$$

for every $f \in C(\mathbf{T})$. This implies that the dual norm of λ with respect to the supremum norm on $C(\mathbf{T})$ is less than or equal to $|\mu|(\mathbf{T})$, and one can show that the dual norm of λ is actually equal to $|\mu|(\mathbf{T})$. Conversely, a version of the Riesz representation theorem states that every bounded linear functional on $C(\mathbf{T})$ is of this form, as in Section 1.14. Put

$$\lambda_r(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{T}} \mu_r(z)\, f(z)\, |dz| \tag{2.63}$$

for each $r \in [0, 1)$, so that λ_r is a bounded linear functional on $C(\mathbf{T})$ for each $r \in [0, 1)$. Thus (2.60) says exactly that $\lambda_r \to \lambda$ as $r \to 1-$ with respect to the weak* topology on the dual of $C(\mathbf{T})$. Note that λ_r does not normally converge to λ as $r \to 1-$ with respect to the dual norm associated to the supremum norm on $C(\mathbf{T})$, which is the same as saying that $(1/2\pi)\, \mu_r(z)\, |dz|$ does not normally converge to μ as $r \to 1-$ with respect to the total variation norm on the space of complex Borel measures on $\mathbf{T}$. This type of convergence would imply that $\mu_r(z)$ converges in $L^1(\mathbf{T})$ as $r \to 1-$, in which case μ would be absolutely continuous, with density equal to the limit of μ_r in $L^1(\mathbf{T})$ as $r \to 1-$.

2.7 Absolutely convergent series

Let $\{a_j\}_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be a doubly-infinite sequence of complex numbers such that

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_j| = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |a_j| + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_{-j}| \tag{2.64}$$

converges, so that $\{a_j\}_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}$ corresponds exactly to a summable function on $\mathbf{Z}$, as in Section 1.8. Put

$$f(z) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_j\, z^j \tag{2.65}$$

for each $z \in \mathbf{T}$, which may be considered as the sum of the two absolutely convergent series $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j\, z^j$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{-j}\, z^{-j}$. Weierstrass' M-test implies that the partial sums of these series converge uniformly on $\mathbf{T}$, and hence that f is a continuous function on $\mathbf{T}$. It is easy to see that

$$\widehat{f}(j) = a_j \tag{2.66}$$

for each $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, using the uniform convergence of the partial sums to interchange the order of summation and integration in the definition (2.1) of the Fourier coefficients of f. Thus (2.65) is the same as the Fourier series of f in this case.

Conversely, suppose that f is an integrable function on $\mathbf{T}$, and that

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{f}(j)| = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\widehat{f}(j)| + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\widehat{f}(-j)| \tag{2.67}$$

converges, so that the Fourier series (2.2) of f converges absolutely for each $z \in \mathbf{T}$. Under these conditions, we have seen in Section 2.5 that the Abel sums of the Fourier series of f converge to f with respect to the L^1 norm, which implies that f is equal to the function defined by its Fourier series almost everywhere on $\mathbf{T}$. Similarly, if μ is a Borel measure on $\mathbf{T}$, then we have seen in the previous section that the Abel sums of the Fourier series of μ converge to μ with respect to the weak* topology on the space bounded linear functionals on $C(\mathbf{T})$. If the Fourier coefficients of μ are absolutely summable, then it follows that μ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure on $\mathbf{T}$, with density equal to the function defined by the Fourier series of μ.

Let $\{a_j\}_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be a doubly-infinite sequence of complex numbers for which (2.64) converges, as before, and let $f(z)$ be the corresponding function defined on $\mathbf{T}$ as in (2.65). Also let $\{b_k\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be another doubly-infinite sequence of complex numbers such that $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |b_k|$ converges, and put

$$g(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} b_k\, z^k \tag{2.68}$$

for each $z \in \mathbf{T}$. The product of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ can be expressed formally as

$$f(z)\, g(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n\, z^n, \tag{2.69}$$

where

$$c_n = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_j\, b_{n-j} \tag{2.70}$$

for each integer n. More precisely, it is easy to see that the series in (2.70) converges absolutely for each n, using the convergence of (2.64) and the fact that the b_k's are uniformly bounded, because of the convergence of $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |b_k|$. We also have that

$$|c_n| \le \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_j|\, |b_{n-j}| \tag{2.71}$$

for each integer n, and hence

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |c_n| \le \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_j|\, |b_{n-j}| \Big). \tag{2.72}$$

Interchanging the order of summation on the right side of (2.72), we get that

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |c_n| \le \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \Big(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_j|\, |b_{n-j}| \Big). \tag{2.73}$$

Of course,

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_j|\, |b_{n-j}| = |a_j| \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |b_{n-j}| = |a_j| \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |b_k| \tag{2.74}$$

for each j, and so we can substitute this into (2.73) to get that

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |c_n| \le \Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_j| \Big) \Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |b_k| \Big). \tag{2.75}$$

This shows that $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |c_n|$ converges, so that the series on the right side of (2.69) converges absolutely for every $z \in \mathbf{T}$. Similarly, one can check that (2.69) holds for every $z \in \mathbf{T}$, by interchanging the order of summation.

Suppose now that $f(z), g(z) \in L^2(\mathbf{T})$, so that their product $f(z)\, g(z)$ is an integrable function on $\mathbf{T}$. Let us check that

$$\widehat{(f\, g)}(n) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(j)\, \widehat{g}(n-j) \tag{2.76}$$

for each integer n. Remember that $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{f}(j)|^2$ converges when $f \in L^2(\mathbf{T})$, as in (2.10), and similarly for g. In particular, this implies that the sum on the right side of (2.76) converges absolutely, as in Section 1.10. If $g(z) = z^l$ for some integer l, then $\widehat{g}(k)$ is equal to 1 when $k = l$ and to 0 otherwise, in which case (2.76) can be verified directly from the definitions. If $g(z)$ is a linear combination of z^l's for finitely many integers l, then (2.76) follows from the previous case by linearity. If g is any L^2 function on $\mathbf{T}$, then we have seen that $g(z)$ can be approximated by linear combinations of z^l's in the L^2 norm, and one can use this to derive (2.76) from the preceding case.

2.8 Holomorphic functions

Let f be an integrable complex-valued function on the unit circle, and let $u(\zeta)$ be the function on the open unit disk U defined by (2.21). Suppose that $\widehat{f}(n) = 0$ for each negative integer n, so that

$$u(\zeta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(n)\, \zeta^n \tag{2.77}$$

is a holomorphic function on the unit disk. Put $f_r(z) = u(r\, z)$ for each $z \in \mathbf{T}$ and $r \in [0, 1)$, as in (2.35), so that

$$f_r(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(n)\, r^n\, z^n. \tag{2.78}$$

Remember that $f_r \to f$ as $r \to 1-$ with respect to the L^p norm when f is an L^p function on $\mathbf{T}$ and $1 \le p < \infty$, and that $f_r \to f$ uniformly on $\mathbf{T}$ as $r \to 1-$ when f is continuous on $\mathbf{T}$, as in Sections 2.4 and 2.5. It follows that f can be approximated by finite linear combinations of the z^n's with $n \ge 0$ with respect to the L^p norm when f is an L^p function on $\mathbf{T}$ and $1 \le p < \infty$, and with respect to the supremum norm when f is continuous on $\mathbf{T}$, since the partial sums of (2.78) converge to f_r uniformly on $\mathbf{T}$ by Weierstrass' M-test.

Conversely, if $u(\zeta)$ is a holomorphic function on U, then Cauchy's theorem implies that

$$\oint_{\mathbf{T}} u(r\, z)\, z^n\, dz = 0 \tag{2.79}$$

for every $r \in [0, 1)$ and nonnegative integer n. This implies that

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}} u(r\, z)\, z^n\, |dz| = 0 \tag{2.80}$$

for each $r \in [0, 1]$ and positive integer n, since $dz - i\, z\, |dz|$ on the unit circle. If u is continuous on the closed unit disk $\overline{U}$, and if f is the restriction of u to $\mathbf{T}$, then it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}} f(z)\, z^n\, |dz| = 0 \tag{2.81}$$

for every positive integer n, by taking the limit as $r \to 1-$ in (2.80). This also works when $u(r\, z) \to f(z)$ as $r \to 1-$ with respect to the L^1 norm on $\mathbf{T}$, instead of asking that u be continuous on $\overline{U}$. Of course, (2.81) is the same as saying that $\widehat{f}(n) = 0$ for each negative integer n.

Suppose now that $f, g \in L^2(\mathbf{T})$ satisfy $\widehat{f}(n) = \widehat{g}(n) = 0$ for every negative integer n. In this case, (2.76) implies that

$$\widehat{(f\, g)}(n) = 0 \tag{2.82}$$

when $n < 0$, and

$$\widehat{(f, g)}(n) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \widehat{f}(j)\, \widehat{g}(n - j) \tag{2.83}$$

when $n \geq 0$. This also works when $f \in L^p(\mathbf{T})$, $g \in L^q(\mathbf{T})$, and $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ are conjugate exponents, which is to say that $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Note that the product $f(z)\, g(z)$ is an integrable function on $\mathbf{T}$, because of Hölder's inequality. As before, one can verify (2.82) and (2.83) under these conditions by approximating f or g by finite linear combinations of z^l's with $l \geq 0$. More precisely, it is better to approximate f by finite linear combinations of z^l's when $p = 1$ and $q = \infty$, and similarly to approximate g by finite linear combinations of z^l's when $q = 1$ and $p = \infty$. This is a bit simpler than (2.76), in that one does not have to be concerned with convergence of an infinite sum of products of Fourier coefficients in the present situation. Once one has (2.82) and (2.83), it follows that one gets a holomorphic function on U associated to $f\, g$ as in (2.77), and that this function is equal to the product of the holomorphic functions on U associated to f and g in the same way.

If μ is a complex Borel measure on $\mathbf{T}$ such that $\widehat{\mu}(n) = 0$ for each negative integer n, then it can be shown that μ is absolutely continuous on $\mathbf{T}$, so that μ is defined by an integrable function on $\mathbf{T}$. This is a famous theorem of F. and M. Riesz.

Chapter 3

Topological groups

3.1 Definitions and basic properties

Let G be a group, in which the group operations are expressed multiplicatively. Thus the product of $x, y \in G$ is denoted $x\,y$, e is the identity element in G, and x^{-1} is the inverse of $x \in G$. Suppose that G is also equipped with a topology, and that the group operations are continuous with respect to this topology. More precisely, this means that multiplication is continuous as a mapping from $(x, y) \in G \times G$ to $x\,y \in G$, using the product topology on $G \times G$ associated to the given topology on G, and that $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ is continuous as a mapping from G into itself. In order for G to be a *topological group*, it is customary to ask in addition that $\{e\}$ be a closed subset of G.

The real line $\mathbf{R}$ is a commutative topological group, with respect to addition and the standard topology. The unit circle $\mathbf{T}$ is a commutative topological group with respect to multiplication, using the topology induced on $\mathbf{T}$ by the standard topology on the complex plane. Any group G is a topological group with respect to the discrete topology on G. We shall be especially interested in topological groups that are locally compact as topological spaces, which includes the examples just mentioned.

If G is a topological group and $a \in G$, then the corresponding left translation

$$x \mapsto a\,x \tag{3.1}$$

is continuous as a mapping from G into itself, by continuity of multiplication in G. Similarly, $x \mapsto a^{-1}\,x$ is also continuous, and is the inverse mapping associated to (3.1), so that (3.1) is a homeomorphism from G onto itself for each $a \in G$. The same argument shows that the right translation mapping

$$x \mapsto x\,b \tag{3.2}$$

is a homeomorphism from G onto itself for each $b \in G$. It follows that $\{a\}$ is a closed subset of G for every $a \in G$.

If $a, b \in G$ and $A, B \subseteq G$, then put

$$a\,B = \{a\,b : b \in B\} \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$A\,b = \{a\,b : a \in A\}. \tag{3.4}$$

Equivalently, $a\,B$ is the image of B under the left translation (3.1), and $A\,b$ is the image of A under the right translation (3.2). In particular, $a\,B$ and $A\,b$ have the same topological properties as A and B, respectively, such as being open, closed, compact, or connected. Also put

$$A\,B = \{a\,b : a \in A,\ b \in B\}, \tag{3.5}$$

which is the same as

$$A\,B = \bigcup_{a \in A} a\,B = \bigcup_{b \in B} A\,b. \tag{3.6}$$

This implies that $A\,B$ is an open set in G when A or B is an open set.

Note that $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ is a homeomorphism from G onto itself, since it is continuous and its own inverse. Thus

$$A^{-1} = \{a^{-1} : a \in A\} \tag{3.7}$$

also has the same topological properties as A.

If W is an open set in G such that $e \in W$, then there are open subsets U, V of G such that $e \in U$, $e \in V$, and

$$U\,V \subseteq W, \tag{3.8}$$

because of continuity of multiplication at e. Let x, y be distinct elements of G, so that the set W of $w \in G$ with $w \neq x^{-1}\,y$ is an open set in G that contains e. If U, V are as before, then (3.8) says that $u\,v \neq x^{-1}\,y$ for every $u \in U$ and $v \in V$, which implies that $x\,u \neq y\,v^{-1}$ for every $u \in U$ and $v \in V$, or equivalently

$$(x\,U) \cap (y\,V^{-1}) = \emptyset. \tag{3.9}$$

This shows that G is Hausdorff as a topological space, since $x\,U$ and $y\,V^{-1}$ are disjoint open subsets of G that contain x and y, respectively.

Now let x be an element of G, and let E be a closed set in G such that $x \notin E$. Thus $x^{-1}\,E$ is a closed set in G that does not contain e, so that its complement W is an open set that contains e. If U and V are as in the previous paragraph, then $u\,v \notin x^{-1}\,E$ for every $u \in U$ and $v \in V$, which is the same as saying that $x\,u \notin E\,v^{-1}$ for every $u \in U$ and $v \in V$. Equivalently,

$$(x\,U) \cap (E\,V^{-1}) = \emptyset, \tag{3.10}$$

which implies that G is regular as a topological space, because $x\,U$ is an open set that contains x, and $E\,V^{-1}$ is an open set that contains E. Note that we could have applied the same argument to $E\,x^{-1}$ instead of $x^{-1}\,E$, to get open subsets $\widetilde{U}$, $\widetilde{V}$ of G containing e such that

$$(\widetilde{V}\,x) \cap (\widetilde{U}^{-1}\,E) = \emptyset. \tag{3.11}$$

This would also imply that G is regular, since $\widetilde{V}\,x$ and $\widetilde{U}^{-1}\,E$ are disjoint open sets that contain x and E, respectively. Alternatively, one could get open sets like these by applying the previous argument to E^{-1} and x^{-1}, and then using the mapping $a \mapsto a^{-1}$.

Let E be a closed set in G again, and let K be a compact set such that $K \cap E = \emptyset$. If $x \in K$, then $x \notin E$, and hence there are open subsets $U(x)$, $V(x)$ of G containing e such that

$$(x\,U(x)) \cap (E\,V(x)^{-1}) = \emptyset, \tag{3.12}$$

as in (3.10). Because of continuity of multiplication at e, for each $x \in K$ there is an open set $U_1(x)$ in G such that $e \in U_1(x)$ and

$$U_1(x)\,U_1(x) \subseteq U(x). \tag{3.13}$$

Of course, K is covered by the open sets $x\,U_1(x)$ with $x \in K$, and hence there are finitely many elements $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ of K such that

$$K \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} x_j\,U_1(x_j), \tag{3.14}$$

by the compactness of K. Put

$$U_1 = \bigcap_{j=1}^{n} U_1(x_j) \quad \text{and} \quad V = \bigcap_{j=1}^{n} V(x_j), \tag{3.15}$$

so that U_1, V are open subsets of G containing e. Thus

$$(x_j\,U_1(x_j)\,U_1) \cap (E\,V^{-1}) = \emptyset \tag{3.16}$$

for $j = 1, \ldots, n$, by (3.13), which implies that

$$(K\,U_1) \cap (E\,V^{-1}) = \emptyset, \tag{3.17}$$

because of (3.14). As before, one could just as well get that

$$(\widetilde{U}_1\,K) \cap (\widetilde{V}^{-1}\,E) = \emptyset \tag{3.18}$$

for some open sets $\widetilde{U}_1$, $\widetilde{V}$ containing e by an analogous argument, or by applying the previous argument to K^{-1} and E^{-1} and using the mapping $a \mapsto a^{-1}$.

If A and B are compact subsets of G, then $A\,B$ is also compact, because $A \times B$ is compact with respect to the product topology, and the group operation is a continuous mapping that sends $A \times B$ onto $A\,B$. Of course, compact subsets of G are closed, because G is Hausdorff. Suppose now that A is compact and B is closed, and let us check that $A\,B$ is also closed. If $x \in G$ is not in $A\,B$, then $x \neq a\,b$ for every $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, so that $a^{-1}\,x \neq b$ for every $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, and hence $(A^{-1}\,x) \cap B = \emptyset$. Thus we can apply the argument in the previous paragraph to $K = A^{-1}\,x$ and $E = B$, to get an open set U_1 in G such that $e \in U_1$ and

$$(A^{-1}\,x\,U_1) \cap B = \emptyset. \tag{3.19}$$

This is the same as saying that $a^{-1}\,x\,u \neq b$ for each $a \in A$, $b \in B$, and $u \in U_1$, so that $x\,u \neq a\,b$ for every $a \in A$, $b \in B$, and $u \in U_1$, and hence

$$(x\,U_1) \cap (A\,B) = \emptyset. \tag{3.20}$$

This implies that the complement of $A\,B$ is an open set, so that $A\,B$ is closed, as desired. Similarly, if A is closed and B is compact, then $A\,B$ is closed too.

Let E be any subset of G, and let $\overline{E}$ be the closure of E in G. Thus $x \in \overline{E}$ if and only if every neighborhood of x in G contains an element of E, which is the same as saying that $(x\,U) \cap E \neq \emptyset$ for every open set U in G with $e \in U$, and that $(U\,x) \cap E \neq \emptyset$ for every such U. As usual, $(x\,U) \cap E \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $x \in E\,U^{-1}$, and similarly $(U\,x) \cap E \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $x \in U^{-1}\,E$. Hence

$$\overline{E} = \bigcap E\,V = \bigcap V\,E, \tag{3.21}$$

where the intersection is taken over all open subsets V of G with $e \in V$. In particular, $\overline{E}$ is contained in $E\,V$ and in $V\,E$ for every such V.

3.2 Metrizability

If X is any topological space, then a simple necessary condition for the existence of a metric on X that determines the same topology is that for each $p \in X$ there be a local base for the topology of X at p with only finitely or countably many elements. Although this necessary condition is not sufficient for arbitrary topological spaces, it turns out to be sufficient for topological groups. Of course, if a topological group has a local base for its topology at the identity e with only finitely or countably many elements, then it has such a local base at every point, because of continuity of translations.

More precisely, a metric $d(x, y)$ on a topological group G is said to be *left invariant* if

$$d(a\,x, a\,y) = d(x, y) \tag{3.22}$$

for every $a, x, y \in G$. Similarly, $d(x, y)$ is said to be *right invariant* if

$$d(x\,b, y\,b) = d(x, y) \tag{3.23}$$

for every $b, x, y \in G$. If there is a local base for the topology of G at e with only finitely or countably many elements, then a well-known theorem states that there is a left-invariant metric on G that determines the same topology. One could instead get a right-invariant metric, by the same argument, or using the mapping $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ to switch between the two.

The standard metric on the real line is invariant under translations, as is the restriction of the standard metric on the complex plane to the unit circle as a group with respect to multiplication. The discrete metric on any group is invariant under left and right translations. If G is a Lie group, then one can first get a smooth Riemannian metric on G that is invariant under left translations, by choosing an inner product on the tangent space at e and extending it to the rest of G using left translations. If G is also connected, then the corresponding Riemannian distance function defines a left-invariant metric on G. One can get right-invariant Riemannian metrics and distance functions in the same way, and the distance function will be invariant under both left and right translations when the Riemannian metric is invariant under both left and right translations.

Let G be a topological group, and let $\mathcal{B}(e)$ be a local base for the topology of G at e. If E is any subset of G, then it is easy to see that

$$\overline{E} = \bigcap_{V \in \mathcal{B}(e)} E\,V = \bigcap_{V \in \mathcal{B}(e)} V\,E, \tag{3.24}$$

by (3.21). In particular, if $\mathcal{B}(e)$ is countable, then every closed set in E can be expressed as the intersection of a sequence of open sets. Equivalently, every open set in G can be expressed as the union of a sequence of closed sets. This is a well-known property of metric spaces, which can be verified directly in this way when $\mathcal{B}(e)$ is countable.

Similarly, if A is a dense subset of G, then one can check that the collection of open sets of the form $a\,U$ with $a \in A$ and $U \in \mathcal{B}(e)$ is a base for the topology of G. If A and $\mathcal{B}(e)$ are countable, then it follows that G has a countable base for its topology. This is another well-known property of metric spaces that can be verified more directly when $\mathcal{B}(e)$ is countable. Of course, the collection of open sets of the form $U\,a$ with $a \in A$ and $U \in \mathcal{B}(e)$ is also a base for the topology of G under these conditions.

If G_1 and G_2 are topological groups, then their Cartesian product $G_1 \times G_2$ is also a topological group, with respect to the product topology and group structure. Note that $G_1 \times G_2$ is locally compact when G_1 and G_2 are locally compact. Suppose that $d_1(x_1, y_1)$ and $d_2(x_2, y_2)$ are metrics on G_1 and G_2, respectively, that determine their given topologies. As in Section 1.16, (1.149) and (1.150) define metrics on $G_1 \times G_2$ corresponding to the product topology. If $d_1(x_1, y_1)$ and $d_2(x_2, y_2)$ are both invariant under left or right translations, then it is easy to see that (1.149) and (1.150) have the same property.

Now let I be an infinite set, and suppose that for each $j \in I$ we have a topological group G_j. It is easy to see that the Cartesian product $G = \prod_{j \in I} G_j$ is also a topological group, with respect to the product topology and group structure again. If G_j is compact for each $j \in I$, then $\prod_{j \in I} G_j$ is compact as well, by Tychonoff's theorem. If I is countably infinite and $d_j(x_j, y_j)$ is a metric on G_j that determines the given topology for each $j \in I$, then we can get a metric on G corresponding to the product topology as in (1.152) in Section

1.16. This metric is invariant under left translations on G when $d_j(x_j, y_j)$ is invariant under left translations on G_j for each $j \in I$, and similarly for right translations.

3.3 Uniform continuity

Let G be a topological group, and let f be a real or complex-valued function on G. We say that f is *left uniformly continuous* along a set $A \subseteq G$ if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is an open set $U \subseteq G$ such that $e \in U$ and

$$|f(u\,x) - f(x)| < \epsilon \tag{3.25}$$

for every $x \in A$ and $u \in U$. Similarly, we say that f is *right uniformly continuous* along A if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an open set $U \subseteq G$ such that $e \in U$ and

$$|f(x\,u) - f(x)| < \epsilon \tag{3.26}$$

for every $x \in A$ and $u \in U$. Of course, these two conditions are equivalent when G is abelian, and they are analogous to uniform continuity conditions for functions on metric spaces. If the topology on G is determined by a metric $d(x, y)$ that is invariant under right translations, then left uniform continuity can be reformulated in terms of a uniform continuity condition with respect to $d(x, y)$. In the same way, if the topology on G is determined by a metric $d(x, y)$ that is invariant under left translations, the right uniform continuity can be reformulated in terms of a uniform continuity condition with respect to $d(x, y)$.

If f is continuous on G and A is compact, then f is automatically both left and right uniformly continuous along A. This is analogous to uniform continuity properties of continuous functions on compact subsets of metric spaces. To see this, let $\epsilon > 0$ be given, and for each $p \in A$ let $U(p) \subseteq G$ be an open set such that $e \in U(p)$ and

$$|f(u\,p) - f(p)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \tag{3.27}$$

for every $u \in U(p)$. Using the continuity of multiplication at e, we get for each $p \in A$ an open set $U_1(p) \subseteq G$ such that $e \in U_1(p)$ and

$$U_1(p)\,U_1(p) \subseteq U(p). \tag{3.28}$$

Thus $U_1(p)\,p$ is an open set that contains p for each $p \in A$, and compactness of A implies that there are finitely many points $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ in A such that

$$A \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} U_1(p_j)\,p_j. \tag{3.29}$$

Put $U = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} U_1(p_j)$, which is an open set in G that contains e. If $x \in A$ and $u \in U$, then $x \in U_1(p_j)\,p_j$ for some j, $1 \le j \le n$, and hence

$$u\,x \in U\,U_1(p_j)\,p \subseteq U_1(p_j)\,U_1(p_j)\,p_j \subseteq U(p_j)\,p_j. \tag{3.30}$$

It follows that

$$|f(u\,x) - f(x)| \le |f(u\,x) - f(p_j)| + |f(x) - f(p_j)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon, \tag{3.31}$$

using (3.27) with $p = p_j$ twice in the second step. This implies that f is left uniformly continuous along A, and one can show that f is right uniformly continuous along A in essentially the same way. Alternatively, one can derive the right uniform continuity of f along A from the left uniform continuity of $f(x^{-1})$ along A^{-1}.

If f is a continuous function on G with compact support, then f is left and right uniformly continuous along $\operatorname{supp} f$, as before. It is not difficult to check that f is actually left and right uniformly continuous on G under these conditions. One way to do this is to take the open sets U in the definition of uniform continuity to be symmetric about e, in the sense that $U^{-1} = U$, by replacing U with $U \cap U^{-1}$. Similarly, if f is a continuous function on G that vanishes at infinity, then f is left and right uniformly continuous on G. If $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of left or right uniformly continuous functions on G that converges uniformly to a function f on G, then it is easy to see that f is also left or right uniformly continuous on G, as appropriate, by standard arguments. In particular, the spaces of left or right uniformly continuous functions on G that are bounded on G are closed in $C_b(G)$ with respect to the supremum norm.

Let f be a continuous function on G, and for each $a \in G$ let $L_a(f)$ be the function defined on G by

$$(L_a(f))(x) = f(a^{-1}\,x) \tag{3.32}$$

for each $x \in G$. If b is another element of G, then

$$\begin{aligned} (L_a(L_b(f)))(x) &= (L_b(f))(a^{-1}\,x) = f(b^{-1}\,a^{-1}\,x) \\ &= f((a\,b)^{-1}\,x) = (L_{a\,b}(f))(x) \end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

for every $x \in G$. Similarly, let $R_a(f)$ be the function defined on G by

$$(R_a(f))(x) = f(x\,a) \tag{3.34}$$

for every $x \in G$, and observe that

$$(R_a(R_b(f)))(x) = (R_b(f))(x\,a) = f(x\,a\,b) = (R_{a\,b}(f))(x) \tag{3.35}$$

for every $a, b, x \in G$. Of course, $L_a(f)$ and $R_a(f)$ are continuous functions on G for every $a \in G$ when f is continuous on G, because of continuity of translations. The condition that f be left uniformly continuous on G can be reformulated as saying that $L_a(f) \to f$ uniformly on G as $a \to e$, and the condition that f be right uniformly continuous on G is equivalent to asking that $R_a(f) \to f$ uniformly on G as $a \to e$.

3.4 Haar measure

Let G be a locally compact topological group. It is well known that there is a nonnegative Borel measure H_L on G with suitable regularities properties such that $H_L(K) < \infty$ for every compact set K in G, $H_L(U) > 0$ for every nonempty open set U in G, and

$$H_L(a\,E) = H_L(E) \tag{3.36}$$

for every Borel set $E \subseteq G$ and $a \in G$. This measure H_L is said to be a left-invariant *Haar measure* on G, and it is unique in the sense that any other Borel measure on G with the same properties is equal to a positive real number times H_L. Although we shall not discuss the proof of existence of Haar measure here, let us mention some basic examples where it is easy to identify. If G is equipped with the discrete topology, then we can simply take H_L to be counting measure on G. Lebesgue measure on the real line satisfies the requirements of Haar measure with respect to addition, and arc length measure on the unit circle satisfies the requirements of Haar measure with respect to multiplication. If G is a Lie group, then Haar measure on G can be obtained from a left-invariant volume form.

Similarly, a nonnegative Borel measure H_R on a locally compact group G with suitable regularity properties is a right-invariant Haar measure on G if $H_R(K) < \infty$ for every compact set K in G, $H_R(U) > 0$ for every nonempty open set U in G, and

$$H_R(E\,b) = H_R(E) \tag{3.37}$$

for every Borel set E in G and $b \in G$. Observe that H_R is a right-invariant Haar measure on G if and only if $H_R(E^{-1})$ is a left-invariant Haar measure on G. In particular, right-invariant Haar measure is unique up to multiplication by a positive real number. Of course, left and right-invariant Haar measures on G are the same when G is commutative.

Let $\mathbf{1}_E(x)$ be the indicator function associated to a Borel set $E \subseteq G$, which is equal to 1 when $x \in E$ and to 0 otherwise. Observe that

$$\mathbf{1}_{a\,E}(x) = \mathbf{1}_E(a^{-1}\,x) \tag{3.38}$$

for every $a, x \in G$. If f is a nonnegative Borel measurable function on G and H_L is a left-invariant Haar measure, then $f(a^{-1}\,x)$ is Borel measurable for every $a \in G$, and

$$\int_G f(a^{-1}\,x)\,dH_L(x) = \int_G f(x)\,dH_L(x), \tag{3.39}$$

as one can see by approximating f by simple functions. If f is a real or complex-valued function on G which is integrable with respect to H_L, then one can apply this to $|f(x)|$ to get that $f(a^{-1}\,x)$ is also integrable with respect to H_L for each $a \in G$, and that (3.39) still holds. Similarly,

$$\mathbf{1}_{E\,b}(x) = \mathbf{1}_E(x\,b^{-1}) \tag{3.40}$$

for every $b, x \in G$. If f is a nonnegative Borel measurable function on G and H_R is a right-invariant Haar measure, then $f(x\, b^{-1})$ is Borel measurable for every $b \in G$, and

$$\int_G f(x\, b^{-1})\, dH_R(x) = \int_G f(x)\, dH_R(x). \tag{3.41}$$

If f is a real or complex-valued function on G which is integrable with respect to H_R, then $f(x\, b^{-1})$ is integrable with respect to H_R for every $b \in G$, and (3.41) still holds.

Let H_L be a left-invariant Haar measure on G, and put

$$I_L(f) = \int_G f\, dH_L \tag{3.42}$$

for each $f \in C_{com}(G)$. This defines a nonnegative linear functional on $C_{com}(G)$, and $I_L(f) > 0$ when f is a nonnegative real-valued continuous function with compact support on G such that $f(x) > 0$ for some $x \in G$. As in (3.39),

$$I_L(L_a(f)) = I_L(f) \tag{3.43}$$

for every $f \in C_{com}(G)$ and $a \in G$, where $L_a(f)$ is as in (3.32). Similarly, if H_R is a right-invariant Haar measure on G, then

$$I_R(f) = \int_G f\, dH_R \tag{3.44}$$

defines a nonnegative linear functional on $C_{com}(G)$ such that $I_R(f) > 0$ when f is a nonnegative real-valued function with compact support on G such that $f(x) > 0$ for some $x \in G$, and

$$I_R(R_a(f)) = I_R(f) \tag{3.45}$$

for every $f \in C_{com}(G)$ and $a \in G$, where $R_a(f)$ is as in (3.34).

Conversely, suppose that I_L is a nonnegative linear functional on $C_{com}(G)$ such that $I_L(f) > 0$ when f is a nonnegative real-valued continuous function with compact support on G, and which is invariant under left translations in the sense that (3.43) for every $f \in C_{com}(G)$. Under these conditions, the Riesz representation theorem implies that there is a unique nonnegative Borel measure H_L with suitable regularity properties such that (3.42) holds for each $f \in C_{com}(G)$. It is easy to see that H_L is a left-invariant Haar measure on G under these conditions, and indeed the existence of Haar measure is often derived from the existence of an invariant linear functional on $C_{com}(G)$ of this type. Similarly, if I_R is a nonnegative linear functional on $C_{com}(G)$ such that $I_R(f) > 0$ when f is a nonnegative real-valued continuous function on G with compact support such that $f(x) > 0$ for some $x \in G$, and if I_R is invariant under right translations in the sense that (3.45) holds for every $f \in C_{com}(G)$, then the Riesz representation theorem leads to a right-invariant Haar measure on G.

If G_1 and G_2 are locally compact topological groups, then $G_1 \times G_2$ is also a locally compact topological group, with respect to the product group structure and topology. In this situation, left or right-invariant Haar measure on $G_1 \times G_2$ basically corresponds to the product of the left and right-invariant Haar measures on G_1 and G_2, respectively. As in Section 1.15, there can be some technical issues related to this, which are easy to handle when there are countable bases for the topologies of G_1 and G_2. Otherwise, one can get left or right-invariant Haar integrals as nonnegative linear functionals on $C_{com}(G_1 \times G_2)$, using the corresponding Haar integrals on G_1 and G_2.

Let I be an infinite set, and let G_j be a compact topological group for each $j \in I$, so that $G = \prod_{j \in I} G_j$ is a compact topological group with respect to the product group structure and topology. Of course, the Haar measure of G_j is finite for each $j \in I$, because G_j is compact, and we can normalize it to be equal to 1. Again the Haar measure on G basically corresponds to the product of the Haar measures on the G_j's, which can also be described by the associated Haar integrals, as in Section 1.15.

3.5 Left and right translations

Let G be a compact topological group, and let H_L and H_R be left and right-invariant Haar measures on G, respectively. Also let f be a continuous function on G, so that $f(x\,y)$ is a continuous functions of $(x, y) \in G \times G$, and

$$\int_G \Big(\int_G f(x\,y)\, dH_R(x) \Big)\, dH_L(y) = \int_G \Big(\int_G f(x\,y)\, dH_L(y) \Big)\, dH_R(x). \tag{3.46}$$

This is a version of Fubini's theorem, which can be verified by approximating $f(x\,y)$ by finite sums of products of continuous functions of x and y. At any rate, the invariance of H_R under right translations implies that

$$\int_G f(x\,y)\, dH_R(x) = \int_G f(x)\, dH_R(x) \tag{3.47}$$

for every $y \in G$. Thus the left side of (3.46) is equal to

$$H_L(G) \int_G f(x)\, dH_R(x). \tag{3.48}$$

Similarly, the invariance of H_L under left translations implies that

$$\int_G f(x\,y)\, dH_L(y) = \int_G f(y)\, dH_L(y) \tag{3.49}$$

for each $x \in G$, so that the right side of (3.46) is equal to

$$H_R(G) \int_G f(y)\, dH_L(y). \tag{3.50}$$

The equality of (3.48) and (3.50) implies that H_L and H_R are positive constant multiples of each other, and hence that they are both invariant under both left and right translations.

Now let H_L be a left-invariant Haar measure on a locally compact topological group G, and let a be an element of G. It is easy to see that $H_L(E\,a)$ also satisfies the requirements of left-invariant Haar measure on G, so that there is a positive real number $\phi_L(a)$ such that

$$H_L(E\,a) = \phi_L(a)\,H_L(E) \tag{3.51}$$

for every Borel set $E \subseteq G$. If b is another element of G, then

$$\phi_L(a\,b)H_L(E) = H_L(E\,a\,b) = \phi_L(b)\,H_L(E\,a) = \phi_L(a)\,\phi_L(b)\,H_L(E) \tag{3.52}$$

for every Borel set $E \subseteq G$. Thus

$$\phi_L(a\,b) = \phi_L(a)\,\phi_L(b) \tag{3.53}$$

for every $a, b \in G$, so that ϕ_L is a homomorphism from G into the multiplicative group $\mathbf{R}_+$ of positive real numbers.

If f is a nonnegative Borel measurable function on G, then

$$\int_G f(x\,a^{-1})\,dH_L(x) = \phi_L(a)\int_G f(x)\,dH_L(x), \tag{3.54}$$

since one can approximate f by simple functions and use (3.40) and (3.51). If f is a nonnegative real-valued continuous function with compact support on G such that $f(x) > 0$ for some $x \in G$, then

$$\int_G f(x\,a^{-1})\,dH_L(x) > 0 \tag{3.55}$$

for every $a \in G$, and one can check that

$$\lim_{a \to e}\int_G f(x\,a^{-1})\,dH_L(x) = \int_G f(x)\,dH_L(x), \tag{3.56}$$

using the fact that f is right uniformly continuous on G, as in Section 3.3. This and (3.54) imply that ϕ_L is continuous at e, and hence that ϕ_L is continuous on G, because ϕ_L is a homomorphism.

If f is a nonnegative Borel measurable function on G again, then

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.57)\qquad & \int_G f(x\,a^{-1})\,\phi_L(x)^{-1}\,dH_L(x) \\
&\quad = \phi_L(a)^{-1}\int_G f(x\,a^{-1})\,\phi_L(x\,a^{-1})^{-1}\,dH_L(x) \\
&\quad = \int_G f(x)\,\phi_L(x)^{-1}\,dH_L(x)
\end{aligned}$$

for every $a \in G$. This uses (3.54) applied to $f(x)\,\phi_L(x)^{-1}$, and it would also work when f is an integrable function on G with respect to H_L with compact support, for instance. Thus

$$E \mapsto \int_E \phi_L(x)^{-1}\,dH_L(x) \tag{3.58}$$

satisfies the requirements of right-invariant Haar measure on G. Of course, there are analogous statements for the behavior of right-invariant Haar measure on a locally compact group under left translations, which can also be derived from the statements for left-invariant Haar measure using the mapping $x \mapsto x^{-1}$.

3.6 Compact subgroups

Let G be a locally compact topological group, let H_L be a left-invariant Haar measure on G, and let ϕ_L be defined on G as in the previous section. If K is a compact subgroup of G, then $\phi_L(K)$ is a compact subgroup of $\mathbf{R}_+$. It is easy to see that the only compact subgroup of $\mathbf{R}_+$ is the trivial subgroup $\{1\}$, so that

$$\phi_L(x) = 1 \tag{3.59}$$

for every $x \in K$. This shows that left-invariant Haar measure on G is invariant under right translations by elements of K, and similarly right-invariant Haar measure on G is invariant under left translations by elements of K.

Now let G be a topological group, and suppose that $d(x, y)$ is a metric on G that determines the same topology. Let K be a compact subgroup of G again, and consider

$$d'(x, y) = \sup_{a \in K} d(a\,x, a\,y) \tag{3.60}$$

for each $x, y \in G$. Note that this is finite for every $x, y \in G$, because $K\,x$ and $K\,y$ are compact subsets of G, and hence are bounded with respect to the metric. One can check that the supremum is actually attained under these conditions, by standard arguments using continuity and compactness. It is easy to see that (3.60) defines a metric on G, which is invariant under left translations by elements of K by construction. Of course,

$$d(x, y) \le d'(x, y) \tag{3.61}$$

for every $x, y \in G$, since we can take $a = e$ in (3.60). This implies that every open set in G is an open set with respect to $d'(x, y)$, and we would like to show that $d'(x, y)$ defines the same topology on G.

It suffices to show that for every $x \in G$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there is an open set $U \subseteq G$ such that $e \in U$ and

$$d'(x, x\,u) \le \epsilon \tag{3.62}$$

for each $u \in U$. Equivalently, this means that

$$d(a\,x, a\,x\,u) \le \epsilon \tag{3.63}$$

for every $a \in K$ and $u \in U$, which is basically a uniform continuity condition along $K\,x$, as in Section 3.3. More precisely, this is the same as saying that the identity mapping on G is right uniformly continuous along $K\,x$ as a mapping from G as a topological group into G as a metric space with the metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. This can be verified using the same type of argument as before, because $K\,x$ is compact, and the identity mapping on G is continuous as a mapping from G as a topological group into G as a metric space with the metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ by hypothesis.

Similarly,

$$d''(x, y) = \sup_{b \in K} d(x\,b, y\,b) \tag{3.64}$$

is a metric on G that is invariant under right translations by elements of K and determines the same topology on K. If we apply this to $d'(x, y)$ instead of $d''(x, y)$, then we get a metric

$$d'''(x, y) = \sup_{a, b \in K} d(a\,x\,b, a\,y\,b) \tag{3.65}$$

that is invariant under both left and right translations by elements of K, and determines the same topology on G. If G is compact and metrizable, then it follows that there is a metric on G that is invariant under left and right translations and determines the same topology on G. Alternatively, if

$$d(x\,b, y\,b) = d(x, y) \tag{3.66}$$

for some $b \in G$ and every $x, y \in G$, then

$$d'(x\,b, y\,b) = d'(x, y) \tag{3.67}$$

for every $x, y \in G$ as well. Thus one can start with a metric $d(x, y)$ on G that is invariant under right translations by elements of G, and get a metric $d'(x, y)$ that is invariant under bith right translations by elements of G and left translations by elements of K. In the same way, one could start with a metric $d(x, y)$ that is invariant under left translations by elements of G, and get a metric $d''(x, y)$ that is invariant under left translations by elements of G and right translations by elements of K. Remember that for any topological group G with a countable local base for its topology at the identity element e, there is a metric on G that determines the same topology and is invariant under either left or right translations, as in Section 3.2.

3.7 Additional properties

Let G be a locally compact topological group, and let H_L be a left-invariant Haar measure on G. Suppose that $H_L(G) < \infty$, and let us show that G is compact. Let U be an open set in G such that $e \in U$ and $\overline{U}$ is compact. Thus $H_L(U) > 0$, and if $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ are elements of G such that

$$(x_j\,U) \cap (x_l\,U) = \emptyset \tag{3.68}$$

when $j \neq l$, then

$$n\,H_L(U) = \sum_{j-1}^{n} H_L(x_j\,U) = H_L\Big(\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} x_j\,U\Big) \le H_L(G). \tag{3.69}$$

This implies that n is bounded by $H_L(G)/H_L(U)$, and we suppose now that n is the largest possible positive integer for which there exist $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in G$ such that (3.68) holds.

If y is any element of G, then the maximality of n implies that

$$(y\,U) \cap (x_j\,U) \neq \emptyset \tag{3.70}$$

for some j, $1 \le j \le n$. Equivalently, $y \in x_j\,U\,U^{-1}$, so that

$$G \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} x_j\,U\,U^{-1} \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} x_j\,\overline{U}\,\overline{U}^{-1}. \tag{3.71}$$

By construction, $\overline{U}$ is compact, which implies that $\overline{U}\,\overline{U}^{-1}$ is compact, and hence that the right side of (3.71) is compact. Of course, the right side of (3.71) is contained in G, so that they are the same, and thus G is compact, as desired.

Let G be a topological group, and let H be a subgroup of G. It is well known that the complement of H in G can be expressed as a union of cosets of H, which are translates of H. In particular, if H is an open subset of G, then every translate of H is an open set, and hence the complement of H is an open set. This shows that open subgroups of G are automatically closed sets. If G is connected as a topological space, then it follows that G is the only open subgroup of itself.

Suppose that V is an open set in G that contains e and is symmetric in the sense that $V^{-1} = V$. Let V^n be $V\,V \cdots V$, with n V's, or equivalently $V^1 = V$ and $V^{n+1} = V^n\,V$. It is easy to see that

$$H = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} V^n \tag{3.72}$$

is a subgroup of G, which is also an open set, because V^n is an open set for each n. Note that

$$V^n \subseteq \overline{(V^n)} \subseteq V^n\,V = V^{n+1} \tag{3.73}$$

for each n, using (3.21) in Section 3 in the second step, so that

$$H = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{(V^n)}. \tag{3.74}$$

Note that $(\overline{V})^n \subseteq \overline{(V^n)}$ for each n, using continuity of multiplication.

If G is locally compact, then we can choose V so that $\overline{V}$ is compact, and hence $(\overline{V})^n$ is compact for each n. In particular, $(\overline{V})^n$ is a closed set for each n.

which implies that $\overline{(V^n)} \subseteq (\overline{V})^n$, because $V^n \subseteq (\overline{V})^n$. Thus $\overline{(V^n)} = (\overline{V})^n$ for each n when $\overline{V}$ is compact, and $H = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty (\overline{V})^n$ is σ-compact.

If a topological space X is σ-compact, then every closed set in X is σ-compact too, because the intersection of a closed set and a compact set is compact. If the topology on X is determined by a metric, then it is well known that every open set in X can be expressed as a countable union of closed sets. This was mentioned in Section 3.2, where an analogous argument was given for a topological group with a countable local base for its topology at the identity element. It follows that open sets are also σ-compact under these conditions. Note that a σ-compact metric space is separable, because compact metric spaces are separable, and hence has a countable base for its topology. If a locally compact topological space X has a countable base for its topology, then X is σ-compact. This is because X is covered by open sets contained in compact sets, and the existence of a countable base for the topology of X implies that this open covering can be reduced to a subcovering with only finitely or countable many elements.

If a locally compact topological group G is σ-compact, then left and right-invariant Haar measure on G is σ-finite. Conversely, if left or right-invariant Haar measure on G is σ-finite, then G is σ-compact. As before, there is an open subgroup H of G which is σ-compact, and so it suffices to show that there are only finitely or countably many left or right cosets of H in G. Of course, the left cosets of H are pairwise-disjoint in G, as are the right cosets of H. The main point is that if a measurable set $E \subseteq G$ has finite left or right-invariant Haar measure, then the intersection of E with left or right cosets of H can have positive Haar measure for only finitely or countably many such cosets. More precisely, for each $\epsilon > 0$, there can only be finitely many left or right cosets of H whose intersection with E has measure at least ϵ, because E has finite measure. Applying this to $\epsilon = 1/n$ for each positive integer n, it follows that there can only be finitely or countably many left or right cosets of H whose intersection with E has positive measure. If Haar measure on G is σ-finite, then there is a sequence $E_1, E_2, E_3, \ldots$ of measurable sets with finite measure whose union is the whole group. Because H is an open subgroup, its cosets are nonempty open sets as well, which have positive Haar measure. This implies that every coset of H should intersect some E_j in a set of positive measure, and hence that there are only finitely or countably many cosets of H, as desired.

3.8 Quotient spaces

Let G be a group, let H be a subgroup of G, and let G/H be the corresponding quotient space of left cosets of H in G. Also let q be the canonical quotient mapping from G onto G/H, which sends each $a \in G$ to the corresponding left coset $a\,H$. If $g \in G$, then the left translation mapping $a \mapsto g\,a$ leads to a natural mapping from G/H onto itself, which sends a left coset $a\,H$ to $g\,a\,H$. Of course, if H is a normal subgroup of G, then the quotient G/H is a group in a natural way, and the quotient mapping q is a homomorphism from G onto G/H.

Suppose now that G is a topological group, and consider the corresponding quotient topology on G/H. By definition, this means that a set $W \subseteq G/H$ is an open set if and only if $q^{-1}(W)$ is an open set in G. Equivalently, $E \subseteq G/H$ is a closed set if and only if $q^{-1}(E)$ is a closed set in G. In particular, the quotient mapping $q : G \to G/H$ is automatically continuous with respect to the quotient topology on G/H. Observe that

$$q^{-1}(q(A)) = A\,H \tag{3.75}$$

for every $A \subseteq G$. If A is an open set in G, then $A\,H$ is also an open set in G, so that $q(A)$ is an open set in G/H. This shows that q is an open mapping from G onto G/H.

Suppose from now on in this section that H is a closed subgroup of G. Of course, H is a coset of itself, and hence an element of G/H. Because of the way that the quotient topology on G/H is defined, H is a closed subgroup of G if and only if the subset of G/H consisting of the one coset H is a closed set. It is easy to see that the mappings on G/H corresponding to left translations on G are homeomorphisms with respect to the quotient topology, since left translations are homeomorphisms on G. This implies that every subset of G/H with exactly one element is closed with respect to the quotient topology.

Let a, b be elements of G such that $a\,H \neq b\,H$, so that $b^{-1}\,a \notin H$. Because H is a closed subgroup of G and hence the complement of H is an open set, the continuity of the group operations implies that there are open subsets U, V of G such that $e \in U, V$ and $b^{-1}\,v^{-1}\,u\,a \notin H$ for every $u \in U$ and $v \in V$. Equivalently, $u\,a\,H \neq v\,b\,H$ for every $u \in U$ and $v \in V$, which means that

$$q(U\,a) \cap q(V\,b) = \emptyset. \tag{3.76}$$

Thus $q(U\,a)$ and $q(V\,b)$ are disjoint open subsets of G/H containing $a\,H$ and $b\,H$, respectively, so that G/H is Hausdorff with respect to the quotient topology.

Similarly, let E be a closed set in G/H, so that $q^{-1}(E)$ is a closed set in G. If $a\,H \notin E$, then $a \notin q^{-1}(E)$, and there are open subsets U, V of G such that $e \in U, V$ and $v^{-1}\,u\,a \notin q^{-1}(E)$ for every $u \in U$ and $v \in V$, by the continuity of the group operations. If $b\,H \in E$, then $b\,H \subseteq q^{-1}(E)$, and hence $v^{-1}\,u\,a \notin b\,H$ for every $u \in U$, and $v \in V$, so that $b^{-1}\,v^{-1}\,u\,a \notin H$. Thus $u\,a\,H \neq v\,b\,H$ for every $u \in U$ and $v \in V$ when $b\,H \in E$, which is the same as saying that $q(b) \in E$. It follows that

$$q(U\,a) \cap q(V\,q^{-1}(E)) = \emptyset, \tag{3.77}$$

so that $q(U\,a)$ and $q(V\,q^{-1}(E))$ are disjoint open subsets of G/H that contain $a\,H$ and E, respectively. This implies that G/H is regular with respect to the quotient topology.

As in the previous section, if H is an open subgroup of G, then H is also a closed subgroup of G. In this case, the quotient topology on G/H is the same as the discrete topology. If G is locally compact and H is any closed subgroup of G, then it is easy to see that G/H is locally compact also, because q is both continuous and open. If G is any topological group with a countable local base for its topology at e and H is any closed subgroup of G, then one can check that there is also a countable local base for the quotient topology on G/H at every point. Of course, it suffices to have a countable local base for the topology of G/H at the point corresponding to the coset H, since the mappings on G/H corresponding to left translations on G are homeomorphisms with respect to the quotient topology.

Suppose that $d(x,y)$ is a metric on G that determines the same topology and which is invariant under right translations by elements of H, so that

$$d(x\,h, y\,h) = d(x,y) \tag{3.78}$$

for every $x, y \in G$ and $h \in H$. The corresponding quotient metric on G/H is defined by

$$d'(a\,H, b\,H) = \inf\{d(a\,h_1, b\,h_2) : h_1, h_2 \in H\} \tag{3.79}$$

for every $a\,H, b\,H \in G/H$. Equivalently,

$$d'(a\,H, b\,H) = \inf\{d(a\,h, b) : h \in H\} = \inf\{d(a, b\,h) : h \in H\} \tag{3.80}$$

for every $a\,H, b\,H \in G/H$, because of (3.78). Clearly

$$d'(a\,H, b\,H) = d'(b\,H, a\,H) \geq 0 \tag{3.81}$$

for every $a\,h, b\,H \in G/H$. If $a\,H \neq b\,H$, then $b \notin a\,H$, and one can check that $d'(a\,H, b\,H) > 0$ using (3.80) and the fact that $a\,H$ is a closed set, because H is a closed subgroup.

Let us check that

$$d'(a\,H, c\,H) \leq d'(a\,H, b\,H) + d'(b\,H, c\,H) \tag{3.82}$$

for every $a\,H, b\,H, c\,H \in G/H$, which is to say that $d'(a\,H, b\,H)$ satisfies the triangle inequality. By construction,

$$d'(a\,H, c\,H) \leq d(a\,h_1, c\,h_2) \tag{3.83}$$

for every $h_1, h_2 \in H$, and hence

$$d'(a\,H, c\,H) \leq d(a\,h_1, b) + d(b, c\,h_2), \tag{3.84}$$

by the triangle inequality. Using (3.80), we can take the infimum of (3.84) over $h_1, h_2 \in H$ to get (3.82), as desired. Thus $d'(a\,H, b\,H)$ defines a metric on G/H, and it is easy to see that the topology on G/H corresponding to $d'(a\,H, b\,H)$ is the same as the quotient topology. More precisely, q maps the open ball in G centered at a point a with radius $r > 0$ with respect to $d(x,y)$ onto the open ball in G/H centered at $a\,H$ with radius r with respect to $d'(a\,H, b\,H)$.

If $d(x,y)$ is also invariant under left translations on G, then it is easy to see that $d'(a\,H, b\,H)$ is invariant under the induced action of left translations on G/H, so that

$$d'(g\,a\,H, g\,b\,H) = d'(a\,H, b\,H) \tag{3.85}$$

for every $a, b, g \in G$. In particular, if there is a countable local base for the topology of G at e, and if H is compact, then there is a metric $d(x, y)$ that is invariant under left translations by elements of G, as well as invariant under right translations by elements of H, and which determines the same topology on G, as in Sections 3.2 and 3.6.

The action of G on G/H by left translations corresponds to a mapping from $(g, a\,H) \in G \times (G/H)$ to $g\,a\,H \in G/H$. It is easy to see that this mapping is continuous, using the quotient topology on G/H, and the associated product topology on $G \times (G/H)$. If H is a normal subgroup of G, then G/H is a group in a natural way, and the quotient mapping q is a homomorphism from G onto G/H. One can check that G/H is a topological group with respect to the quotient topology under these conditions.

3.9 Invariant measures

Let G be a locally compact topological group, and let H be a closed subgroup of G, as in the previous section. Also let $f(x)$ be a continuous real or complex-valued function on G with compact support. Thus $f_x(h) = f(x\,h)$ may be considered as a continuous function of $h \in H$ with compact support for each $x \in G$. More precisely,

$$\operatorname{supp} f_x \subseteq (x^{-1} \operatorname{supp} f) \cap H \tag{3.86}$$

for each $x \in G$. If A is a compact subset of G, then $A^{-1} \operatorname{supp} f$ is also a compact set, and

$$\operatorname{supp} f_x \subseteq (A^{-1} \operatorname{supp} f) \cap H \tag{3.87}$$

for each $x \in A$.

Note that H is a locally compact topological group with respect to the topology induced by the one on G. Let $f_H(x)$ be the integral of $f_x(h) = f(x\,h)$ as a function of $h \in H$ with respect to a left-invariant Haar measure on H. It is not difficult to check that $f_H(x)$ is continuous in x, using the uniform continuity of f along compact sets, as in Section 3.3. This also uses the fact that if $U \subseteq G$ is an open set such that $x \in U$ and $\overline{U}$ is compact, then $\overline{U}^{-1} \operatorname{supp} f$ is a compact set and

$$\operatorname{supp} f_y \subseteq (\overline{U}^{-1} \operatorname{supp} f) \cap H \tag{3.88}$$

for every $y \in \overline{U}$, as in (3.87).

If $a \in H$, then the integral of $f_{x\,a}(h) = f(x\,a\,h) = f_x(a\,h)$ as a function of $h \in H$ with respect to left-invariant Haar measure on H is equal to the integral of $f_x(h) = f(x\,h)$ as a function of h. This implies that

$$f_H(x\,a) = f_H(x) \tag{3.89}$$

for every $x \in G$ and $a \in H$, so that $f_H(x)$ is constant on left cosets of H in G. Equivalently, there is a function $\widetilde{f}_H$ on G/H such that

$$f_H = \widetilde{f}_H \circ q, \tag{3.90}$$

where q is the quotient mapping from G onto G/H, as in the previous section. It is easy to see that $\widetilde{f}_H$ is continuous with respect to the usual quotient topology on G/H, because f_H is continuous on G. In addition, $\widetilde{f}_H$ has compact support contained in $q(\operatorname{supp} f)$, because f has compact support.

If H is a normal subgroup in G, then G/H is a locally compact topological group as well, as in the previous section. This permits us to integrate $\widetilde{f}_H$ with respect to a left-invariant Haar measure on G/H. One can check that this defines a nonnegative linear functional on $C_{com}(G)$ which is invariant under left translations, since left translations of f on G correspond to left translations of $\widetilde{f}_H$ on G/H. Thus a left-invariant Haar integral on G may be obtained from left-invariant Haar integrals on H and G/H.

Now let H be a compact subgroup of G that is not necessarily normal. Thus $q^{-1}(q(A)) = A\,H$ is compact for every compact set $A \subseteq G$ in this case. If $E \subseteq G/H$ is compact, then E can be covered by finitely many open sets of the form $q(U)$, where U is an open set in G such that $\overline{U}$ is compact. This implies that $q^{-1}(E)$ is compact in G, because it is a closed set which is contained in the union of finitely many compact sets, by the preceding remark. If f is a continuous real or complex-valued function on G with compact support, then it follows that $f \circ q$ is a continuous function on G with compact support.

Let H_L be a left-invariant Haar measure on G. It is easy to see that

$$\int_G f(q(x))\,dH_L(x) \tag{3.91}$$

defines a nonnegative linear functional on $C_{com}(G/H)$ which is invariant under the action of G on G/H by left translations. Equivalently,

$$H_L(q^{-1}(E)) \tag{3.92}$$

defines a nonnegative Borel measure on G/H which is invariant under the action of left translations and has other nice properties.

As another type of situation, suppose that H is a discrete subgroup of G, in the sense that the topology on H induced by the one on G is the discrete topology. This means that $\{e\}$ is a relatively open set in H, and hence that there is an open set $U \subseteq G$ such that $U \cap H = \{e\}$. Let $U_1 \subseteq G$ be another open set such that $e \in U_1$, $U_1^{-1} = U_1$, and $U_1\,U_1 \subseteq U$. If $x, y \in H$ and $x \neq y$, then it is easy to see that

$$(x\,U_1) \cap (y\,U_1) = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad (U_1\,x) \cap (U_1\,y) = \emptyset. \tag{3.93}$$

If a is any element of G, then it follows that $a\,U_1$ and $U_1\,a$ can each contain at most one element of H. In particular, this implies that H is a closed subgroup of G under these conditions, because it has no limit points in G. Using the second part of (3.93), we get that

$$(a\,U_1\,x) \cap (a\,U_1\,y) = \emptyset \tag{3.94}$$

for every $a \in G$ and $x, y \in H$ with $x \neq y$, which implies that the restriction of the quotient mapping q to $a\,U_1$ is one-to-one.

In this case, one normally starts with a Borel measure μ on G that is invariant under right translations by elements of H, and tries to use it to get a measure on G/H that corresponds to μ locally under the quotient mapping q. Under suitable compactness or countability conditions, only finitely or countably many local patches are needed. Alternatively, if G and hence G/H are locally compact, then one can define a nonnegative linear functional on $C_{com}(G/H)$ using partitions of unity to reduce to the case of functions supported in a local patch.

3.10 Semimetrics

Let X be a set. A *semimetric* or *pseudometric* on X is a nonnegative real-valued function $d(x, y)$ defined for $x, y \in X$ such that $d(x, x) = 0$ for every $x \in X$,

$$d(x, y) = d(y, x) \tag{3.95}$$

for every $x, y \in X$, and

$$d(x, z) \le d(x, y) + d(y, z) \tag{3.96}$$

for every $x, y, z \in X$. Thus a semimetric is the same as a metric, but without the requirement that $d(x, y) = 0$ only when $x = y$.

Similarly, a *seminorm* or *pseudonorm* on a real or complex vector space V is a nonnegative real-valued function $N(v)$ on V such that

$$N(t\, v) = |t|\, N(v) \tag{3.97}$$

for every $v \in V$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$, as appropriate, and

$$N(v + w) \le N(v) + N(w) \tag{3.98}$$

for every $v, w \in V$. Thus $N(0) = 0$, by (3.97) with $t = 0$, and a seminorm $N(v)$ is a norm when $N(v) > 0$ for each $v \in V$ with $v \ne 0$. If $N(v)$ is a seminorm on V, then

$$d(v, w) = N(v - w) \tag{3.99}$$

defines a semimetric on V.

Let I be a nonempty set, and suppose that for each $j \in I$, $d_j(x, y)$ is a semimetric on a set X. Let us say that $\{d_j(x, y)\}_{j \in I}$ is a *nice family of semimetrics* on X if for each $x, y \in X$ with $x \ne y$ there is an $j \in I$ such that $d_j(x, y) > 0$. In this case, put

$$B_j(x, r) = \{x \in X : d_j(x, y) < r\} \tag{3.100}$$

for each $x \in X$ and $r > 0$, which is the open ball in X centered at x with radius r associated to $d_i(\cdot, \cdot)$. A set $U \subseteq X$ is said to be an open set with respect to the family of semimetrics $\{d_j(x, y)\}_{j \in I}$ if for each $x \in U$ there are finitely many indices $j_1, \ldots, j_n \in I$ and positive real numbers $r_1, \ldots, r_n$ such that

$$\bigcap_{l=1}^{n} B_{j_l}(x, r_l) \subseteq U. \tag{3.101}$$

It is easy to see that this defines a topology on X, which reduces to the usual topology determined by a metric when I has only one element.

One can also check that $B_j(x, r)$ is an open set with respect to this topology for every $x \in X$, $r > 0$, and $j \in I$, using the triangle inequality. If $x, y \in X$ and $x \neq y$, then $d_j(x, y) > 0$ for some $j \in I$, and

$$B_i(x, d_j(x, y)/2) \cap B_j(y, d_i(x, y)/2) = \emptyset, \tag{3.102}$$

using the triangle inequality again. Thus the topology on X associated to a nice family of semimetrics is Hausdorff, and one can show that it is regular as well, in much the same way as for metric spaces. Similarly, it is easy to see that

$$f_{p,j}(x) = d_j(x, p) \tag{3.103}$$

is a continuous real-valued function on X with respect to the topology associated to this family of semimetrics for each $p \in X$ and $j \in I$, which implies that X is completely regular.

Conversely, if X is any topological space, and if f is a continuous real or complex-valued function on X, then

$$\rho_f(x, y) = |f(x) - f(y)| \tag{3.104}$$

defines a semimetric on X. If a collection of continuous real or complex-valued functions on X separate points, then the corresponding collection of semimetrics is a nice family of semimetrics on X. If X is completely regular, then there is a nice family of semimetrics on X corresponding to continuous real or complex-valued functions on X that determines the same topology on X.

Let $\{d_j(x, y)\}_{j \in I}$ be a nice family of semimetrics on a set X. If I has only finitely many elements, then it is easy to see that

$$d(x, y) = \max_{j \in I} d_j(x, y) \tag{3.105}$$

is a metric on X that determines the same topology. Suppose now that I is countably infinite, which we can take to be the set $\mathbf{Z}_+$ of positive integers. Put

$$d_j'(x, y) = \min(d_j(x, y), 1/j) \tag{3.106}$$

for each $j \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and $x, y \in X$, which is also a semimetric on X. Under these conditions, one can check that

$$d(x, y) = \max_{j \in \mathbf{Z}_+} d_j'(x, y) \tag{3.107}$$

is a metric on X that determines the same topology.

If G is a topological group, then a well-known theorem implies that there is a nice family of left-invariant semimetrics on G that determine the same topology. Of course, one could also get a nice family of right-invariant semimetrics that determine the same topology. If G is compact, then one can get a nice family of right and left-invariant semimetrics on G that determines the same topology, as in Section 3.6. If H is a closed subgroup of G, then one can get semimetrics on G/H from semimetrics on G that are invariant under right translations by elements of H, as in Section 3.8.

Let V be a vector space over the real or complex numbers. If V is equipped with a topology for which the vector space operations are continuous and $\{0\}$ is a closed set, then V is said to be a *topological vector space*. In particular, a topological vector space is a commutative topological group with respect to addition. Let I be a nonempty set, and suppose that $N_j(v)$ is a seminorm on V for each $j \in I$. Let us say that $\{N_j(v)\}_{j \in I}$ is a *nice family of seminorms* on V if for each $v \in V$ with $v \neq 0$ there is a $j \in I$ such that $N_j(v) > 0$. Equivalently, this means that the corresponding collection of semimetrics

$$d_j(v, w) = N_j(v - w) \tag{3.108}$$

is a nice family of semimetrics on V. One can check that V is a topological vector space with respect to the topology corresponding to this family of semimetrics, and more precisely that V is *locally convex*, in the sense that there is a base for the topology of V consisting of convex open sets. Conversely, it is well known that for every locally convex topological vector space V there is a nice family of seminorms on V that determines the same topology.

3.11 Connectedness

If X is any topological space and $x, y \in X$, then put $x \sim y$ when there is a connected set $E \subseteq X$ such that $x, y \in E$. It is well known and not difficult to check that this defines an equivalence relation on X. More precisely, this relation is obviously reflexive and symmetric, and it is transitive because the union of two connected sets $E_1, E_2 \subseteq X$ is also connected when $E_1 \cap E_2 \neq \emptyset$. One can also check that the equivalence classes in X associated to this equivalence relation are connected subsets of X, known as the *connected components* of X. These are the maximal connected subsets of X, and they are automatically closed subsets of X, because the closure of a connected set is connected.

Let G be a topological group, and let $x \sim y$ be the equivalence relation defined on G as in the previous paragraph. Using continuity of translations, it is easy to see that $x \sim y$ implies that $a\,x \sim a\,y$ and $x\,b \sim y\,b$ for every $a, b \in G$. Similarly, $x \sim y$ implies $x^{-1} \sim y^{-1}$, because of continuity of the mapping $x \mapsto x^{-1}$. It follows from these properties that the connected component H of G containing e is a normal subgroup of G. Note that H is closed, as in the previous paragraph.

Suppose now that H is any closed connected subgroup of a topological group G. Let E be a connected subset of G/H, and let us check that $q^{-1}(E)$ is a connected subset of G, where q is the usual quotient mapping from G onto G/H. Otherwise, there are nonempty sets $A, B \subseteq G$ which are separated in the sense that

$$\overline{A} \cap B = A \cap \overline{B} = \emptyset, \tag{3.109}$$

and for which $A \cup B = q^{-1}(E)$. If $x\,H \in G/H$, then $q^{-1}(x\,H) = x\,H$ as a subset of G, which is connected because H is connected. If $x\,H \in E$, then $x\,H \subseteq q^{-1}(E) = A \cup B$, so that

$$x\,H = ((x\,H) \cap A) \cup ((x\,H) \cap B), \tag{3.110}$$

where $(x\,H) \cap A$ and $(x\,H) \cap B$ are separated, because A and B are separated. It follows that $(x\,H) \cap A = \emptyset$ or $(x\,H) \cap B = \emptyset$, since $x\,H$ is connected, and hence $x\,H$ is contained in either A or B. Thus $A = q^{-1}(q(A))$ and $B = q^{-1}(q(B))$, and $q(A)$ and $q(B)$ are nonempty disjoint subsets of G/H such that $q(A) \cup q(B) = \emptyset$. It is not difficult to check that the closure $\overline{q(A)}$ of $q(A)$ in G/H is equal to $q(\overline{A})$ under these conditions, using the fact that $A = q^{-1}(q(A))$. Similarly, $\overline{q(B)} = q(\overline{B})$, so that $q(A)$ and $q(B)$ are separated in G/H, since A and B are separated in G. This shows that E is not connected in G/H when $q^{-1}(E)$ is not connected in G, which is the same as saying that $q^{-1}(E)$ is connected when E is connected, as desired.

Let H be the connected component of G containing e, which is a closed normal subgroup of G, as before. Note that $x\,H$ is the connected component of G containing x for every $x \in G$. If E is a connected subset of G/H, then $q^{-1}(E)$ is a connected subset of G, as in the previous paragraph. If E contains at least two elements, then $q^{-1}(E)$ contains the union of two distinct cosets of H, contradicting the fact that the cosets of H are the connected components of G. This shows that G/H is totally disconnected with respect to the quotient topology, which means that it does not contain any connected subsets with more than one element.

3.12 Homeomorphism groups

Let X be a topological space, and let $C(X, X)$ be the space of continuous mappings from X into itself. This is actually a semigroup with respect to composition of mappings, with the identity mapping id_X on X as the identity element in the semigroup. The group $\mathcal{H}(X)$ of all homeomorphisms on X is the same as the group of invertible elements in $C(X, X)$ as a semigroup. Let us suppose from now on in this section that X is compact, and that the topology on X is determined by a metric $d(x, y)$.

In this case, the *supremum metric* on $C(X, X)$ may be defined as usual by

$$\rho(f, g) = \sup_{x \in X} d(f(x), g(x)) \tag{3.111}$$

for each $f, g \in C(X, X)$. If h is any continuous mapping from X into itself, then

$$\rho(f \circ h, g \circ h) = \sup_{x \in X} d(f(h(x)), g(h(x))) \le \rho(f, g) \tag{3.112}$$

for every $f, g \in C(X, X)$. If we also have that $h(X) = X$, then we get that

$$\rho(f \circ h, g \circ h) = \rho(f, g) \tag{3.113}$$

for every $f, g \in C(X, X)$. In particular, the supremum metric is invariant under right translations on $\mathcal{H}(X)$.

Let us check that

$$(f, g) \mapsto f \circ g \tag{3.114}$$

is continuous as a mapping from $C(X, X) \times C(X, X)$ into $C(X, X)$, using the topology on $C(X, X)$ determined by the supremum metric, and the associated product topology on $C(X, X) \times C(X, X)$. Roughly speaking, to say that this mapping is continuous at a particular point (f_0, g_0) in $C(X, X) \times C(X, X)$ means that if $f \in C(X, X)$ is close to f_0 and $g \in C(X, X)$ is close to g_0 with respect to the supremum metric, then $f \circ g$ is close to $f_0 \circ g_0$. Of course,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(f \circ g, f_0 \circ g_0) &\le \rho(f \circ g, f_0 \circ g) + \rho(f_0 \circ g, f_0 \circ g_0) \\ &\le \rho(f, f_0) + \rho(f_0 \circ g, f_0 \circ g_0), \end{aligned} \tag{3.115}$$

using (3.112) in the second step. Note that f_0 is uniformly continuous on X, because X is compact. This implies that $f_0 \circ g$ is close to $f_0 \circ g_0$ with respect to the supremum metric when g is sufficiently close to g_0, and hence that $f \circ g$ is close to $f_0 \circ g_0$ when f is also sufficiently close to f_0, as desired.

This shows that $C(X, X)$ is a topological semigroup with respect to the topology determined by the supremum metric, and we would like to verify that $\mathcal{H}(X)$ is a topological group. Let us begin by observing that

$$\rho(f^{-1}, \mathrm{id}_X) = \rho(f, \mathrm{id}_X) \tag{3.116}$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{H}(X)$. This follows from (3.113), by taking $g = \mathrm{id}_X$ and $h = f^{-1}$. Hence the mapping $f \mapsto f^{-1}$ is continuous at id_X on $\mathcal{H}(X)$, and it remains to check that this mapping is continuous at any $f_0 \in \mathcal{H}(X)$. This could be derived from continuity of $f \mapsto f^{-1}$ at id_X and continuity of translations on $\mathcal{H}(X)$, but it is instructive to give a more explicit argument. Applying (3.116) to $f_0^{-1} \circ f$, we get that

$$\rho(f^{-1} \circ f_0, \mathrm{id}_X) = \rho(f_0^{-1} \circ f, \mathrm{id}_X) \tag{3.117}$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{H}(X)$. We also have that

$$\rho(f^{-1}, f_0^{-1}) = \rho(f^{-1} \circ f_0, f_0^{-1} \circ f_0) = \rho(f^{-1} \circ f_0, \mathrm{id}_X), \tag{3.118}$$

as in (3.113), so that

$$\rho(f^{-1}, f_0^{-1}) = \rho(f_0^{-1} \circ f, \mathrm{id}_X) \tag{3.119}$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{H}(X)$. If f is sufficiently close to f_0 with respect to the supremum metric, then $f_0^{-1} \circ f$ is close to $f_0^{-1} \circ f_0 = \mathrm{id}_X$, because f_0 is uniformly continuous on X. This implies that f^{-1} is close to f_0^{-1}, by (3.119), as desired.

Suppose that Y is another metric space which is separable, so that there is a dense set in Y with only finitely or countably many elements. It is well known that $C(X, Y)$ is also separable with respect to the corresponding supremum metric under these conditions. This uses the fact that continuous mappings from

X into Y are uniformly continuous, because X is compact. The compactness of X also implies that X can be covered by finitely many balls of arbitrarily small radius, so that two continuous mappings from X into itself are uniformly close to each other when they are close on suitable finite subsets of X, by uniform continuity. One can then use the separability of Y to get that only countably many mappings are needed to approximate a given mapping from X into Y on a finite set. In particular, $C(X, X)$ is separable with respect to the supremum metric, because compact metric spaces are separable. Any subset of a separable metric space is also separable with respect to the induced metric, which implies that $\mathcal{H}(X)$ is separable as well under these conditions.

Note that X is complete as a metric space, in the sense that every Cauchy sequence in X converges to an element of X, because X is compact. It is well known that $C(X, X)$ is complete with respect to the supremum metric too. However, $\mathcal{H}(X)$ is not normally a closed set in $C(X, X)$, and thus $\mathcal{H}(X)$ is not normally complete with respect to the supremum metric. The problem is that a sequence of homeomorphisms on X may converge uniformly to a continuous mapping that is not injective.

One way to deal with this is to simply use

$$\rho(f, g) + \rho(f^{-1}, g^{-1}) \tag{3.120}$$

as the metric on $\mathcal{H}(X)$, which would determine the same topology on $\mathcal{H}(X)$. Of course, a sequence $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of homeomorphisms on X is a Cauchy sequence with respect to (3.120) if and only if $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ and $\{f_j^{-1}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ are Cauchy sequences with respect to the supremum metric. In this case, the completeness of $C(X, X)$ implies that $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ converges uniformly to a continuous mapping $f : X \to X$, and that $\{f_j^{-1}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ converges to a continuous mapping $\widetilde{f} : X \to X$. Because

$$f_j \circ f_j^{-1} = f_j^{-1} \circ f_j = \mathrm{id}_X \tag{3.121}$$

for each j, we can use continuity of composition of mappings on $C(X, X)$ to pass to the limit as $j \to \infty$, to get that

$$f \circ \widetilde{f} = \widetilde{f} \circ f = \mathrm{id}_X. \tag{3.122}$$

This implies that f is a homeomorphism of X onto itself, with $f^{-1} = \widetilde{f}$, and hence that $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ converges to f with respect to (3.120) under these conditions, as desired.

Alternatively, one can embed $\mathcal{H}(X)$ into $C(X, X) \times C(X, X)$ using the mapping $f \mapsto (f, f^{-1})$. The argument in the previous paragraph shows that the image of $\mathcal{H}(X)$ under this mapping is a closed set in $C(X, X) \times C(X, X)$ with respect to the product topology corresponding to the supremum metric on $C(X, X)$. The completeness of $C(X, X)$ implies that $C(X, X) \times C(X, X)$ is also complete with respect to an appropriate product metric, so that $\mathcal{H}(X)$ is complete with respect to the restriction of such a product metric to the image of $\mathcal{H}(X)$ in $C(X, X) \times C(X, X)$.

3.13 Non-compact spaces

Let $(X, d(x, y))$ be a metric space which is not compact. If K is a nonempty compact subset of X and f, g are continuous mappings from X into itself, then

$$\rho_K(f, g) = \sup_{x \in K} d(f(x), g(x)) \tag{3.123}$$

is finite, because $f(K)$ and $g(K)$ are compact subsets of X. It is easy to see that this defines a semimetric on $C(X, X)$, and that the collection of these semimetrics determines a topology on $C(X, X)$ which is Hausdorff, as in Section 3.10. If h is any continuous mapping from X into itself, then

$$\rho_K(f \circ h, g \circ h) = \rho_{h(K)}(f, g) \tag{3.124}$$

for every nonempty compact set $K \subseteq X$ and $f, g \in C(X, X)$. Of course, $h(K)$ is also compact under these conditions, and it follows that $f \mapsto f \circ h$ is a continuous mapping from $C(X, X)$ into itself with respect to the topology just defined.

Suppose from now on in this section that X is locally compact. We would like to check that $(f, g) \mapsto f \circ g$ is continuous as a mapping from $C(X, X) \times C(X, X)$ into $C(X, X)$, using the product topology on $C(X, X) \times C(X, X)$ associated to the topology on $C(X, X)$ described in the previous paragraph. Let f_0, g_0 in $C(X, X)$ be given, and let us show that $f \circ g$ is close to $f_0 \circ g_0$ in $C(X, X)$ when $f, g \in C(X, X)$ are sufficiently close to f_0, g_0, respectively. More precisely, if K is any nonempty compact subset of X, then we want to show that $f \circ g$ is uniformly close to $f_0 \circ g_0$ on K when f, g are sufficiently close to f_0, g_0 in $C(X, X)$. As before,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_K(f \circ g, f_0 \circ g_0) &\le \rho_K(f \circ g, f_0 \circ g) + \rho_K(f_0 \circ g, f_0 \circ g_0) \\ &= \rho_{g(K)}(f, f_0) + \rho_K(f_0 \circ g, f_0 \circ g_0), \end{aligned} \tag{3.125}$$

using (3.124) in the second step. Of course, $g_0(K)$ is compact in X, because K is compact and g_0 is continuous. Using the local compactness of X, one can get a compact set $L \subseteq X$ that contains $g_0(K)$ in its interior. Let us now restrict our attention to $g \in C(X, X)$ that are sufficiently close to g_0 on K so that

$$g(K) \subseteq L, \tag{3.126}$$

and hence

$$\rho_K(f \circ g, f_0 \circ g_0) \le \rho_L(f, f_0) + \rho_K(f_0 \circ g, f_0 \circ g_0), \tag{3.127}$$

by (3.125). Note that f_0 is uniformly continuous on L, because f_0 is continuous and L is compact. In order for $f \circ g$ to be close to $f_0 \circ g_0$ on K, it suffices that f be sufficiently close to f_0 on L, and that g be sufficiently close to g_0 on K, where the latter should include (3.126) in particular.

Let us restrict our attention to homeomorphisms on X, and consider the continuity properties of $f \mapsto f^{-1}$ on $\mathcal{H}(X)$. If K is a nonempty compact set in X and f is a homeomorphism on X, then

$$\rho_K(f^{-1}, \mathrm{id}_X) = \rho_{f^{-1}(K)}(f^{-1} \circ f, \mathrm{id}_X \circ f) = \rho_{f^{-1}(K)}(\mathrm{id}_X, f). \tag{3.128}$$

If $f^{-1}(K)$ is contained in a fixed compact set $L \subseteq X$, then it follows that f^{-1} is as close as we want to id_X on K when f is sufficiently close to id_X on L. Otherwise, in order to get the continuity of $f \mapsto f^{-1}$ on $\mathcal{H}(X)$, one can simply use the topology on $\mathcal{H}(X)$ determined by the collection of supremum seminorms $\rho_K(f, g)$ and $\rho_K(f^{-1}, g^{-1})$ associated to all nonempty compact subsets K of X. It is easy to see that $(f, g) \mapsto f \circ g$ would still be continuous as a mapping from $\mathcal{H}(X) \times \mathcal{H}(X)$ into $\mathcal{H}(X)$ with respect to this topology, because of the discussion in the previous paragraph, and the fact that $(f \circ g)^{-1} = g^{-1} \circ f^{-1}$.

If $X = \mathbf{R}^n$ for some positive integer n, for instance, with the standard metric and topology, then one can avoid this problem. To see this, let B_r be the closed ball in $\mathbf{R}^n$ centered at 0 and with radius r for each nonnegative real number r. If f is a homeomorphism on $\mathbf{R}^n$ which is sufficiently close to the identity mapping on B_{r+1} for some $r > 0$, then well-known results in topology imply that

$$B_r \subseteq f(B_{r+1}), \tag{3.129}$$

and hence

$$f^{-1}(B_r) \subseteq B_{r+1}. \tag{3.130}$$

This permits one to avoid the problem indicated in the previous paragraph, to get that $f \mapsto f^{-1}$ is continuous as a mapping from $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ into itself at $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{R}^n}$, with respect to the topology on $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ determined by the supremum semimetrics $\rho_K(f, g)$. One can then argue as before that $f \mapsto f^{-1}$ is continuous at every point in $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, with respect to the same topology.

Note that the sequence of semimetrics $\rho_{B_r}(f, g)$ with $r \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ determines the same topology on $C(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R}^n)$ as the collection of semimetrics $\rho_K(f, g)$ associated to arbitrary nonempty compact subsets K of $\mathbf{R}^n$, because every compact set $K \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ is contained in B_r for sufficiently large r. This implies that this topology on $C(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R}^n)$ can be described by a single metric, as in Section 3.10.

Similarly, suppose that the locally compact metric space X is also σ-compact, which means that $X = \bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} K_l$ for some sequence $K_1, K_2, K_3, \ldots$ of nonempty compact sets. We may as well ask that $K_l \subseteq K_{l+1}$ for each l, since we can replace K_l with $\bigcup_{j=1}^{l} K_j$ if necessary. Because X is locally compact, one can also enlarge the K_l's a bit, so that K_l is contained in the interior of K_{l+1} for each l. In particular, this implies that the union of the interiors of the K_l's is equal to X. If K is any compact set in X, then it follows that K is contained in the union of the interiors of K_l for finitely many l, and hence that $K \subseteq K_l$ when l is sufficiently large.

As before, this implies that the sequence of semimetrics $\rho_{K_l}(f, g)$ with $l \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ determines the same topology on $C(X, X)$ as the collection of all semimetrics $\rho_K(f, g)$ associated to arbitary nonempty compact subsets K of X under these conditions. In the same way, the countable family of semimetrics of the form $\rho_{K_l}(f, g)$ and $\rho_{K_l}(f^{-1}, g^{-1})$ with $l \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ determines the same topology on $\mathcal{H}(X)$ as the collection of all semimetrics of the form $\rho_K(f, g)$ and $\rho_K(f^{-1}, g^{-1})$ for some nonempty compact set $K \subseteq X$. Hence these topologies on $C(X, X)$ and $\mathcal{H}(X)$ can each be described by a single metric, as in Section 3.10.

It is natural to embed $\mathcal{H}(X)$ into $C(X,X)\times C(X,X)$ using the mapping $f \mapsto (f, f^{-1})$, as in the preceding section, whether or not X is σ-compact. As usual, $C(X,X)\times C(X,X)$ may be equipped with the product topology corresponding to the topology on $C(X,X)$ determined by the collection of semimetrics $\rho_K(f,g)$ associated to nonempty compact subsets K of X. By construction, the topology on $\mathcal{H}(X)$ determined by the collection of semimetrics $\rho_K(f,g)$ and $\rho_K(f^{-1}, g^{-1})$ associated to nonempty compact subsets K of X corresponds exactly to the one induced on the image of $\mathcal{H}(X)$ in $C(X,X)\times C(X,X)$ by the product topology just mentioned. Note that the image of $\mathcal{H}(X)$ in $C(X,X)\times C(X,X)$ is a closed set with respect to the product topology, for basically the same reasons as before.

If X is σ-compact again, then it is easy to see that X is separable, because compact metric spaces are separable. This implies that the space $C(K,X)$ of continuous mappings from a compact metric space K into X is separable with respect to the supremum metric, as in the previous section. In particular, this can be applied to compact sets $K \subseteq X$, using the restriction of the metric on X to K. If X is locally compact and σ-compact, then one can use this to show that $C(X,X)$ is separable with respect to the usual topology. This implies that $C(X,X)\times C(X,X)$ is separable with respect to the product topology, and hence that $\mathcal{H}(X)$ is separable with respect to the topology determined by the usual collection of semimetrics of the form $\rho_K(f,g)$ and $\rho_K(f^{-1}, g^{-1})$.

3.14 Groups of isometries

Let $(X, d(x,y))$ be a metric space again, and let $\mathcal{I}(X,X)$ be the set of mappings $f : X \to X$ which are isometries, so that

$$d(f(x), f(y)) = d(x,y) \tag{3.131}$$

for every $x, y \in X$. This is a sub-semigroup of the semigroup $C(X,X)$ of all continuous mappings from X into itself, which includes the identity mapping id_X on X. Similarly, the collection $\mathcal{I}(X)$ of isometric mappings from X onto itself is the same as the group of invertible elements in $\mathcal{I}(X,X)$, and is a subgroup of the group $\mathcal{H}(X)$ of all homeomorphisms from X onto itself.

Suppose for the moment that X is compact, and let $\rho(f,g)$ be the supremum metric on $C(X,X)$. If $h \in \mathcal{I}(X,X)$, then

$$\rho(h \circ f, h \circ g) = \rho(f,g) \tag{3.132}$$

for every $f, g \in C(X,X)$, and in particular the restriction of the supremum metric to $\mathcal{I}(X)$ is invariant under left as well as right translations. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(f' \circ g', f \circ g) &\leq \rho(f' \circ g', f \circ g') + \rho(f \circ g', f \circ g) \\ &\leq \rho(f', f) + \rho(g, g') \end{aligned} \tag{3.133}$$

for every $f, g, f', g' \in \mathcal{I}(X,X)$, which implies the continuity of $(f,g) \mapsto f \circ g$ as a mapping from $\mathcal{I}(X,X)\times\mathcal{I}(X,X)$ into $\mathcal{I}(X,X)$ in a simpler way than before.

Similarly, if $f, g \in \mathcal{I}(X)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}(3.134)\qquad \rho(f^{-1}, g^{-1}) &= \rho(f \circ f^{-1}, f \circ g^{-1}) = \rho(\mathrm{id}_X, f \circ g^{-1}) \\ &= \rho(\mathrm{id}_X \circ g, (f \circ g^{-1}) \circ g) = \rho(g, f),\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $f \mapsto f^{-1}$ is an isometry on $\mathcal{I}(X)$ with respect to $\rho(f, g)$.

Note the set $C_s(X, X)$ of continuous mappings from X onto itself is a closed set in $C(X, X)$ with respect to the supremum metric when X is compact. One way to see this is to observe first that $C_s(X, X)$ is the same as the set of continuous mappings $f : X \to X$ such that $f(X)$ is dense in X, because $f(X)$ is compact and hence closed in X. It is easy to check that the set of continuous mappings $f : X \to X$ such that $f(X)$ is dense in X is closed in $C(X, X)$, directly from the definitions. Alternatively, suppose that $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of continuous mappings from X onto itself that converges uniformly to a mapping f from X into itself. Let $y \in X$ be given, and for each positive integer j, let x_j be an element of X such that $f_j(x_j) = y$. Because X is compact and hence sequentially compact, there is a subsequence $\{x_{j_l}\}_{l=1}^\infty$ of $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ that converges to an element x of X. This implies that $\{f_{j_l}(x_{j_l})\}_{l=1}^\infty$ converges to $f(x)$ in X, since $\{f_{j_l}\}_{l=1}^\infty$ converges to f uniformly on X. It follows that $f(x) = y$, as desired, because $f_{j_l}(x_{j_l}) = y$ for each l by construction.

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{I}(X, X)$ is also a closed set in $C(X, X)$ with respect to the supremum metric. The usual Arzela–Ascoli arguments imply that $\mathcal{I}(X, X)$ is actually a compact set in $C(X, X)$ with respect to the supremum metric when X is compact, since isometric mappings on X are obviously equicontinuous. It follows that $\mathcal{I}(X) = \mathcal{I}(X, X) \cap C_s(X, X)$ is a compact set in $C(X, X)$ too, because $C_s(X, X)$ is a closed set in $C(X, X)$, as in the preceding paragraph. Alternatively, one can show that $\mathcal{I}(X) = \mathcal{I}(X, X)$ when X is compact.

Now let $(X, d(x, y))$ be a non-compact metric space, and let $C(X, X)$ be equipped with the topology determined by the collection of semimetrics $\rho_K(f, g)$ associated to nonempty compact subsets K of X, as in the previous section. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{I}(X, X)$ is a closed set in $C(X, X)$ with respect to this topology, or even with respect to the topology of pointwise convergence of mappings from X into itself. However, $\mathcal{I}(X)$ may be a proper subset of $\mathcal{I}(X, X)$ when X is not compact, and the set $C_s(X, X)$ of continuous mappings from X onto X may not be a closed set in $C(X, X)$. If X is an infinite set equipped with the discrete metric, for instance, then $\mathcal{I}(X)$ consists of all of the one-to-one mappings from X onto itself, while $\mathcal{I}(X, X)$ consists of all one-to-one mappings from X into itself. In this case, one can check that $\mathcal{I}(X, X)$ is the closure of $\mathcal{I}(X)$ in $C(X, X)$, and in particular that $\mathcal{I}(X)$ is not a closed set in $C(X, X)$. Similarly, the set of mappings from X onto itself is dense in $C(X, X)$ in this situation, and in particular is not closed. If X is a complete metric space and f is an isometric mapping of X into itself, then observe that $f(X)$ is a closed set in X, because $f(X)$ is also complete with respect to the restriction of $d(x, y)$ to $x, y \in f(X)$.

If $f \in \mathcal{I}(X, X)$ and K is a nonempty bounded subset of X, then $f(K)$ is also a bounded set in X, and hence the semimetric $\rho_K(f, g)$ can be defined on $\mathcal{I}(X, X)$ as in (3.123). The collection of semimetrics $\rho_K(f, g)$ associated to nonempty bounded subsets K of X also defines a topology on $\mathcal{I}(X, X)$, as in Section 3.10. One can get the same topology on $\mathcal{I}(X, X)$ using the semimetrics associated to the sequence of balls in X centered at some fixed point and with radius equal to a positive integer, which implies that this topology is metrizable, as in Section 3.10. Of course, compact subsets of X are automatically bounded, so that this topology is at least as strong as the one determined by the semimetrics $\rho_K(f, g)$ associated to nonempty compact sets $K \subseteq X$.

As in (3.124),

$$\rho_K(f \circ h, g \circ h) = \rho_{h(K)}(f, g) \tag{3.135}$$

for every $f, g, h \in \mathcal{I}(X, X)$ and nonempty bounded set $K \subseteq X$. In this case, we also have that

$$\rho_K(h \circ f, h \circ g) = \rho_K(f, g), \tag{3.136}$$

so that the semimetrics $\rho_K(f, g)$ are invariant under left translations on $\mathcal{I}(X, X)$. If $f_0, g_0, f, g \in \mathcal{I}(X, X)$ and K is a nonempty bounded subset of X, then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_K(f \circ g, f_0 \circ g_0) &\le \rho_K(f \circ g, f \circ g_0) + \rho_K(f \circ g_0, f_0 \circ g_0) \\ &\le \rho_K(g, g_0) + \rho_{g_0(K)}(f, f_0). \end{aligned} \tag{3.137}$$

As before, this can be used to show that $(f, g) \mapsto f \circ g$ is continuous as a mapping from $\mathcal{I}(X, X) \times \mathcal{I}(X, X)$ into $\mathcal{I}(X, X)$, with respect to the topology on $\mathcal{I}(X, X)$ determined by the semimetrics $\rho_K(f, g)$ on $\mathcal{I}(X, X)$ associated to nonempty bounded sets $K \subseteq X$.

Similarly, if $f, f_0 \in \mathcal{I}(X)$ and K is a nonempty bounded subset of X, then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_K(f^{-1}, g^{-1}) &= \rho_K(f \circ f^{-1}, f \circ g^{-1}) = \rho_K(\mathrm{id}_K, f \circ g^{-1}) \\ &= \rho_{g^{-1}(K)}(\mathrm{id}_X \circ g, (f \circ g^{-1}) \circ g) = \rho_{g^{-1}(K)}(g, f). \end{aligned} \tag{3.138}$$

Using this, it is easy to see that $f \mapsto f^{-1}$ is continuous as a mapping from $\mathcal{I}(X)$ to itself, with respect to the topology determined by the semimetrics $\rho_K(\cdot, \cdot)$ associated to nonempty bounded sets $K \subseteq X$. More precisely, this implies that $f \mapsto f^{-1}$ is continuous at every point $g \in \mathcal{I}(X)$, and hence is continuous as a mapping from $\mathcal{I}(X)$ into itself.

It is not difficult to check that the collection of $f \in \mathcal{I}(X, X)$ such that $f(X)$ is dense in X is a closed set in $\mathcal{I}(X, X)$ with respect to the topology on $\mathcal{I}(X, X)$ determined by the semimetrics $\rho_K(\cdot, \cdot)$ associated to nonempty bounded subsets K of X. Equivalently, if $f \in \mathcal{I}(X, X)$ can be approximated in this topology by $g \in \mathcal{I}(X, X)$ such that $g(X)$ is dense in X, then $f(X)$ is dense in X too. Indeed, let $y \in X$ be given, and suppose that we are looking for $x \in X$ such that $f(x)$ is close to y. Let p be any element of X, so that we should look for $x \in X$ such that $d(p, x)$ is close to $d(f(p), y)$. Suppose that $g \in \mathcal{I}(X, X)$ has the properties that $g(X)$ is dense in X, and that g is uniformly close to f on the ball B in X centered at p with radius $d(f(p), y) + 1$, say. If $x \in X$ and $g(x)$ is close to y, then $d(p, x) = d(g(p), g(x))$ is close to $d(g(p), y)$, which is less than or equal to $d(f(p), y) + d(f(p), g(p))$. In particular, if $d(f(p), g(p)) < 1$, then there are points $x \in B$ such that $g(x)$ is as close to y as we want. If g is also uniformly close to f on B, then we get points $x \in B$ such that $f(x)$ is arbitrarily close to y, as desired. If X is complete, then $f(X)$ is a closed set in X for every $f \in \mathcal{I}(X, X)$, as before. This implies that $\mathcal{I}(X)$ is a closed set in $\mathcal{I}(X, X)$ with respect to this topology when X is complete.

Suppose now that all closed and bounded subsets of X are compact. This is equivalent to asking that closed balls in X be compact, which implies that X is locally compact, σ-compact, and complete. In this case, the topology on $\mathcal{I}(X, X)$ determined by the collection of semimetrics $\rho_K(f, g)$ associated to nonempty compact subsets K of X is the same as the topology determined by the collection of semimetrics $\rho_K(f, g)$ associated to nonempty bounded sets $K \subseteq X$. We have already seen that $\mathcal{I}(X, X)$ is a closed set in $C(X, X)$ with respect to the topology determined by the semimetrics $\rho_K(f, g)$ associated to nonempty compact sets $K \subseteq X$, and it follows that $\mathcal{I}(X)$ is also a closed set in $C(X, X)$ with respect to this topology, by the remarks in the previous paragraph.

If $p \in X$ and A is a closed set in X, then

$$E(p, A) = \{f \in \mathcal{I}(X, X) : f(p) \in A\} \tag{3.139}$$

is a closed set in $C(X, X)$. If A is compact, then one can check that $E(p, A)$ is a compact set in $C(X, X)$, by the usual Arzela–Ascoli arguments. More precisely, let $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of elements of $E(p, A)$, and let K be a nonempty compact set in X. It is easy to see that there is a compact set $K_1 \subseteq X$ depending on K, p, and A such that $f(K) \subseteq K_1$ for every $f \in E(p, A)$, using the hypothesis that closed and bounded subsets of X are compact. Because isometric mappings are obviously equicontinuous, it follows that there is a subsequence $\{f_{j_l}\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ of $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ that converges uniformly on K to a mapping $f : K \to X$. Applying this to the sequence of closed balls in X centered at p with positive integer radius, and then using a diagonalization argument, one can get a subsequence of $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ that converges uniformly on each such ball, and hence on every compact set in X. This implies that $E(p, A)$ is sequentially compact in $C(X, X)$, and thus that $E(p, A)$ is compact in $C(X, X)$, because $C(X, X)$ is metrizable under these conditions. Alternatively, for each nonempty compact set $K \subseteq X$, one can show that the set of restrictions of $f \in E(p, A)$ to K is totally bounded in $C(K, X)$ with respect to the supremum metric. This implies that $E(p, A)$ is totally bounded with respect to a metric on $C(X, X)$ that determines the same topology, and for which $C(X, X)$ is complete as a metric space.

It follows that $\mathcal{I}(X, X)$ is locally compact with respect to this topology under these conditions, which implies that $\mathcal{I}(X)$ is locally compact too, since it is a closed set in $\mathcal{I}(X, X)$.

Chapter 4

Commutative groups

4.1 Homomorphisms into R

Let A and B be commutative topological groups, in which the group operations are expressed additively. As usual, a mapping $\phi : A \to B$ is said to be a *continuous homomorphism* if ϕ is both a homomorphism from A into B as commutative groups and a continuous mapping from A into B as topological spaces. The collection of all continuous homomorphisms from A into B will be denoted $\mathrm{Hom}(A, B)$, which is easily seen to be a commutative group with respect to pointwise addition. Note that the kernel of a continuous homomorphism is a closed subgroup of the domain.

Let us now restrict our attention to the case where B is the additive group of real numbers, with the standard topology. Observe that the only compact subgroup of $\mathbf{R}$ is the trivial subgroup $\{0\}$. If ϕ is a continuous homomorphism from a compact topological group A into $\mathbf{R}$, then $\phi(A)$ is a compact subgroup of $\mathbf{R}$, and hence $\phi(a) = 0$ for every $a \in A$.

Suppose that ϕ is a continuous homomorphism from $\mathbf{R}$ into itself. It is easy to see that

$$\phi(r\,x) = r\,\phi(x) \tag{4.1}$$

for every $x \in \mathbf{R}$ and positive integer r, because $r\,x$ is the same as the sum of r x's. Of course, $\phi(0) = 0$ and $\phi(-y) = -\phi(y)$ for every $y \in \mathbf{R}$, so that (4.1) holds for every $x \in \mathbf{R}$ and every integer r. If $z \in \mathbf{R}$, n is a positive integer, and $n\,z = 0$, then $z = 0$, which implies that each $y \in \mathbf{R}$ is uniquely determined by $n\,y$ for any positive integer n. Using this, one can check that (4.1) holds for every $x \in \mathbf{R}$ and rational number r. It follows that (4.1) holds for all $x, r \in \mathbf{R}$, because ϕ is continuous, and the set $\mathbf{Q}$ of rational numbers is dense in $\mathbf{R}$. Hence

$$\phi(r) = r\,\phi(1) \tag{4.2}$$

for every $r \in \mathbf{R}$.

If $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$ are finitely many commutative topological groups, then their Cartesian product $A = A_1 \times A_2 \times \cdots \times A_n$ is also a commutative topological group, with respect to the product group structure and topology. If B is another commutative topological group and $\phi_j : A_j \to B$ is a continuous homomorphism for each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, then

$$\phi(a) = \phi_1(a_1) + \phi_2(a_2) + \cdots + \phi_n(a_n) \tag{4.3}$$

is a continuous homomorphism from A into B. Conversely, it is easy to see that every continuous homomorphism from A into B is of this form. In particular, we can apply this to $A_j = \mathbf{R}$ for each j, so that $A = \mathbf{R}^n$ as a topological group with respect to addition and the standard topology. If we also take $B = \mathbf{R}$,then we get that the continuous homomorphisms from $\mathbf{R}^n$ into $\mathbf{R}$ are exactly the usual linear functionals on $\mathbf{R}^n$.

Let I be an infinite set, and suppose that A_j is a commutative topological group for each $j \in I$. As before, it is easy to see that the Cartesian product $A = \prod_{j \in I} A_j$ is also a commutative topological group, with respect to the product group structure and topology. Let us denote elements of A by $x = \{x_j\}_{j \in I}$, so that $x_j \in A_j$ for each $j \in I$. If ϕ_l is a continuous homomorphism from A_l into a commutative topological group B for some $l \in I$, then

$$\widetilde{\phi}_l(x) = \phi_l(x_l) \tag{4.4}$$

is a continuous homomorphism from A into B, which is the same as the canonical coordinate mapping from A onto A_l composed with ϕ_l. Of course, the sum of finitely many homomorphisms of this type is a continuous homomorphism from A into B as well.

Suppose that there is an open set $W \subseteq B$ such that $0 \in W$ and $\{0\}$ is the only subgroup of B contained in W. If $B = \mathbf{R}$ with the standard topology, for instance, then we can take W to be any open interval in $\mathbf{R}$ containing 0. Let ϕ be a continuous homomorphism from A into B, so that $\phi^{-1}(W)$ is an open set in A that contains 0. Because of the way that the product topology is defined on A, there are open sets $U_j \subseteq A_j$ for each $j \in I$ such that $0 \in A_j$ for each j,

$$\prod_{j \in I} U_j \subseteq \phi^{-1}(W), \tag{4.5}$$

and $U_j = A_j$ for all but finitely many j. If we put $A'_j = A_j$ when $U_j = A_j$ and $A'_j = \{0\}$ otherwise, then $A' = \prod_{j \in I} A'_j$ is a subgroup of A contained in $\phi^{-1}(W)$, so that $\phi(A)$ is a subgroup of B contained in W. This implies that $\phi(x) = 0$ for every $x \in A'$, and hence that $\phi(x)$ depends only on x_j for the finitely many $j \in I$ such that $U_j \neq A_j$. It follows that ϕ can be expressed as a finite sum of homomorphisms of the form (4.4) under these conditions.

Let V be a topological vector space over the real numbers. As in Section 3.10, this means that V is a vector space over the real numbers equipped with a topology for which the vector space operations are continuous and $\{0\}$ is a closed set. In particular, V is a commmutative topological group with respect to addition. Suppose that λ is a continuous homomorphism from V as a topological group into $\mathbf{R}$. This implies that

$$\phi_v(t) = \lambda(t\, v) \tag{4.6}$$

is a continuous homomorphism from $\mathbf{R}$ into itself for each $v \in V$. As in (4.2),

$$\phi_v(t) = t\,\phi_v(1) \tag{4.7}$$

for each $t \in \mathbf{R}$, which means that

$$\lambda(t\,v) = t\,\lambda(v) \tag{4.8}$$

for every $v \in V$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Thus continuous homomorphisms from V as a topological group into $\mathbf{R}$ are the same as continuous linear functionals on V as a topological vector space.

4.2 Homomorphisms into T

Let A be a commutative topological group, in which the group operations are expressed additively. Remember that the unit circle $\mathbf{T}$ in the complex plane is a compact commutative topological group with respect to multiplication of complex numbers and the topology induced by the standard topology on $\mathbf{C}$. A continuous homomorphism from A into $\mathbf{T}$ is said to be a *character* on A, and the collection $\mathrm{Hom}(A, \mathbf{T})$ of characters on A is known as the *dual group* associated to A. This is a commutative group with respect to pointwise multiplication of characters, as in the previous section, which may be denoted $\widehat{A}$.

The set $\mathbf{C} \backslash \{0\}$ of nonzero complex numbers is a commutative topological group with respect to multiplication and the topology induced by the standard topology on $\mathbf{C}$. There is a natural isomorphism between $\mathbf{C} \backslash \{0\}$ and the product of the unit circle $\mathbf{T}$ and the multiplicative group $\mathbf{R}_+$ of positive real numbers, which sends a nonzero complex number z to

$$\Big(\frac{z}{|z|}, |z|\Big) \in \mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{R}_+. \tag{4.9}$$

More precisely, this is an isomorphism between $\mathbf{C} \backslash \{0\}$ and $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}_+$ as topological groups, which means that it is both a group isomorphism and a homeomorphism. It follows that a continuous homomorphism from a commutative topological group A into $\mathbf{C} \backslash \{0\}$ is the same as product of continuous homomorphisms from A into $\mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbf{R}_+$. The exponential mapping defines an isomorphism from the additive group $\mathbf{R}$ of real numbers onto the multiplicative group $\mathbf{R}_+$ of positive real numbers as topological groups, so that a continuous homomorphism from A into $\mathbf{R}_+$ is the same as the exponential of a continuous homomorphism from A into $\mathbf{R}$. If A is compact, then every continuous homomorphism from A into $\mathbf{R}$ or equivalently $\mathbf{R}_+$ is trivial, and hence every continuous homomorphism from A into $\mathbf{C} \backslash \{0\}$ actually maps A into $\mathbf{T}$. This is basically the same as saying that every compact subgroup of $\mathbf{C} \backslash \{0\}$ is contained in $\mathbf{T}$.

Note that $e_t(x) = \exp(i\,t\,x)$ defines a continuous homomorphism from $\mathbf{R}$ into $\mathbf{T}$ for each $t \in \mathbf{R}$, which is surjective when $t \neq 0$. If ϕ is a continuous homomorphism from a commutative topological group A into $\mathbf{R}$, then it follows that $\phi_t(a) = \exp(i\,t\,\phi(a))$ is a continuous homomorphism from A into $\mathbf{T}$ for each $t \in \mathbf{R}$. These homomorphisms are all trivial when A is compact.

If ψ is any continuous mapping from $\mathbf{R}$ into $\mathbf{T}$ such that $\psi(0) = 1$, then it is well known that there is a unique continuous mapping ϕ from $\mathbf{R}$ into itself such that $\phi(0) = 1$ and

$$\psi(x) = \exp(i\,\phi(x)) \tag{4.10}$$

for every $x \in \mathbf{R}$. This uses the fact that $\exp(i\,r)$ is a local homeomorphism from $\mathbf{R}$ onto $\mathbf{T}$. Suppose now that ψ is a continuous homomorphism from $\mathbf{R}$ into $\mathbf{T}$, and let us show that ϕ is a homomorphism from $\mathbf{R}$ into itself. Put

$$\phi_y(x) = \phi(x+y) - \phi(y) \tag{4.11}$$

for every $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$, so that ϕ_y is a continuous mapping from $\mathbf{R}$ into itself such that $\phi_y(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(i\,\phi_y(x)) &= \exp(i\,\phi(x+y) - i\,\phi(y)) \\ &= \exp(i\,\phi(x+y))\,(\exp(i\,\phi(y)))^{-1} \\ &= \psi(x+y)\,\psi(y)^{-1} = \psi(x) \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

for every $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$. Thus $\phi_y(x)$ satisfies the same conditions as $\phi(x)$, which implies that $\phi_y(x) = \phi(x)$ for every $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$, by uniqueness. Similarly, $-\phi(-x)$ is a continuous mapping from $\mathbf{R}$ into itself which is equal to 0 at 0 and satisfies

$$\exp(-i\,\phi(-x)) = (\exp(i\,\phi(-x))^{-1} = \psi(-x)^{-1} = \psi(x) \tag{4.13}$$

for every $x \in \mathbf{R}$, so that $-\phi(-x) = \phi(x)$ for every $x \in \mathbf{R}$ by uniqueness of ϕ again. It follows that ϕ is a continuous homomorphism from $\mathbf{R}$ into itself as a topological group, and hence there is a $t \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$\phi(x) = t\,x \tag{4.14}$$

for every $x \in \mathbf{R}$, as in the previous section. This shows that every continuous homomorphism from $\mathbf{R}$ into $\mathbf{T}$ is of the form $e_t(x) = \exp(i\,t\,x)$ for some $t \in \mathbf{R}$.

Now let ϕ be a continuous homomorphism from $\mathbf{T}$ into itself. Observe that

$$\psi(x) = \phi(\exp(i\,x)) \tag{4.15}$$

is a continuous homomorphism from $\mathbf{R}$ into $\mathbf{T}$, because $\exp(i\,x)$ is a continuous homomorphism from $\mathbf{R}$ into $\mathbf{T}$. As in the preceding paragraph, there is a $t \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$\psi(x) = \exp(i\,t\,x) \tag{4.16}$$

for every $x \in \mathbf{R}$. If we take $x = 2\pi$, then we get that $\psi(x) = 1$, and hence that t is an integer. Of course, $\phi(z) = z^n$ is a continuous homomorphism from $\mathbf{T}$ into itself for each integer n, and this argument shows that every continuous homomorphism from $\mathbf{T}$ into itself is of this form.

Let I be a nonempty set, and suppose that A_j is a commutative topological group for each $j \in I$, so that $A = \prod_{j \in I} A_j$ is a commutative topological group with respect to the product group structure and topology. If $l_1, \ldots, l_n$ are finitely many elements of I and ϕ_{l_k} is a continuous homomorphism from A_{l_k} into $\mathbf{T}$ for each $k = 1, \ldots, n$, then

$$\phi(x) = \phi_{l_1}(x_{l_1}) \cdots \phi_{l_n}(x_{l_n}) \tag{4.17}$$

is a continuous homomorphism from A into $\mathbf{T}$. Conversely, every continuous homomorphism from A into $\mathbf{T}$ is of this form, as in the previous section. More precisely, note that

$$W = \{z \in \mathbf{T} : \operatorname{Re} z > 0\} \tag{4.18}$$

is an open set in $\mathbf{T}$, $1 \in W$, and $\{1\}$ is the only subgroup of $\mathbf{T}$ contained in W. This implies that every continuous homomorphism from A into $\mathbf{T}$ depends on only finitely many coordinates, as before.

Let V be a topological vector space over the real numbers, and let ϕ be a continuous homomorphism from V as a topological group with respect to addition into $\mathbf{T}$. This implies that

$$\phi_v(r) = \phi(r\,v) \tag{4.19}$$

is a continuous homomorphism as a function of r from $\mathbf{R}$ into $\mathbf{T}$ for each $v \in V$. Thus there is a real number $\lambda(v)$ such that

$$\phi_v(r) = \exp(i\,r\,\lambda(v)) \tag{4.20}$$

for each $r \in \mathbf{R}$, and which is uniquely determined by v. It is easy to see from the uniqueness of $\lambda(v)$ that $\lambda(t\,v) = t\,\lambda(v)$ for every $v \in V$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}$, and that

$$\lambda(v + w) = \lambda(v) + \lambda(w) \tag{4.21}$$

for every $v, w \in V$, because ϕ is a homomorphism with respect to addition. This shows that λ is a linear functional on V, and that

$$\phi(v) = \exp(i\,\lambda(v)) \tag{4.22}$$

for every $v \in V$, by taking $r = 1$ in (4.20).

We would also like to show that λ is continuous under these circumstances. Of course, it suffices to check that λ is continuous at 0, because λ is linear. It is easy to see that $\lambda(v)$ is close to 0 modulo $2\pi\,\mathbf{Z}$ when v is close to 0 in V, because $\phi(v)$ is continuous and $\exp(i\,x)$ is a local homeomorphism from $\mathbf{R}$ onto $\mathbf{T}$. In order to get that $\lambda(v)$ is close to 0 when v is close to 0, it suffices to use neighborhoods of 0 in V that are star-like about 0. Conversely, if λ is a continuous linear functional on V, then (4.22) defines a continuous homomorphism from V as a topological group with respect to addition into $\mathbf{T}$.

4.3 Finite abelian groups

Let n be a positive integer, and consider the group $\mathbf{Z}/n\,\mathbf{Z}$, which is the quotient of the group $\mathbf{Z}$ of integers with respect to addition by the subgroup $n\,\mathbf{Z}$ consisting of integer multiples of n. Thus $\mathbf{Z}/n\,\mathbf{Z}$ is the cyclic group of order n, which may be considered as a compact commutative topological group with respect to the discrete topology. A homomorphism from $\mathbf{Z}/n\,\mathbf{Z}$ into $\mathbf{T}$ is essentially the same as a homomorphism ϕ from $\mathbf{Z}$ into $\mathbf{T}$ such that $\phi(n) = 1$, so that the kernel of ϕ contains $n\,\mathbf{Z}$. Any homomorphism ϕ from $\mathbf{Z}$ into $\mathbf{T}$ satisfies $\phi(j) = \phi(1)^j$ for each $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, and thus the condition $\phi(n) = 1$ reduces to $\phi(1)^n = 1$. It follows that the dual group associated to $\mathbf{Z}/n\,\mathbf{Z}$ is isomorphic to itself.

Now let A be any finite abelian group, which may be considered as a compact commutative topological group with respect to the discrete topology again. It is well known that A is isomorphic to a product of cyclic groups, but let us look at A in terms of translation operators on functions on A. More precisely, let V be the vector space of complex-valued functions on A, which is a finite-dimensional vector space with respect to pointwise addition and scalar multiplication, with dimension equal to the number of elements of A. Put

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{x \in A} f(x)\,\overline{g(x)} \tag{4.23}$$

for each $f, g \in V$, which defines an inner product on V, with the corresponding norm on V given by

$$\|f\| = \Big(\sum_{x \in A} |f(x)|^2\Big)^{1/2}. \tag{4.24}$$

If $a \in A$ and $f \in V$, then let $T_a(f)$ be the function on A defined by

$$(T_a(f))(x) = f(x + a) \tag{4.25}$$

for each $x \in V$. Thus T_a is a one-to-one linear mapping from V onto itself for each $a \in A$. It is easy to see that

$$\langle T_a(f), T_a(g) \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle \tag{4.26}$$

for every $a \in A$ and $f, g \in V$, so that T_a is a unitary mapping on V with respect to this inner product.

If ϕ is a homomorphism from A into $\mathbf{T}$, then

$$(T_a(\phi))(x) = \phi(x + a) = \phi(x)\,\phi(a) \tag{4.27}$$

for every $a, x \in A$, so that ϕ is an eigenvector for T_a for every $a \in A$. Conversely, suppose that $\psi \in V$ is a nonzero eigenvector of T_a for every $a \in A$. This means that $\|\psi\| > 0$, and for each $a \in A$ there is a complex number $\lambda(a)$ such that

$$T_a(\psi) = \lambda(a)\,\psi. \tag{4.28}$$

Because T_a is unitary for each $a \in A$, we get that

$$\|\psi\| = \|T_a(\psi)\| = \|\lambda(a)\,\psi\| = |\lambda(a)|\,\|\psi\| \tag{4.29}$$

for every $a \in A$, and hence that $|\lambda(a)| = 1$ for each $a \in A$. This implies that $|\psi(x)|$ is constant on A, and in particular that $\psi(0) \neq 0$. Thus

$$\phi(x) = \psi(0)^{-1}\, \psi(x) \tag{4.30}$$

is also an eigenvector of T_a with eigenvalue $\lambda(a)$ for each $a \in A$, with the additional normalization $\phi(0) = 1$. The eigenvalue condition implies that

$$\phi(x + a) = (T_a(\phi))(x) = \lambda(a)\, \phi(x) \tag{4.31}$$

for every $a, x \in A$, and hence that $\lambda(a) = \phi(a)$ for every $a \in A$, by taking $x = 0$. It follows that ϕ is a homomorphism from A into $\mathbf{T}$ under these conditions.

Suppose that ϕ and ϕ' are distinct homomorphisms from A into $\mathbf{T}$, so that $\phi(a) \neq \phi'(a)$ for some $a \in A$. Observe that

$$\langle \phi, \phi' \rangle = \langle T_a(\phi), T_a(\phi') \rangle = \phi(a)\, \overline{\phi'(a)}\, \langle \phi, \phi' \rangle, \tag{4.32}$$

because T_a is unitary. If $\langle \phi, \phi' \rangle \neq 0$, then it follows that $\phi(a)\, \overline{\phi'(a)} = 1$, which would contradict the hypothesis that $\phi(a) \neq \phi'(a)$, since $|\phi'(a)| = 1$. Thus ϕ is orthogonal to ϕ', which implies that the number of distinct homomorphisms from A into $\mathbf{T}$ is less than or equal to the dimension of V, which is the number of elements of A. In fact, the number of distinct homomorphisms from A into $\mathbf{T}$ is equal to the number of elements of A, and they form an orthogonal basis for V. To see this, remember that a unitary transformation on a finite-dimensional complex inner product space can be diagonalized in an orthogonal basis. This implies that for each $a \in A$, V can be expressed as an orthogonal direct sum of eigenspaces for T_a. We also have that $T_a \circ T_b = T_b \circ T_a$ for every $a, b \in A$, because A is abelian, which implies that T_b maps the eigenspaces of T_a into themselves for each $a, b \in A$. This permits one to get an orthogonal basis for V consisting of eigenvectors for T_a for every $a \in A$, which can be normalized to get homomorphisms from A into $\mathbf{T}$ as in the preceding paragraph.

4.4 Discrete groups

If ϕ is a homomorphism from the group $\mathbf{Z}$ of integers with respect to addition into any group G, then

$$\phi(j) = \phi(1)^j \tag{4.33}$$

for each $j \in \mathbf{Z}$. Thus ϕ is uniquely determined by $\phi(1)$, and for each $g \in G$ there is a homomorphism ϕ from $\mathbf{Z}$ into G such that $g = \phi(1)$. If G is an abelian group, then the collection of homomorphisms from $\mathbf{Z}$ into G is a group, and it follows that this group is isomorphic to G. In particular, the group of homomorphisms from $\mathbf{Z}$ into $\mathbf{T}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{T}$.

Let A be a nonempty set, and let $\mathbf{T}^A$ be the collection of all functions on A with values in $\mathbf{T}$, which is a commutative group with respect to pointwise multiplication. This is the same as the Cartesian product of copies of $\mathbf{T}$ indexed by A, which is a compact Hausdorff space with respect to the product topology associated to the standard topology on $\mathbf{T}$. As usual, $\mathbf{T}^A$ is a topological group.

Now let A be any abelian group, equipped with the discrete topology. It is easy to see that the group $\mathrm{Hom}(A, \mathbf{T})$ of homomorphisms from A into $\mathbf{T}$ is a closed subgroup of $\mathbf{T}^A$. Thus $\mathrm{Hom}(A, \mathbf{T})$ is compact with respect to the topology induced by the product topology on $\mathbf{T}^A$.

Let E be a nonempty subset of A, and let $\mathbf{T}^E$ be the group of functions on E with values in $\mathbf{T}$, as before. There is a natural mapping π_E from $\mathbf{T}^A$ onto $\mathbf{T}^E$, which sends a $\mathbf{T}$-valued function on A to its resriction to E, and which is clearly a continuous homomorphism. Thus π_E maps $\mathrm{Hom}(A, \mathbf{T})$ onto a compact subgroup of $\mathbf{T}^E$. If E is a set of generators of A, so that every element of A can be expressed as a finite sum of elements of E and their inverses, then the restriction of π_E to $\mathrm{Hom}(A, \mathbf{T})$ is a homeomorphism onto its image in $\mathbf{T}^E$.

In particular, if $A = \mathbf{Z}$, then we can take $E = \{1\}$, and identify $\mathbf{T}^E$ with $\mathbf{T}$. In this case, π_E maps $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{T})$ onto $\mathbf{T}$, and the restriction of π_E to $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{T})$ corresponds exactly to the isomorphism between $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{T})$ and $\mathbf{T}$ mentioned earlier. The topology on $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{T})$ induced by the product topology on $\mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{Z}}$ corresponds to the standard topology on $\mathbf{T}$. Similarly, if $A = \mathbf{Z}^n$ for some positive integer n, then we can take E to be the set of n standard generators of $\mathbf{Z}^n$. This leads to an isomorphism between $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}^n, \mathbf{T})$ and $\mathbf{T}^n$, for which the standard topology on $\mathbf{T}^n$ corresponds to the topology on $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}^n, \mathbf{T})$ induced by the product topology on $\mathbf{T}^{(\mathbf{Z}^n)}$.

Let A be any abelian group with the discrete topology again. Suppose that B is a subgroup of A, ϕ is a homomorphism from B into $\mathbf{T}$, and that $x \in A \backslash B$. We would like to extend ϕ to a homomorphism from the subgroup $B(x)$ of A generated by B and x into $\mathbf{T}$. As usual, $j \cdot x$ can be defined for every $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, as the sum of j x's when $j \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, and so on. If $j \cdot x \not\in B$ for any $j \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, then every element of $B(x)$ has a unique representation as $b + j \cdot x$ for some $b \in B$ and $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, so that $B(x)$ is isomorphic to $B \times \mathbf{Z}$. In this case, one can extend ϕ to $B(x)$ by putting $\phi(b + j \cdot x) = \phi(b)$ for every $b \in B$ and $j \in \mathbf{Z}$. Otherwise, if $j \cdot x \in B$ for some $j \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, then we let n be the smallest positive integer with this property. Thus $n \cdot x \in B$, so that $\phi(n \cdot x)$ is already defined, and we choose $\zeta \in \mathbf{T}$ such that $\zeta^n = \phi(n \cdot x)$. In this case, we put

$$\phi(b + j \cdot x) = \phi(b)\, \zeta^j \tag{4.34}$$

for every $b \in B$ and $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, which one can check is a well-defined homomorphism from $B(x)$ into $\mathbf{T}$. This uses the fact that $j \cdot x \in B$ for some $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ if and only if j is an integer multiple of n.

If A is finitely generated, or generated by B and finitely many additional elements, then one can repeat the process to get an extension of ϕ to a homomorphism from A into $\mathbf{T}$. Similarly, if A can be generated by B and countably many additional elements, then an increasing sequence of these extensions leads to an extension of ϕ to a homomorphism from A into $\mathbf{T}$. Otherwise, one can use Zorn's lemma or the Hausdorff maximality principle to argue that there is a maximal extension of ϕ to a homomorphism from subgroup of A into $\mathbf{T}$, and then use the preceding construction to show that a maximal extension is defined on all of A.

In particular, if a is any nonzero element of A, then one can take B to be the subgroup of A generated by a, and it is easy to see that there is a homomorphism ϕ from B into $\mathbf{T}$ such that $\phi(a) \neq 1$. The previous discussion then leads to an extension of ϕ to a homomorphism from A into $\mathbf{T}$.

4.5 Compact groups

Let A be a compact abelian topological group. Remember that $\{1\}$ is the only subgroup of $\mathbf{T}$ contained in the set W of $z \in \mathbf{T}$ with $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$, for instance. If ϕ is a continuous homomorphism from A into $\mathbf{T}$ such that $\operatorname{Re} \phi(a) > 0$ for every $a \in A$, then $\phi(a)$ is a subgroup of $\mathbf{T}$ contained in W, and hence $\phi(a) = 1$ for every $a \in A$. In particular, this holds when

$$|\phi(a) - 1| < 1 \tag{4.35}$$

for every $a \in A$. Suppose that ϕ_1, ϕ_2 are continuous homomorphisms from A into $\mathbf{T}$ such that

$$|\phi_1(a) - \phi_2(a)| < 1 \tag{4.36}$$

for every $a \in A$. Applying the previous argument to $\phi(a) = \phi_1(a)\,\phi_2(a)^{-1}$, we get that $\phi_1(a) = \phi_2(a)$ for every $a \in A$. Because of this, it is natural to consider the group $\operatorname{Hom}(A, \mathbf{T})$ of continuous homomorphisms from A into $\mathbf{T}$ as being equipped with the discrete topology in this case.

Let H be Haar measure on A, which we normalize so that $H(A) = 1$, and let ϕ be a continuous homomorphism from A into $\mathbf{T}$. Observe that

$$\int_A \phi(x)\,dH(x) = \int_A \phi(x+a)\,dH(x) = \phi(a) \int_A \phi(x)\,dH(x) \tag{4.37}$$

for every $a \in A$. If $\phi(a) \neq 1$ for some $a \in A$, then it follows that

$$\int_A \phi(x)\,dH(x) = 0. \tag{4.38}$$

Suppose that ϕ_1, ϕ_2 are distinct continuous homomorphisms from A into $\mathbf{T}$, so that $\phi_1(a) \neq \phi_2(a)$ for some $a \in A$. Applying the previous argument to $\phi(x) = \phi_1(x)\,\phi_2(x)^{-1} = \phi_1(x)\,\overline{\phi_2(x)}$, we get that

$$\int_A \phi_1(x)\,\overline{\phi_2(x)}\,dH(x) = 0. \tag{4.39}$$

This shows that distinct characters on A are orthogonal with respect to the standard L^2 integral inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_A f(x)\,\overline{g(x)}\,dH(x). \tag{4.40}$$

The normalization $H(A) = 1$ implies that characters on A have L^2 norm equal to 1, so that they are actually orthonormal in $L^2(A)$.

Let $C(A)$ be the space of continuous complex-valued functions on A, and let $\mathcal{E}$ be the linear subspace of $C(A)$ consisting of finite linear combinations of characters on A. Note that this contains constant functions on A, since the constant function equal to 1 on A is a character. If $f \in \mathcal{E}$, then $\overline{f} \in \mathcal{E}$, because the complex conjugate of a character on A is also a character. Similarly, if $f, g \in \mathcal{E}$, then $f\,g \in \mathcal{E}$, because the product of two characters on A is a character as well. If $\mathcal{E}$ separates points in A, then the Stone–Weierstrass theorem implies that $\mathcal{E}$ is dense in $C(A)$ with respect to the supremum norm. Of course, $\mathcal{E}$ separates points in A if and only if the set of characters on A separates points. In this case, it follows that the characters on A form an orthonormal basis for $L^2(A)$, since $C(A)$ is dense in $L^2(A)$.

If A is a finite abelian group, then $C(A)$ is spanned by the characters on A, as in Section 4.3. If $A = \mathbf{T}$ with the standard topology, then the characters on A are given by $z \mapsto z^n$ for $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, which obviously separate points on $\mathbf{T}$. Let I be a nonempty set, let A_i be a compact abelian topological group for each $i \in I$, and suppose that characters on A_i separate points for each $i \in I$. Under these conditions, $A = \prod_{i \in I} A_i$ is a compact abelian group with respect to the product topology and group structure, and it is easy to see that characters on A separate points too.

Let A be any compact abelian topological group again, and let E_0 be a subgroup of the group of characters on A. Also let $\mathcal{E}_0$ be the linear subspace of $C(A)$ spanned by E_0, which is a subalgebra of $C(A)$ that contains the constant functions and is invariant under complex conjugation, as before. If E_0 separates points in A, then $\mathcal{E}_0$ separates points in A, and hence $\mathcal{E}_0$ is dense in $C(A)$ with respect to the supremum norm, by the Stone–Weierstrass theorem. If ϕ is a character on A not in E_0, then ϕ is orthogonal to the elements of $\mathcal{E}_0$ in $L^2(A)$, as in (4.39). This shows that every character on A is an element of E_0 when E_0 separates points in A.

Suppose that A is a closed subgroup of a compact abelian topological group B, and that characters on B separate points. If E_0 is the set of characters on A that are restrictions of characters on B to A, then E_0 separates points in A, and hence every character on A is in E_0.

Suppose now that A is an abelian group with the discrete topology, and let $\widehat{A}$ be the group of characters on A. As in the preceding section, $\widehat{A}$ may be considered as a closed subgroup of $\mathbf{T}^A$ with respect to the product topology, and thus as a compact abelian topological group. If $a \in A$ and $\phi \in \widehat{A}$, then put

$$\Psi_a(\phi) = \phi(a), \tag{4.41}$$

so that Ψ_a defines a continuous homomorphism from $\widehat{A}$ into $\mathbf{T}$ for each $a \in A$. If ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are distinct elements of $\widehat{A}$, then $\phi_1(a) \neq \phi_2(a)$ for some $a \in A$, which means that the collection of Ψ_a's with $a \in A$ separates points on $\widehat{A}$. It is easy to see that the collection of Ψ_a's with $a \in A$ forms a subgroup of the group of characters on $\widehat{A}$, and in fact $a \mapsto \Psi_a$ defines a homomorphism from A into the group of characters on $\widehat{A}$. The discussion in the previous paragraphs implies that every continuous homomorphism from $\widehat{A}$ into $\mathbf{T}$ is of the form Ψ_a

for some $a \in A$. If $a \in A$ and $a \neq 0$, then we saw in the preceding section that $\phi(a) \neq 0$ for some $\phi \in \widehat{A}$. This shows that $a \mapsto \Psi_a$ is an isomorphism from A onto the group of characters on $\widehat{A}$ in this case.

4.6 Locally compact groups

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff topological space, and let $C(X)$ be the space of continuous complex-valued functions on X. If $f \in C(X)$ and K is a nonempty compact subset of X, then put

$$\|f\|_K = \sup_{x \in K} |f(x)|. \tag{4.42}$$

It is easy to see that this defines a seminorm on $C(X)$, known as the *supremum seminorm* associated to K. The collection of these seminorms determines a topology on $C(X)$ which makes $C(X)$ into a topological vector space, as in Section 3.10. Of course, if X is compact, then this is the same as the topology on $C(X)$ determined by the supremum norm.

Suppose that X is σ-compact, so that there is a sequence $K_1, K_2, K_3, \ldots$ of compact subsets of X whose union is equal to X. We may as well suppose that $K_l \neq \emptyset$ and $K_l \subseteq K_{l+1}$ for each l, by replacing K_l with the union of $K_1, \ldots, K_l$ if necessary. Because X is locally compact, every compact set in X is contained in the interior of another compact set. Using this, one can modify the K_l's again to get that K_l is contained in the interior of K_{l+1} for each l. In particular, this implies that X is equal to the union of the interiors of the K_l's. If $K \subseteq X$ is compact, then it follows K is contained in the union of the interiors of finitely many K_l's, and hence $K \subseteq K_l$ for some l. This implies that the topology on $C(X)$ determined by the supremum seminorms associated to nonempty compact subsets of X is the same as the topology determined by the supremum seminorms associated to the K_l's under these conditions.

Now let A be a locally compact abelian topological group, and let $\widehat{A} = \mathrm{Hom}(A, \mathbf{T})$ be the dual group of continuous homomorphisms from A into $\mathbf{T}$. Thus $\widehat{A} \subseteq C(A)$, and we consider the topology on $\widehat{A}$ induced by the topology on $C(A)$ determined by the supremum seminorms associated to nonempty compact subsets of A, as in the previous paragraphs. If A is discrete, then the compact subsets of A are the same as the finite subsets of A, and this topology on $\widehat{A}$ is the same as the one induced by the product topology on $\mathbf{T}^A$ as in Section 4.4. If A is compact, then this topology on $C(A)$ is the same as the one determined by the supremum norm, and the induced topology on $\widehat{A}$ is discrete, as in the preceding section. If A is σ-compact, then this topology on $C(A)$ is metrizable, by the remarks in the previous paragraph, and hence the induced topology on $\widehat{A}$ is metrizable as well. If $A = \mathbf{R}$ as a group with respect to addition and equipped with the standard topology, then we have seen in Section 4.2 that every continuous homomorphism from $\mathbf{R}$ into $\mathbf{T}$ is of the form $e_t(x) = \exp(i\,t\,x)$ for some $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Thus $t \mapsto e_t$ defines a group isomorphism from $\mathbf{R}$ onto $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}$, and it is easy to see that this is also a homeomorphism with respect to the standard topology on $\mathbf{R}$ and the topology induced on $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}$ by the one on $C(\mathbf{R})$ as before.

Suppose that A and B are locally compact abelian topological groups, and consider $A \times B$ as a topological group with respect to the product topology and group structure. This is also a locally compact abelian group, and the corresponding dual group is isomorphic in a natural way to $\widehat{A} \times \widehat{B}$. One can also check that the topology on $\widehat{A \times B}$ induced by the usual topology on $C(A \times B)$ is the same as the product topology on $\widehat{A} \times \widehat{B}$ associated to the topologies induced on $\widehat{A}$ and $\widehat{B}$ by those on $C(A)$ and $C(B)$, repsectively. This uses the fact that every compact subset of $A \times B$ is contained in $H \times K$ for some compact sets $H \subseteq A$ and $K \subseteq B$. In particular, the dual of $\mathbf{R}^n$ is isomorphic to itself as a topological group with the standard topology for each positive integer n.

4.7 Equicontinuity

Let A be a locally compact abelian topological group, and let $\widehat{A} = \mathrm{Hom}(A, \mathbf{T})$ be the corresponding dual group, with the topology induced by the usual one on $C(A)$, as in the previous section. A set $E \subseteq \widehat{A}$ is said to be *equicontinuous* if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is an open set $U \subseteq A$ such that $0 \in U$ and

$$|\phi(x) - 1| < \epsilon \tag{4.43}$$

for every $\phi \in E$ and $x \in U$. Although this type of condition might normally be described as "equicontinuity of E at 0", it implies equicontinuity of E at every point in A, because $E \subseteq \widehat{A}$. Similarly, the remarks in this section may be considered as special cases of standard arguments about collections of continuous functions on locally compact Hausdorff topological spaces, with simplifications resulting from the group structure and the restriction to characters on A.

Suppose that $E \subseteq \widehat{A}$ is compact, and let us check that E is equicontinuous. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given, and for each $\phi \in E$, let $U(\phi)$ be an open set in A such that $0 \in U(\phi)$ and

$$\sup\{|\phi(x) - 1| : x \in \overline{U(\phi)}\} < \epsilon. \tag{4.44}$$

Such an open set $U(\phi)$ exists because ϕ is continuousn at 0, and we may also ask $\overline{U(\phi)}$ to be compact, since A is locally compact. The latter condition implies the set $W(\phi)$ of $\psi \in \widehat{A}$ that satisfy

$$\sup\{|\psi(x) - 1| : x \in \overline{U(\phi)}\} < \epsilon \tag{4.45}$$

is an open set in $\widehat{A}$. Of course, $\phi \in W(\phi)$ by construction, so that the collection of $W(\phi)$ with $\phi \in E$ is an open covering of E in $\widehat{A}$. Thus there are finitely many elements $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n$ of E such that $E \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^n W(\phi_j)$, because E is compact in $\widehat{A}$ by hypothesis. If we put $U = \bigcap_{j=1}^n U(\phi_j)$, then it follows that U is an open set in A such that $0 \in U$ and

$$\sup\{|\psi(x) - 1| : x \in \overline{U}\} < \epsilon \tag{4.46}$$

for every $\psi \in E$, as desired.

Conversely, if $E \subseteq \widehat{A}$ is closed and equicontinuous, then E is compact. To see this, let $\mathbf{T}^A$ be the set of all functions on A with values in $\mathbf{T}$, as in Section 4.4. As before, $\mathbf{T}^A$ is a compact commutative topological group with respect to the product topology, which contains the group of all homomorphisms from A into $\mathbf{T}$ as a closed subgroup. In particular, there is a natural inclusion mapping of $\widehat{A}$ into $\mathbf{T}^A$ which is a continuous homomorphism, because finite subsets of A are compact. Suppose that ψ is a homomorphism from A into $\mathbf{T}$ which is in the closure of E in $\mathbf{T}^A$ with respect to the product topology. It is easy to see that ψ is continous at 0, because E is equicontinuous. This implies that ψ is continuous at every point in A, since ψ is a homomorphism, and hence that $\psi \in \widehat{A}$. One can also use the equicontinuity of E to show that ψ is in the closure of E with respect to the topology on $\widehat{A} \subseteq C(A)$. More precisely, this means that ψ can be approximated by elements of E uniformly on compact subsets of A, using the equicontinuity of E to reduce to approximations of ψ by elements of E on finite subsets of A. This implies that E is a closed subset of $\mathbf{T}^A$ with respect to the product topology, and hence that E is compact with respect to the product topology. In order to show that E is compact as a subset of $\widehat{A}$, it suffices to check that the topology induced on E by the one on $\widehat{A} \subseteq C(A)$ is the same as the one induced on E by the product topology on $\mathbf{T}^A$. As before, this uses the equicontinuity of E, to show that $\phi, \phi' \in E$ are uniformly close on a compact set $K \subseteq A$ when ϕ and ϕ' are sufficiently close on a suitable finite subset of K.

4.8 Local compactness of $\widehat{A}$

Let A be a locally compact abelian topological group again. It is easy to see that the dual group $\widehat{A} = \mathrm{Hom}(A, \mathbf{T})$ is also a topological group with respect to the usual topology induced by the one on $C(A)$ as before. Let U be an open set in A such that $0 \in U$ and $\overline{U}$ is compact, and put

$$B_{\overline{U}} = \Big\{\phi \in \widehat{A} : \sup_{x \in \overline{U}} |\phi(x) - 1| < 1\Big\}. \tag{4.47}$$

Thus $B_{\overline{U}}$ is an open set in $\widehat{A}$ that contains the identity element of $\widehat{A}$, which is the character equal to 1 at every point in A. In order to show that $\widehat{A}$ is locally compact too, it suffices to check that

$$\overline{B}_{\overline{U}} = \Big\{\phi \in \widehat{A} : \sup_{x \in \overline{U}} |\phi(x) - 1| \le 1\Big\} \tag{4.48}$$

is compact in $\widehat{A}$.

Of course, $\overline{B}_{\overline{U}}$ is a closed set in $\widehat{A}$, by construction. It remains to show that $\overline{B}_{\overline{U}}$ is equicontinuous, because of the discussion in the previous section. Put $U_0 = U$ for convenience, and let U_1 be an open set in A such that $0 \in U_1$ and

$U_1 + U_1 \subseteq U_0$. Continuing in this way, for each positive integer l there is an open set U_l in A such that $0 \in U_l$ and $U_l + U_l \subseteq U_{l-1}$. If $x \in U_l$, then $2^j\, x \in U_{l-j}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, l$. Of course, $\phi(n\, x) = \phi(x)^n$ for every $x \in A$, $\phi \in \widehat{A}$, and $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, so that

$$|\phi(x)^{2^j} - 1| \le 1 \tag{4.49}$$

for every $x \in U_l$, $\phi \in \overline{B_{\overline{U}}}$, and $j = 0, 1, \ldots, l$. It is easy to see that there is a sequence $\{r_l\}_{l=0}^\infty$ of positive real numbers converging to 0 such that

$$|\phi(x) - 1| \le r_l \tag{4.50}$$

for every $x \in U_l$, $\phi \in \overline{B_{\overline{U}}}$, and $l \ge 0$ under these conditions. This implies that $\overline{B_{\overline{U}}}$ is equicontinuous, as desired.

If A is compact, then we can take $U = A$ in the previous discussion. In this case, $B_{\overline{U}}$ and $\overline{B_{\overline{U}}}$ contain only the trivial character on A, which corresponds to the fact that $\widehat{A}$ is discrete. Similarly, if A is discrete, then we can take $U = \{0\}$, so that $B_{\overline{U}} = \overline{B_{\overline{U}}} = \widehat{A}$, which we have already seen is compact in this situation.

Note that $\overline{B_{\overline{U}}}$ is a compact set in $\widehat{A}$ for any open set $U \subseteq A$ with $0 \in U$, by the same arguments as before. The additional hypothesis that $\overline{U}$ be compact is only needed to get $B_{\overline{U}}$ to be an open set in $\widehat{A}$. If U is an open subgroup in A, then U is also a closed set in A, and

$$\overline{B_{\overline{U}}} = \left\{\phi \in \widehat{A} : \phi(x) = 1 \text{ for every } x \in U\right\}, \tag{4.51}$$

for the usual reasons. This shows that $\overline{B_{\overline{U}}}$ is a compact subgroup of $\widehat{A}$ under these conditions. Similiarly, if K is any nonempty compact subset of A, then

$$B_K = \left\{\phi \in \widehat{A} : \sup_{x \in K} |\phi(x) - 1| < 1\right\} \tag{4.52}$$

is an open subset of $\widehat{A}$ that contains the identity element in $\widehat{A}$. If K is a compact subgroup of A, then it follows that

$$B_K = \{\phi \in \widehat{A} : \phi(x) = 1 \text{ for every } x \in K\}, \tag{4.53}$$

is an open subgroup of $\widehat{A}$. In particular, if $K = U$ is a compact open subgroup of A, then $B_K = \overline{B_{\overline{U}}}$ is a compact open subgroup of $\widehat{A}$.

Chapter 5

The Fourier transform

5.1 Integrable functions

Throughout this chapter, we let A be a locally compact abelian topological group, and we let H be a translation-invariant Haar measure on A. If A is discrete, then it is customary to take H to be counting measure on A, instead of some other multiple of counting measure, and if A is compact, then we can normalize H so that $H(A) = 1$. The *Fourier transform* of a complex-valued integrable function f on A is the function $\widehat{f}$ defined on the dual group $\widehat{A}$ by

$$\widehat{f}(\phi) = \int_A f(x)\,\overline{\phi(x)}\,dH(x) \tag{5.1}$$

for each $\phi \in \widehat{A}$. If A is the unit circle $\mathbf{T}$, for instance, then we have seen that $\widehat{A}$ is isomorphic to the group $\mathbf{Z}$ with respect to addition, and (5.1) reduces to the usual definition (2.1) of the Fourier coefficients of f. As in (2.3), we have that

$$|\widehat{f}(\phi)| \le \int_A |f(x)|\,dH(x) \tag{5.2}$$

for every $\phi \in \widehat{A}$.

Let $T_a(f)$ be the integrable function on A defined for each $a \in A$ by

$$(T_a(f))(x) = f(x+a). \tag{5.3}$$

The Fourier transform of $T_a(f)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{T_a(f)})(\phi) &= \int_A f(x+a)\,\overline{\phi(x)}\,dH(x) \\ &= \int_A f(x)\,\overline{\phi(x-a)}\,dH(x) \\ &= \phi(a) \int_A f(x)\,\overline{\phi(x)}\,dH(x) = \phi(a)\,\widehat{f}(\phi), \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

using the fact that ϕ is a homomorphism from A into $\mathbf{T}$ in the third step.

If E is a Borel set in A, then $-E = \{-x : x \in E\}$ is also a Borel set in A, because $x \mapsto -x$ is a homeomorphism from A onto itself. Let us check that

$$H(-E) = H(E). \tag{5.5}$$

Because $H(-E)$ is a translation-invariant measure on A that satisfies the same conditions as Haar measure, it is equal to a constant $c > 0$ times $H(E)$, and we would like to show that $c = 1$. If U is an open set in A such that $0 \in U$ and $\overline{U}$ is compact, then $V = U \cap (-U)$ has the same properties, and also satisfies $-V = V$. Thus $H(V)$ is positive, finite, and $H(-V) = H(V)$, which implies that $c = 1$, as desired.

Let f be an integrable function on A again, and consider

$$g(x) = \overline{f(-x)}. \tag{5.6}$$

The Fourier transform of g is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{g}(\phi) &= \int_A \overline{f(-x)}\,\overline{\phi(x)}\,dH(x) \\ &= \overline{\int_A f(-x)\,\phi(x)\,dH(x)} \\ &= \overline{\int_A f(x)\,\phi(-x)\,dH(x)} \\ &= \overline{\int_A f(x)\,\overline{\phi(x)}\,dH(x)} = \overline{\widehat{f}(\phi)} \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

for every $\phi \in \widehat{A}$.

5.2 Complex Borel measures

Let μ be a regular complex Borel measure on A. The *Fourier transform* of μ is the function $\widehat{\mu}$ defined on the dual group $\widehat{A}$ by

$$\widehat{\mu}(\phi) = \int_A \overline{\phi(x)}\,d\mu(x) \tag{5.8}$$

for every $\phi \in \widehat{A}$. If

$$\mu(E) = \int_E f(x)\,dH(x) \tag{5.9}$$

for some integrable function f on A and every Borel set $E \subseteq A$, then $\widehat{\mu} = \widehat{f}$. As before, this definition reduces to the previous one for the Fourier coefficients of a Borel measure on the unit circle when $A = \mathbf{T}$. We also have that

$$|\widehat{\mu}(\phi)| \le |\mu|(A) \tag{5.10}$$

for each $\phi \in \widehat{A}$, where $|\mu|$ is the total variation measure on A associated to μ.

Suppose that $K \subseteq A$ is nonempty and compact, and let μ_K be the Borel measure on A defined by

$$\mu_K(E) = \mu(E \cap K) \tag{5.11}$$

for each Borel set $E \subseteq A$. Thus

$$\widehat{\mu_K}(\phi) = \int_K \overline{\phi(x)}\, d\mu(x) \tag{5.12}$$

for each $\phi \in \widehat{\phi}$. If $\phi, \psi \in \widehat{A}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{\mu_K}(\phi) - \widehat{\mu_K}(\psi)| &= \left| \int_K \overline{\phi(x)}\, d\mu(x) - \int_K \overline{\psi(x)}\, d\mu(x) \right| \\ &\le \Big(\sup_{x \in K} |\phi(x) - \psi(x)| \Big)\, |\mu|(K). \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

This implies that $\widehat{\mu_K}(\phi)$ is continuous with respect to the topology induced on $\widehat{A}$ by the usual one on $C(A)$, defined by the supremum seminorms associated to nonempty compact subsets of A. More precisely, $\widehat{\mu_K}(\phi)$ is uniformly continuous on $\widehat{A}$ as a topological group, because (5.13) implies that

$$|\widehat{\mu_K}(\phi) - \widehat{\mu_K}(\psi)| \le \Big(\sup_{x \in K} |\phi(x)\, \psi(x)^{-1} - 1| \Big)\, |\mu|(K) \tag{5.14}$$

for every $\phi, \psi \in \widehat{A}$.

To say that μ is a regular complex Borel measure on A means that $|\mu|$ is a regular Borel measure on A. This implies that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a compact set $K \subseteq A$ such that

$$|\mu|(A \backslash K) < \epsilon. \tag{5.15}$$

It follows that

$$|\widehat{\mu}(\phi) - \widehat{\mu_K}(\phi)| = \left| \int_{A \backslash K} \overline{\phi(x)}\, d\mu(x) \right| \le \|\mu\|(A \backslash K) < \epsilon \tag{5.16}$$

for every $\phi \in \widehat{A}$, so that $\widehat{\mu}$ can be uniformly approximated on $\widehat{A}$ by functions of the form $\widehat{\mu_K}$, where $K \subseteq A$ is compact. This shows that $\widehat{\mu}$ is uniformly continuous on $\widehat{A}$, since it can be approximated uniformly on $\widehat{A}$ by uniformly continuous functions. Of course, if A is compact, then $\widehat{A}$ is discrete, and every function on $\widehat{A}$ is uniformly continuous trivially.

5.3 Vanishing at infinity

Let f be an integrable function on A, and let $T_a(f)$ be defined for $a \in A$ as in (5.3) in Section 5.1. Thus the Fourier transform of $T_a(f)$ is equal to $\phi(a)\, \widehat{f}(\phi)$, as in (5.4), so that $(\phi(a) - 1)\,\widehat{f}(\phi)$ is the Fourier transform of $T_a(f) - f$. In particular,

$$|\phi(a) - 1|\,|\widehat{f}(\phi)| \le \int_A |f(x+a) - f(x)|\,dH(x) \tag{5.17}$$

for every $a \in A$ and $\phi \in \widehat{A}$. If f is a continuous function on A with compact support, then we have seen that f is uniformly continuous on A, and hence

$$\int_A |f(x+a) - f(x)|\,dH(x) \to 0 \tag{5.18}$$

as $a \to 0$ in A. This also holds for any integrable function f on A, since we can approximate f by continuous functions with compact support with respect to the L^1 norm.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given, and let U be an open set in A such that $0 \in U$ and

$$\int_A |f(x+a) - f(x)|\,dH(x) \le \epsilon \tag{5.19}$$

for every $a \in \overline{U}$. Combining this with (5.17), we get that

$$|\phi(a) - 1|\,|\widehat{f}(\phi)| \le \epsilon \tag{5.20}$$

for every $a \in \overline{U}$ and $\phi \in \widehat{A}$. Let $\overline{B}_{\overline{U}}$ be as in (4.48) in Section 4.8, which is a compact subset of $\widehat{A}$. If $\phi \in \widehat{A} \backslash \overline{B}_{\overline{U}}$, then

$$|\phi(a) - 1| > 1 \tag{5.21}$$

for some $a \in \overline{U}$, and (5.20) implies that

$$|\widehat{f}(\phi)| < \epsilon. \tag{5.22}$$

This shows that $\widehat{f}$ vanishes at infinity on $\widehat{A}$. If A is discrete, then $\widehat{A}$ is compact, and every function on A has this property trivially. Of course, if A is discrete, then one can take $U = \{0\}$, and (5.19) is trivial too.

Let us give another proof of this when A is compact, which is like the one for $A = \mathbf{T}$ in (2.11) in Section 2.1. If $f \in L^2(A)$, then

$$\widehat{f}(\phi) = \langle f, \phi \rangle \tag{5.23}$$

for every $\phi \in \widehat{A}$, using the standard integral inner product on $L^2(A)$. Because characters on A are orthonormal in $L^2(A)$, we get that $\widehat{f}(\phi)$ is square-summable on $\widehat{A}$, with

$$\sum_{\phi \in \widehat{A}} |\widehat{f}(\phi)|^2 \le \int_A |f(x)|^2\,dH(x). \tag{5.24}$$

In particular, $\widehat{f}(\phi)$ vanishes at infinity on $\widehat{A}$ when $f \in L^2(A)$. If f is an integrable function on A, then we can get the same conclusion by approximating f by square-integrable functions on A with respect to the L^1 norm, using also (5.2).

5.4 Convolution of integrable functions

Let f, g be nonnegative Borel measurable functions on A, and put

$$(f * g)(x) = \int_A f(x-y)\, g(y)\, dH(y). \tag{5.25}$$

Because of Fubini's theorem, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A (f * g)(x)\, dH(x) &= \int_A \int_A f(x-y)\, g(y) dH(y)\, dH(x) \\ &= \int_A \int_A f(x-y)\, g(y)\, dH(x)\, dH(y) \\ &= \int_A \int_A f(x)\, g(y)\, dH(x)\, dH(y) \\ &= \Big(\int_A f(x)\, dH(x)\Big) \Big(\int_A g(y)\, dH(y)\Big), \end{aligned} \tag{5.26}$$

using also translation-invariance of Haar measure in the third step. In particular, if f and g are integrable on A, then $f * g$ is also integrable on A, and hence finite almost everywhere.

More precisely, $f(x-y)$ is a Borel measurable function on $A \times A$ when f is Borel measurable on A, because $(x, y) \mapsto x - y$ is a continuous mapping from $A \times A$ into A. This implies that $f(x-y)\, g(y)$ is also Borel measurable on $A \times A$. As in Section 1.15, there are some additional technicalities related to the way that the product measure is defined on $A \times A$. If there is a countable base for the topology of A, then every open covering of A can be reduced to a subcovering with only finitely or countable many elements. In this case, the local compactness of A implies that A is σ-compact, and hence that Haar measure on A is σ-finite. This permits one to use the standard construction of the product measure on $A \times A$. One also has a countable base for the topology of $A \times A$, consisting of products of basic open subsets of A, so that open subsets of $A \times A$ can be expressed as countable unions of products of open subsets of A. This implies that open subsets of $A \times A$ are measurable with respect to the standard product measure construction, and hence that Borel subsets of $A \times A$ are measurable with respect to the product measure as well. Alternatively, the product measure can be defined as a Borel measure with suitable regularity properties.

If f and g are integrable complex-valued functions on A, then one would like to define their convolution $f * g$ in the same way. One can first apply the previous discussion to $|f|$ and $|g|$, to get that

$$\int_A |f(x-y)|\, |g(y)|\, dH(y) < \infty \tag{5.27}$$

for almost every $x \in A$ with respect to H. This means that $f(x-y)\, g(y)$ is an integrable function of y for almost every $x \in A$, so that (5.25) is defined for almost every $x \in A$. Of course,

$$|(f * g)(x)| \le \int_A |f(x-y)|\,|g(y)|\,dH(y) \tag{5.28}$$

when $(f * g)(x)$ is defined, and we can integrate this in x and interchange the order of integration as in (5.26) to get that

$$\int_A |(f*g)(x)|\,dH(x) \le \Big(\int_A |f(x)|\,dH(x)\Big)\Big(\int_A |g(y)|\,dH(y)\Big). \tag{5.29}$$

This shows that $f * g$ is integrable on A when f and g are integrable.

It is easy to see that

$$(f*g)(x) = (g*f)(x) \tag{5.30}$$

when (5.27) holds, using the change of variables $y \mapsto x - y$. Similarly, one can check that

$$(f*g)*h = f*(g*h) \tag{5.31}$$

for every $f, g, h \in L^1(A)$. Let us show that

$$(\widehat{f*g})(\phi) = \widehat{f}(\phi)\,\widehat{g}(\phi) \tag{5.32}$$

for every $\phi \in \widehat{A}$ when f and g are integrable functions on A. By the definition of the Fourier transform,

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{f*g})(\phi) &= \int_A (f*g)(x)\,\overline{\phi(x)}\,dH(x) \\ &= \int_A \int_A f(x-y)\,g(y)\,\overline{\phi(x)}\,dH(y)\,dH(x) \\ &= \int_A \int_A f(x-y)\,\overline{\phi(x-y)}\,g(y)\,\overline{\phi(y)}\,dH(y)\,dH(x), \end{aligned} \tag{5.33}$$

using the fact that ϕ is a character on A in the last step. Interchanging the order of integration as in (5.26), and using translation-invariance of the resulting integral in x, we get that this is equal to

$$\Big(\int_A f(x)\,\overline{\phi(x)}\,dH(x)\Big)\Big(\int_A g(y)\,\overline{\phi(y)}\,dH(y)\Big) = \widehat{f}(\phi)\,\widehat{g}(\phi), \tag{5.34}$$

as desired.

5.5 Convolution of other functions

If f and g are continuous complex-valued functions with compact support on A, then $(f*g)(x)$ is defined for every $x \in A$, and indeed it can be defined in terms of the Haar integral as a nonnegative linear functional on $C_{com}(A)$. One can also check that $f * g$ is a continuous function on A with compact support, using the fact that continuous functions on A are uniformly continuous on compact sets. As in Section 1.15, interchanging the order of integration of a continuous function on $A \times A$ with compact support can be seen in a more elementary way, which can be used to derive the same properties of $f * g$ as in the previous section. Of course, integrable functions on A can be approximated by continuous functions on A with compact support with respect to the L^1 norm, which gives another way to look at the convolution of integrable functions on A.

Suppose now that $f \in L^p(A)$ and $g \in L^q(A)$, where $1 < p, q < \infty$ are conjugate exponents, so that $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Hölder's inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (5.35) \qquad & \int_A |f(x-y)|\,|g(y)|\,dH(y) \\ & \le \Big(\int_A |f(x-y)|^p\,dH(y)\Big)^{1/p} \Big(\int_A |g(y)|^q\Big)^{1/q} \\ & = \Big(\int_A |f(y)|^p\,dH(y)\Big)^{1/p} \Big(\int_A |g(y)|^q\,dH(y)\Big)^{1/q}, \end{aligned}$$

for every $x \in A$, using the change of variables $y \mapsto x - y$ in the second step. Thus $(f * g)(x)$ can be defined as in (5.25) for every $x \in A$, and we have that

$$(5.36) \qquad |(f * g)(x)| \le \Big(\int_A |f(y)|^p\,dH(y)\Big)^{1/p} \Big(\int_A |g(z)|^q\,dH(z)\Big)^{1/q}$$

for each $x \in A$. One can also check that $f * g$ is a continuous function on A that vanishes at infinity under these conditions, by approximating f and g by continuous functions with compact support. Note that (5.30) holds in this case as well, for the same reasons as before.

Similarly, if f is an integrable function on A and g is a bounded measurable function on A, then

$$\begin{aligned} (5.37) \int_A |f(x-y)|\,|g(y)|\,dH(y) \quad & \le \quad \Big(\int_A |f(x-y)|\,dH(y)\Big) \Big(\sup_{y \in A} |g(y)|\Big) \\ & = \quad \Big(\int_A |f(y)|\,dH(y)\Big) \Big(\sup_{y \in A} |g(y)|\Big) \end{aligned}$$

for every $x \in A$. Thus $(f * g)(x)$ can be defined as in (5.25) for every $x \in A$ again, and

$$(5.38) \qquad |(f * g)(x)| \le \Big(\int_A |f(y)|\,dH(y)\Big) \Big(\sup_{z \in A} |g(z)|\Big)$$

for each $x \in A$. If f is a continuous function on A with compact support, then it is easy to see that $f * g$ is continuous on A too, using uniform continuity, as usual. If f is an integrable function on A, then one can approximate f by continuous functions with compact support, to get that $f * g$ is continuous on A. Note that $f * g$ is constant when g is constant, so that $f * g$ may not vanish at infinity when A is not compact.

Let f and g be continuous functions on A with compact support again, and suppose that H' is another Borel measure on A with the same properties as Haar measure. If $f * g$ is still the convolution of f and g with respect to H, then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_A (f * g)(x)\, dH'(x) &= \int_A \int_A f(x-y)\, g(y)\, dH(y)\, dH'(x) \\ &= \int_A \int_A f(x-y)\, g(y)\, dH'(x)\, dH(y) \\ &= \int_A \int_A f(x)\, g(y)\, dH'(x)\, dH(y) \\ &= \Big(\int_A f(x)\, dH'(x)\Big) \Big(\int_A g(y)\, dH(y)\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{5.39}$$

as in (5.26). Because $f * g = g * f$, the same argument shows that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_A (f * g)(x)\, dH'(x) &= \int_A (g * f)(x)\, dH'(x) \\ &= \Big(\int_A g(x)\, dH'(x)\Big) \Big(\int_A f(y)\, dH(y)\Big),\end{aligned} \tag{5.40}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big(\int_A f(x)\, dH'(x)\Big) \Big(\int_A g(y)\, dH(y)\Big) \\ &\quad = \Big(\int_A g(x)\, dH'(x)\Big) \Big(\int_A f(y)\, dH(y)\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{5.41}$$

for every $f, g \in C_{com}(A)$. If g is a nonnegative real-valued continuous function on A with compact support such that $g(x) > 0$ for some $x \in A$, then the integrals of g with respect to H and H' are both positive and finite. This implies that the integrals of f with respect to H and H' are the same up to multiplication by a positive constant, as desired.

5.6 Convolution of measures

Let μ and ν be regular complex Borel measures on A, and let $\mu \times \nu$ be the corresponding product regular Borel measure on $A \times A$. As usual, this is all a bit simpler when there is a countable base for the topology of A, so that the standard construction of product measures can be used. Otherwise, one can get $\mu \times \nu$ from the corresponding bounded linear functional on $C_0(A \times A)$, as in Section 1.15. If E is a Borel set in A, then

$$E' = \{(x, y) \in A \times A : x + y \in E\} \tag{5.42}$$

is a Borel set in $A \times A$, because $(x, y) \mapsto x + y$ is a continuous mapping from $A \times A$ into A. It is easy to see that

$$(\mu * \nu)(E) = (\mu \times \nu)(E') \tag{5.43}$$

defines a complex Borel measure on A, known as the convolution of μ and ν.

Similarly, if ϕ is a complex-valued Borel measurable function on A, then $\phi(x+y)$ is Borel measurable on $A\times A$, again because $(x,y)\mapsto x+y$ is continuous. If ϕ is also bounded on A, then it follows that

$$\int_A \phi\,d(\mu*\nu)=\int_A\int_A \phi(x+y)\,d\mu(x)\,d\nu(y). \tag{5.44}$$

More precisely, this is equivalent to (5.43) when ϕ is the indicator function associated to the Borel set E, and otherwise one can reduce to that case by approximating ϕ by simple functions.

Alternatively, if A is compact, then one can use this to define the bounded linear functional on $C(A)$ that corresponds to $\mu*\nu$ in terms of the bounded linear functionals on $C(A)$ corresponding to μ and ν. If A is not compact, then one can still try to define the bounded linear functional on $C_0(A)$ corresponding to $\mu*\nu$ in this way, but $\phi(x+y)$ does not vanish at infinity on $A\times A$ when $\phi(x)\neq 0$ for some $x\in A$, and so it is better to be able to integrate at least bounded continuous functions on $A\times A$. If μ or ν has compact support, then one can extend the corresponding linear functional on $C_0(A)$ to $C(A)$, and avoid this problem. In particular, if μ and ν both have compact support, then one can get a linear functional on $C(A\times A)$ from the linear functionals on $C(A)$ associated to μ and ν. If μ and ν do not have compact support, then there are still relatively simple approximation arguments for extending these linear functionals to bounded continuous functions.

At any rate, an advantage to defining the convolution in terms of bounded linear functionals on $C_0(A)$ is that one automatically gets the regularity of the corresponding Borel measures. Otherwise, one can show directly that $\mu*\nu$ is regular when μ and ν are regular, using the regularity of $\mu\times\nu$ on $A\times A$. More precisely, one can begin by reducing to the case where μ and ν are real-valued and nonnegative, using the Jordan decomposition. There is another trick, which is to first check that $\mu*\nu$ is inner regular, and then get outer regularity by taking complements. Let E be a Borel set in A, and suppose that $H\subseteq A\times A$ is a compact set such that $H\subseteq E'$. Note that

$$K=\{x+y:(x,y)\in H\} \tag{5.45}$$

is a compact set in A, because $(x,y)\mapsto x+y$ is continuous. By construction, $H\subseteq K'\subseteq E'$. If H approximates E' well with respect to $\mu\times\nu$, then K approximates E well with respect to $\mu*\nu$, as desired.

Suppose that f and g are integrable functions on A, and that

$$\mu(E)=\int_E f(x)\,dH(x),\quad \nu(E)=\int_E g(x)\,dH(x) \tag{5.46}$$

for all Borel sets $E\subseteq A$. Let us check that $\mu*\nu$ corresponds to $f*g$ in the same way, so that

$$(\mu*\nu)(E)=\int_E (f*g)(x)\,dH(x) \tag{5.47}$$

for all Borel sets $E \subseteq A$. Equivalently,

$$\int_A \phi \, d(\mu * \nu) = \int_A \phi(x) \, (f * g)(x) \, dH(x) \tag{5.48}$$

for every bounded complex-valued Borel measurable function ϕ on A. To see this, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A \phi(x) \, (f * g)(x) \, dH(x) &= \int_A \int_A \phi(x) \, f(x - y) \, g(y) \, dH(y) \, dH(x) \\ &= \int_A \int_A \phi(x) \, f(x - y) \, g(y) \, dH(x) \, dH(y) \\ &= \int_A \int_A \phi(x + y) \, f(x) \, g(y) \, dH(x) \, dH(y), \end{aligned} \tag{5.49}$$

using the definition of $f * g$ in the first step, Fubini's theorem in the second step, and translation-invariance of Haar measure in the third step. This is the same as the right side of (5.44) in this case, as desired.

It is easy to see that $\mu * \nu = \nu * \mu$ for any complex Borel measures on A, using commutativity of addition. One can also show that convolution is associative, using associativity of addition and Fubini's theorem. More precisely, if E is a Borel set in A, then

$$E'' = \{(x, y, z) \in A \times A \times A : x + y + z \in E\} \tag{5.50}$$

is a Borel set in $A \times A \times A$, and the convolution of three measures can be described in terms of the measure of E'' with respect to the correspondng product measure on $A \times A \times A$. Similarly, if ϕ is a bounded Borel measurable function on A, then the integral of ϕ with respect to the convolution of three measures on A can be expressed in terms of a triple integral of $\phi(x + y + z)$ on $A \times A \times A$. Using Fubini's theorem, one can check that the two different ways of convolving three measures give the same results.

Remember that $|\mu|$ denotes the total variation measure corresponding to a complex Borel measure μ on A, and that $\|\mu\| = |\mu|(A)$ defines a norm on the vector space of complex Borel measures on A. It is easy to see that

$$\|\mu * \nu\| \le \|\mu\| \, \|\nu\| \tag{5.51}$$

for any two complex Borel measures μ and ν on A. More precisely, one can check that

$$|\mu * \nu|(E) \le (|\mu| * |\nu|)(E) \tag{5.52}$$

for every Borel set $E \subseteq A$. One can also look at this in terms of (5.44). This extends the fact that the L^1 norm of the convolution of two integrable functions is less than or equal to the product of the L^1 norms of the two functions, as in (5.29) in Section 5.4.

Let δ_a be the Dirac mass at the point $a \in A$, which is the measure defined on A by putting $\delta_a(E)$ equal to 1 when $a \in E$ and equal to 0 otherwise. Note that $\|\delta_a\| = 1$ for each $a \in A$, and that

$$\delta_0 * \mu = \mu * \delta_0 = \mu \tag{5.53}$$

for every complex Borel measure μ on A. By construction,

$$\widehat{\delta_a}(\phi) = \overline{\phi(a)} \tag{5.54}$$

for every $a \in A$ and $\phi \in \widehat{A}$. If μ and ν are complex Borel measures on A, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{\mu * \nu})(\phi) &= \int_A \overline{\phi}\, d(\mu * \nu) = \int_A \int_A \overline{\phi(x+y)}\, d\mu(x)\, d\nu(y) \\ &= \int_A \int_A \overline{\phi(x)}\, \overline{\phi(y)}\, d\mu(x)\, d\nu(y) \\ &= \Big(\int_A \overline{\phi(x)}\, d\mu(x)\Big) \Big(\int_A \overline{\phi(y)}\, d\nu(y)\Big) = \widehat{\mu}(\phi)\, \widehat{\nu}(\phi) \end{aligned} \tag{5.55}$$

for every $\phi \in \widehat{A}$, extending the analogous fact (5.32) for integrable functions. In particular, this is consistent with (5.53), because $\widehat{\delta_0}(\phi) = 1$ for every $\phi \in \widehat{A}$.

5.7 Functions and measures

If f is an integrable complex-valued function on A and ν is a regular complex Borel measure on A, then we would like to define their convolution as a function on A by

$$(f * \nu)(x) = \int_A f(x-y)\, d\nu(y). \tag{5.56}$$

Let us start with the case where f are ν are real-valued and nonnegative, so that (5.56) makes sense as a nonnegative extended real number. Using Fubini's theorem and translation-invariance of Haar measure, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A (f * \nu)(x)\, dH(x) &= \int_A \int_A f(x-y)\, d\nu(y)\, dH(x) \\ &= \int_A \int_A f(x-y)\, dH(x)\, d\nu(y) \\ &= \int_A \int_A f(x)\, dH(x)\, d\nu(y) \\ &= \Big(\int_A f(x)\, dH(x)\Big)\, \nu(A). \end{aligned} \tag{5.57}$$

This shows that $f * \nu$ is integrable on A with respect to Haar measure when f is a nonnegative integrable function on A and ν is a finite nonnegative regular Borel measure on A.

If f and ν are complex-valued, then we can apply the previous argument to $|f|$ and $|\nu|$, which implies in particular that

$$\int_A |f(x-y)|\, d|\nu|(y) < \infty \tag{5.58}$$

for almost every $x \in A$ with respect to Haar measure. Thus $(f * \nu)(x)$ may be defined as in (5.56) for almost every $x \in A$ with respect to H, and satisfies

$$|(f * \nu)(x)| \le \int_A |f(x - y)| \, d|\nu|(y). \tag{5.59}$$

Integrating this as before, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A |(f * \nu)(x)| \, dH(x) &\le \int_A \int_A |f(x - y)| \, d|\nu|(y) \\ &= \Big(\int_A |f(x)| \, dH(x) \Big) \, |\nu|(A), \end{aligned} \tag{5.60}$$

so that $f * \nu$ is also integrable with respect to H on A. If $f(x) = 0$ for almost every $x \in A$ with respect to H, then it follows that $(f * \nu)(x) = 0$ almost everywhere on A with respect to H too.

Let g be a complex-valued integrable function on A, and consider

$$\nu(E) = \int_E g(y) \, dH(y) \tag{5.61}$$

as a complex Borel measure on A. In this case, $f * \nu$ is the same as $f * g$. Now let ν be a complex regular Borel measure on A again, and consider

$$\mu(E) = \int_E f(x) \, dH(x) \tag{5.62}$$

as another Borel measure on A. We would like to check that

$$(\mu * \nu)(E) = \int_E (f * \nu)(x) \, dH(x) \tag{5.63}$$

for every Borel set $E \subseteq A$, or equivalently that

$$\int_A \phi \, d(\mu * \nu) = \int_A \phi(x) \, (f * \nu)(x) \, dH(x) \tag{5.64}$$

for every bounded complex-valued Borel measurable function ϕ on A. As in (5.49), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A \phi(x) \, (f * \nu)(x) \, dH(x) &= \int_A \int_A \phi(x) \, f(x - y) \, d\nu(y) \, dH(x) \\ &= \int_A \int_A \phi(x) \, f(x - y) \, dH(x) \, d\nu(y) \\ &= \int_A \int_A \phi(x + y) \, f(x) \, dH(x) \, d\nu(y), \end{aligned} \tag{5.65}$$

which is the same as the right side of (5.44) in this case, as desired.

If $f \in C_{com}(A)$, then it is easy to see that $f * \nu$ is also continuous on A, using uniform continuity. If both f and ν have compact support on A, then

$f * \nu$ has compact support as well. Otherwise, the regularity of ν implies that for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is a compact set $K \subseteq A$ such that $|\nu|(A \backslash K) < \epsilon$, and one can use this to check that $\nu * f \in C_0(A)$ when $f \in C_{com}(A)$.

Let f be a nonnegative real-valued Borel measurable function on A again, and let ν be a nonnegative real-valued regular Borel measure on A, so that $(f * \nu)(x)$ is defined as a nonnegative extended real number for each $x \in A$. If $1 < p < \infty$, then we can use Jensen's or Hölder's inequality to get that

$$((f * \nu)(x))^p \le \nu(A)^{p-1} \int_A f(x-y)^p \, d\nu(y) \tag{5.66}$$

for every $x \in A$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A ((f * \nu)(x))^p \, dH(x) &\le \nu(A)^{p-1} \int_A \int_A f(x-y)^p \, d\nu(y) \, dH(x) \\ &= \nu(A)^{p-1} \int_A \int_A f(x-y)^p \, dH(x) \, d\nu(y) \\ &= \nu(A)^p \Big(\int_A f(x)^p \, dH(x) \Big), \end{aligned} \tag{5.67}$$

by Fubini's theorem and translation-invariance of Haar measure. If $f \in L^p(A)$, then it follows that $f * \nu \in L^p(A)$ too, and in particular that $f * \nu(x) < \infty$ for almost every $x \in A$ with respect to Haar measure.

If f is a complex-valued function in $L^p(A)$, $1 < p < \infty$, and if ν is a complex regular Borel measure on A, then we can apply the previous argument to $|f|$ and $|\nu|$, to get that $(|f| * |\nu|)(x) < \infty$ for almost every $x \in A$ with respect to H. Thus $(f * \nu)(x)$ can be defined for almost every $x \in A$ as before, and satisfies (5.59). The analogue of (5.67) for $|f|$ and $|\nu|$ implies that $f * \nu \in L^p(A)$, with

$$\Big(\int_A |(f * \nu)(x)|^p \, dH(x) \Big)^{1/p} \le |\nu|(A) \Big(\int_A |f(x)|^p \, dH(x) \Big)^{1/p}. \tag{5.68}$$

If $f(x) = 0$ for almost every $x \in A$ with respect to H, then it follows that $(f * \nu)(x) = 0$ almost everywhere on A too, as in the $p = 1$ case.

Let f be a bounded complex-valued Borel measurable function on A, and let ν be a complex regular Borel measure on A, as before. Under these conditions, $(f * \nu)(x)$ is defined for every $x \in A$, and satisfies

$$|(f * \nu)(x)| \le \int_A |f(x-y)| \, d|\nu|(y) \le \Big(\sup_{x \in A} |f(x)| \Big) \, |\nu|(A) \tag{5.69}$$

for every $x \in A$. If f is bounded and continuous on A, and if ν has compact support in A, then it is easy to see that $f * \nu$ is also continuous on A, using the uniform continuity of f on compact subsets of A. Actually, if ν has compact support in A, then $f * \nu$ is defined and continuous on A for every continuous function f on A, by the same argument. If f is bounded and continuous on A and ν does not have compact support, then one can still check that $f * \nu$ is continuous on A, using the regularity of ν to approximate the relevant integrals by ones over compact sets, as before. Similarly, if $f \in C_0(A)$, then $f * \nu \in C_0(A)$ as well. If f is bounded and uniformly continuous on A, then $f * \nu$ is uniformly continuous on A too, by the same type of argument.

If $\phi \in \widehat{A}$, then ϕ is bounded and continuous on A in particular, so that $\phi * \nu$ is defined as in the previous paragraph. In this case, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}(\phi * \nu)(x) &= \int_A \phi(x - y)\, d\nu(y) = \int_A \phi(x)\, \phi(-y)\, d\nu(y) \\ &= \phi(x) \int_A \overline{\phi(y)}\, d\nu(y) = \widehat{\nu}(\phi)\, \phi(x)\end{aligned} \tag{5.70}$$

for every $x \in A$.

Chapter 6

Banach algebras

6.1 Definitions and examples

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a vector space over the real or complex numbers, and suppose that there is a bilinear mapping that sends $(x, y) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ to an element $x\,y$ of $\mathcal{A}$. This means that $x \mapsto x\,y$ is a linear mapping from $\mathcal{A}$ into itself for each $y \in \mathcal{A}$, and that $y \mapsto x\,y$ is a linear mapping from $\mathcal{A}$ into itself for each $x \in \mathcal{A}$. If this bilinear mapping satisfies the associative law

$$(x\,y)\,z = x\,(y\,z) \tag{6.1}$$

for every $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be an *algebra* over $\mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$, as appropriate. If we also have that

$$x\,y = y\,x \tag{6.2}$$

for every $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be a *commutative algebra*. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is an algebra over $\mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$ which is equipped with a norm $\|x\|$ such that

$$\|x\,y\| \le \|x\|\,\|y\| \tag{6.3}$$

for every $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. It is easy to see that this implies that multiplication on $\mathcal{A}$ is continuous as a mapping from $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{A}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is complete with respect to the metric associated to the norm, then $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be a *Banach algebra*. In many situations, there may be a nonzero element e of $\mathcal{A}$ which is the multiplicative identity element, which means that

$$e\,x = x\,e = x \tag{6.4}$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Note that e is unique when it exists, and that (6.3) and (6.4) imply that $\|e\| \ge 1$. It is customary to ask that $\|e\| = 1$ under these conditions.

If X is a nonempty topological space, then the space $C_b(X)$ of bounded continuous real or complex-valued functions on X is a commutative Banach algebra with respect to pointwise addition and multiplication of functions, and using the supremum norm. In particular, the constant function $\mathbf{1}_X$ equal to

1 at every point in X is the multiplicative identity element in $C_b(X)$. If X is a locally compact Hausdorff topological space which is not compact, then the space $C_0(X)$ of continuous real or complex-valued functions on X that vanish at infinity is a Banach algebra without a multiplicative identity element. Of course, if X is equipped with the discrete topology, then $C_b(X)$ is the same as $\ell^\infty(X)$, and $C_0(X)$ is the same as $c_0(X)$.

Let V be a vector space over the real or complex numbers, and equipped with a norm $\|v\|_V$. The space $\mathcal{BL}(V) = \mathcal{BL}(V, V)$ of bounded linear mappings from V into itself is an algebra with composition of linear mappings as multiplication. As in (1.112) in Section 1.12, the operator norm $\|T\|_{op}$ on $\mathcal{BL}(V)$ corresponding to the norm $\|v\|_V$ on V satisfies (6.3). If V is complete with respect to $\|v\|_V$, then $\mathcal{BL}(V)$ is complete with respect to the operator norm, as mentioned in Section 1.12, and hence $\mathcal{BL}(V)$ is a Banach algebra. The identity mapping $I = I_V$ on V is the multiplicative identity element in $\mathcal{BL}(V)$, which is nonzero as long as $V \neq \{0\}$, in which case $\|I\|_{op} = 1$.

Let A be a locally compact commutative topological group, with a suitable choice of Haar measure. The space $L^1(A)$ of integrable complex-valued functions on A is a commutative Banach algebra, with convolution as multiplication. Similarly, the space of regular complex Borel measures on A is a commutative Banach algebra with respect to convolution. The Fourier transform defines a homomorphism from $L^1(A)$ with convolution as multiplication into $C_0(\widehat{A})$, where $\widehat{A}$ is the dual group of continuous homomorphisms from A into $\mathbf{T}$, as usual. The Fourier transform also defines a homomorphism from the algebra of complex regular Borel measures on A with respect to convolution into $C_b(\widehat{A})$.

6.2 Invertibility

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a real or complex algebra with a nonzero multiplicative identity element e. An element x of $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be *invertible* if there is an element x^{-1} of $\mathcal{A}$ such that

$$x^{-1}\,x = x\,x^{-1} = e. \tag{6.5}$$

It is easy to see that x^{-1} is unique when it exists, in which case x^{-1} is also invertible, with $(x^{-1})^{-1} = x$. If x and y are invertible elements of $\mathcal{A}$, then their product $x\,y$ is invertible in $\mathcal{A}$ too, and the inverse is given by

$$(x\,y)^{-1} = y^{-1}\,x^{-1}. \tag{6.6}$$

Thus the invertible elements of $\mathcal{A}$ form a group with respect to multiplication.

If $w \in \mathcal{A}$ is invertible and w commutes with $z \in \mathcal{A}$, so that $w\,z = z\,w$, then z commutes with w^{-1} as well. In particular, if x and y are any two commuting elements of $\mathcal{A}$ whose product $x\,y$ is invertible in $\mathcal{A}$, then $x\,y$ commutes with both x and y, and hence $(x\,y)^{-1}$ commutes with both x and y. Under these conditions, it follows that x and y are both invertible in $\mathcal{A}$, with

$$x^{-1} = (x\,y)^{-1}\,y, \quad y^{-1} = (x\,y)^{-1}\,x. \tag{6.7}$$

Note that this does not necessarily work when x and y do not commute.

Suppose now that $\mathcal{A}$ is equipped with a norm $\| \cdot \|$ that satisfies (6.3) for every $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. If $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ are invertible, then

$$x^{-1} - y^{-1} = x^{-1}\, y\, y^{-1} - x^{-1}\, x\, y^{-1} = x^{-1}\,(y - x)\, y^{-1}, \tag{6.8}$$

and hence

$$\|x^{-1} - y^{-1}\| \le \|x^{-1}\|\, \|x - y\|\, \|y^{-1}\|. \tag{6.9}$$

In particular,

$$\|y^{-1}\| \le \|x^{-1}\|\, \|x - y\|\, \|y^{-1}\| + \|x^{-1}\|. \tag{6.10}$$

If $\|x^{-1}\|\, \|x - y\| < 1$, then

$$(1 - \|x^{-1}\|\, \|x - y\|)\, \|y^{-1}\| \le \|x^{-1}\| \tag{6.11}$$

implies that

$$\|y^{-1}\| \le \frac{\|x^{-1}\|}{1 - \|x^{-1}\|\, \|x - y\|}. \tag{6.12}$$

Combining this with (6.9), we get that

$$\|x^{-1} - y^{-1}\| \le \frac{\|x^{-1}\|^2\, \|x - y\|}{1 - \|x^{-1}\|\, \|x - y\|} \tag{6.13}$$

when $\|x^{-1}\|\, \|x - y\| < 1$. If $\|x^{-1}\|\, \|x - y\| \le 1/2$, for instance, then it follows that

$$\|x^{-1} - y^{-1}\| \le 2\, \|x^{-1}\|^2\, \|x - y\|. \tag{6.14}$$

This shows that $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ is a continuous mapping on the set of invertible elements of $\mathcal{A}$ with respect to the metric associated to the norm, so that the group of invertible elements of $\mathcal{A}$ is actually a topological group with respect to the topology induced by this metric.

If a is any element of $\mathcal{A}$ and j is a positive integer, then we let a^j be the product of j a's, as usual, so that $a^1 = a$ and $a^j = a\, a^{j-1}$ when $j \ge 2$. It is customary to put $a^0 = e$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$ when there is a nonzero multiplicative identity element e in $\mathcal{A}$. Because of (6.3), we have that

$$\|a^j\| \le \|a\|^j \tag{6.15}$$

for each $j \ge 1$, and we ask that $\|e\| = 1$, as in the previous section. This implies that $\sum_{j=0}^\infty a^j$ converges absolutely in $\mathcal{A}$ when $\|a\| < 1$, and hence that $\sum_{j=0}^\infty a^j$ converges in $\mathcal{A}$ when $\mathcal{A}$ is a Banach algebra. Note that

$$\Big\| \sum_{j=0}^\infty a^j \Big\| \le \sum_{j=0}^\infty \|a^j\| \le \sum_{j=0}^\infty \|a\|^j = \frac{1}{1 - \|a\|} \tag{6.16}$$

under these conditions. A standard computation shows that

$$(e - a) \Big(\sum_{j=0}^n a^j \Big) = \Big(\sum_{j=0}^n a^j \Big) (e - a) = e - a^{n+1} \tag{6.17}$$

for each nonnegative integer n, and of course $a^{n+1} \to 0$ in $\mathcal{A}$ as $n \to \infty$ when $\|a\| < 1$, by (6.15). Thus

$$(e - a) \Big(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a^j\Big) = \Big(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a^j\Big) (e - a) = e, \tag{6.18}$$

so that $e - a$ is invertible in $\mathcal{A}$ when $\|a\| < 1$ and $\mathcal{A}$ is a Banach algebra, with inverse equal to $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a^j$. If x is an invertible element of $\mathcal{A}$, and $y \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies $\|x^{-1}\| \, \|x - y\| < 1$, then we can apply the previous argument to $a = x^{-1}\,(x - y)$, to get that $e - x^{-1}\,(x - y)$ is invertible in $\mathcal{A}$ when $\mathcal{A}$ is a Banach algebra. This implies that

$$y = x - (x - y) = x\,(e - x^{-1}\,(x - y)) \tag{6.19}$$

is invertible in $\mathcal{A}$ under these conditions, so that the set of invertible elements of $\mathcal{A}$ is an open subset of $\mathcal{A}$ when $\mathcal{A}$ is a Banach algebra.

6.3 Spectrum and spectral radius

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra over the real or complex numbers with a nonzero multiplicative identity element e. The *spectrum* of an element x of $\mathcal{A}$ is defined to be the set $\sigma(x)$ of $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$, as appropriate, such that $x - \lambda\, e$ is not invertible in $\mathcal{A}$. If $|\lambda| > \|x\|$, so that $\|\lambda^{-1}\, x\| = |\lambda|^{-1}\, \|x\| < 1$, then $e - \lambda^{-1}\, x$ is invertible in $\mathcal{A}$, as in the previous section, and hence $\lambda \not\in \sigma(x)$. Equivalently,

$$|\lambda| \le \|x\| \tag{6.20}$$

for every $\lambda \in \sigma(x)$. We also know from the previous section that that the set of invertible elements of $\mathcal{A}$ is an open set, which implies that the set of $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$ such that $\lambda \not\in \sigma(x)$ is an open set, so that $\sigma(x)$ is a closed set in $\mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$, as appropriate.

If $\mathcal{A}$ is a complex Banach algebra, then a famous theorem states that $\sigma(x)$ is nonempty for every $x \in \mathcal{A}$. To see this, suppose for the sake of a contradiction that $x - \lambda\, e$ is invertible for every $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$. The main idea is that $(x - \lambda\, e)^{-1}$ should be holomorphic as a function of λ on the complex plane with values in $\mathcal{A}$. In particular, one can develop the theory of holomorphic functions with values in a complex Banach space, as well as other complex topological vector spaces. To avoid technicalities about holomorphic vector-valued functions, one can use bounded linear functionals on $\mathcal{A}$ to reduce to the case of ordinary complex-valued holomorphic functions. More precisely, one can show that $\phi((x - \lambda\, e)^{-1})$ is a holomorphic complex-valued function of λ on the complex plane for each bounded linear functional ϕ on $\mathcal{A}$. Because

$$(x - \lambda\, e)^{-1} = -\lambda^{-1}\,(e - \lambda^{-1}\, x)^{-1} \to 0 \tag{6.21}$$

as $|\lambda| \to \infty$, we get that $\phi((x - \lambda\, e)^{-1}) \to 0$ as $|\lambda| \to 0$ for every bounded linear functional ϕ on $\mathcal{A}$. This implies that $\phi((x - \lambda\, e)^{-1}) = 0$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$

and bounded linear functional ϕ on $\mathcal{A}$, by well-known theorems in complex analysis. Using the Hahn-Banach theorem, it follows that $(x - \lambda\, e)^{-1} = 0$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, which is a contradiction, since the inverse of any invertible element of $\mathcal{A}$ is nonzero.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a real or complex Banach algebra again. If $a \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies $\|a^l\| < 1$ for some positive integer l, then $e - a$ is invertible in $\mathcal{A}$. One way to see this is to observe that $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a^j$ also converges in $\mathcal{A}$ in this situation, and that the sum is the inverse of $e - a$. Alternatively, one can use the previous argument to get that $e - a^l$ is invertible in $\mathcal{A}$, and then apply (6.17) with $n = l - 1$ to obtain

$$(e - a)\Big(\sum_{j=0}^{l-1} a^j\Big) = e - a^l. \tag{6.22}$$

This implies that $e - a$ is invertible, because $e - a^l$ is invertible and $e - a$ commutes with $\sum_{j=0}^{l-1} a^j$, as in the preceding section.

Let x be any element of $\mathcal{A}$, and put

$$r(x) = \inf_{l \ge 1} \|x^l\|^{1/l}, \tag{6.23}$$

where more precisely the infimum is taken over all positive integers l. If λ is a real or complex number, as appropriate, such that $|\lambda| > r(x)$, then $|\lambda| > \|x^l\|^{1/l}$ for some positive integer l, and hence $|\lambda^l| > \|x^l\|$. Equivalently, $\|(\lambda^{-1}\, x)^l\| < 1$, which implies that $e - \lambda^{-1}\, x$ is invertible in $\mathcal{A}$, as in the previous paragraph. Thus $x - \lambda\, e$ is invertible when $|\lambda| > r(x)$, which means that

$$|\lambda| \le r(x) \tag{6.24}$$

for every $\lambda \in \sigma(x)$.

Another famous theorem states that

$$r(x) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(x)\} \tag{6.25}$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{A}$ when $\mathcal{A}$ is a complex Banach algebra. To see this, let $\rho > 0$ be defined by

$$\sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(x)\} = 1/\rho, \tag{6.26}$$

so that $\rho = +\infty$ when $\sigma(x) = \{0\}$. Thus $x - \lambda\, e$ is invertible in $\mathcal{A}$ when $|\lambda| > 1/\rho$, which is the same as saying that $e - \lambda^{-1}\, x$ is invertible when $|\lambda^{-1}| < \rho$. If we put $\zeta = \lambda^{-1}$, then we get that $e - \zeta\, x$ is invertible when $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}$ satisfies $0 < |\zeta| < \rho$, and of course this holds trivially when $\zeta = 0$.

As before, the main idea is to look at $(e - \zeta\, x)^{-1}$ as a holomorphic $\mathcal{A}$-valued function on the open disk where $|\zeta| < \rho$. In particular, we know from the previous section that $(e - \zeta\, x)^{-1}$ is a continuous function on this open disk, which implies that it is bounded on the compact sub-disk where $|\zeta| \le \rho_1$ for any $\rho_1 < \rho$. We also know that

$$(e - \zeta\, x)^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \zeta^j\, x^j \tag{6.27}$$

when $|\zeta| \, \|x\| < 1$, which is the power series expansion for $(e - \zeta\, x)^{-1}$ as a function of ζ at 0. If this were an ordinary complex-valued holomorphic function, then we could represent the coefficients of this power series expansion in terms of suitable integrals of the function on the circle where $|\zeta| = \rho_1$. This type of result can be extended to vector-valued functions, or one can consider $\phi((e - \zeta\, x)^{-1})$ as a complex-valued holomorphic function of ζ on the open disk where $|\zeta| < \rho$ for each bounded linear functional ϕ on $\mathcal{A}$. Either way, one can use integral expressions for the power series coefficients to show that for each $\rho_1 < \rho$ there is a positive real number $C(\rho_1)$ such that

$$\rho_1^j \, \|x^j\| \le C(\rho_1) \tag{6.28}$$

for every positive integer j. This also uses the Hahn–Banach theorem, if one considers $\phi((e - \zeta\, x)^{-1})$ as a holomorphic function of ζ for each bounded linear functional ϕ on $\mathcal{A}$. Equivalently, we get that

$$\|x^j\|^{1/j} \le \rho_1^{-1} \, C(\rho_1)^{1/j} \tag{6.29}$$

for each $j \ge 1$ and $\rho_1 \in (0, \rho)$, which implies that

$$r(x) \le \rho_1^{-1} \tag{6.30}$$

for each $\rho_1 \in (0, \rho)$, because $C^{1/j} \to 1$ as $j \to \infty$ for every $C > 0$. Taking $\rho_1 \to \rho$, we get that

$$r(x) \le \rho^{-1}, \tag{6.31}$$

which is exactly what we wanted, since the opposite inequality follows from (6.24).

Note that $\|x^n\|^{1/n} \to r(x)$ as $n \to \infty$ for every $x \in \mathcal{A}$. This can be derived from the previous argument in the complex case, but we can also verify it more directly from the definitions in both the real and complex cases. If l is any positive integer, then any other positive integer n can be expressed as $j\, l + k$, where j and k are nonnegative integers and $k < l$. Thus we get that

$$\|x^n\| = \|x^{j\, l + k}\| \le \|x^l\|^j \, \|x\|^k, \tag{6.32}$$

which implies that

$$\|x^n\|^{1/n} \le (\|x^l\|^{1/l})^{jl/n} \, \|x\|^{k/n} = (\|x^l\|^{1/l})^{1-(k/n)} \, \|x\|^{k/n}. \tag{6.33}$$

Because $0 \le k < l$, we get that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \|x^n\|^{1/n} \le \|x^l\|^{1/l} \tag{6.34}$$

for each positive integer l. Now we can take the infimum over l, to obtain that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \|x^n\|^{1/n} \le r(x). \tag{6.35}$$

This implies that $\|x^n\|^{1/n} \to r(x)$ as $n \to \infty$, as desired, since $\|x^n\|^{1/n} \ge r(x)$ for each $n \ge 1$ by definition of $r(x)$.

6.4 Maximal ideals and homomorphisms

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a commutative ideal over the real or complex numbers. As usual, a linear subspace $\mathcal{I}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be an *ideal* if for every $x \in \mathcal{I}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$ we have that $a\,x \in \mathcal{I}$. Thus $\mathcal{A}$ is automatically an ideal in itself, and an ideal $\mathcal{I}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be *proper* if $\mathcal{I} \neq \mathcal{A}$. A proper ideal $\mathcal{I}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be *maximal* if $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{I}$ are the only ideals in $\mathcal{A}$ that contain $\mathcal{I}$.

Suppose for the moment that $\mathcal{A}$ has a nonzero multiplicative identity element e. Note that an ideal $\mathcal{I}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ is proper if and only if $e \not\in \mathcal{I}$. It is well known that every proper ideal in $\mathcal{A}$ is contained in a maximal ideal, as one can show using Zorn's lemma or the Hausdorff maximality principle. The main point is that the union of a chain of ideals in $\mathcal{A}$ is also an ideal in $\mathcal{A}$, and in fact the union of a chain of proper ideals in $\mathcal{A}$ is a proper ideal in $\mathcal{A}$, because it does not contain e as an element.

If $\mathcal{A}$ is a Banach algebra, then the closure of every ideal in $\mathcal{A}$ is an ideal in $\mathcal{A}$ too. If $\mathcal{I}$ is a proper ideal in $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ has a nonzero multiplicative identity element e, then $\mathcal{I}$ does not contain any invertible elements of $\mathcal{A}$. This implies that $e - x \not\in \mathcal{I}$ for every $x \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\|x\| < 1$, since $e - x$ is invertible in $\mathcal{A}$ when $\|x\| < 1$, as in Section 6.2. It follows that $e \not\in \overline{\mathcal{I}}$, so that the closure $\overline{\mathcal{I}}$ of $\mathcal{I}$ is also a proper ideal in $\mathcal{A}$. In particular, maximal ideals are automatically closed in $\mathcal{A}$ under these conditions.

Let ϕ be a homomorphism from $\mathcal{A}$ into the real or complex numbers, as appropriate. This means that ϕ is a linear functional on $\mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\phi(x\,y) = \phi(x)\,\phi(y) \tag{6.36}$$

for every $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. Of course, the kernel of ϕ is an ideal in $\mathcal{A}$. If $\phi(x) \neq 0$ for some $x \in \mathcal{A}$, then ϕ maps $\mathcal{A}$ onto $\mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$, as appropriate, and the kernel of ϕ is a maximal ideal in $\mathcal{A}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ has a nonzero multiplicative identity element e and $\phi(x) \neq 0$ for some $x \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\phi(e) = 1$, and $\phi(y) \neq 0$ for every invertible element y of $\mathcal{A}$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is a Banach algebra with a nonzero multiplicative identity element e, and that ϕ is a homomorphism from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$, as appropriate, which is not identically 0. If $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\|x\| < 1$, then $e - x$ is invertible in $\mathcal{A}$, as in Section 6.2, and hence $\phi(e - x) \neq 0$. Thus $\phi(x) \neq 1$ when $\|x\| < 1$, and one can apply this argument to $t\,x$ for each $t \in \mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$, as appropriate, such that $|t| \leq 1$, to get that $|\phi(x)| < 1$ when $\|x\| < 1$. This implies that

$$|\phi(x)| \leq \|x\| \tag{6.37}$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{A}$.

There is a version of this argument that does not require a multiplicative identity element. If $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\|x\| < 1$, then the series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x^j$ converges in $\mathcal{A}$ when $\mathcal{A}$ is a Banach algebra, for the same reasons as in Section 6.2. Put $y = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x^j$, and observe that

$$x\,y = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x^{j+1} = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} x^j = y - x. \tag{6.38}$$

Let ϕ be a homomorphism from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$, as appropriate, so that

$$\phi(x)\,\phi(y) = \phi(x\,y) = \phi(y) - \phi(x). \tag{6.39}$$

If $\phi(x) = 1$, then this implies that $\phi(y) = \phi(y) - 1$, which is impossible. Thus we get that $\phi(x) \neq 1$ when $\|x\| < 1$. As before, this implies that (6.37) holds for every $x \in \mathcal{A}$.

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a complex Banach algebra with a nonzero multiplicative identity element e. If every nonzero element of $\mathcal{B}$ is invertible, then a famous theorem states that $\mathcal{B}$ is isomorphic to the field of complex numbers. To see this, let $x \in \mathcal{A}$ be given, and let $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ be an element of the spectrum of x, whose existence was discussed in the previous section. Thus $x - \lambda\, e$ is not invertible in $\mathcal{A}$, which implies that $x = \lambda\, e$ in this situation. This shows that every element of $\mathcal{B}$ can be expressed as a complex multiple of e, as desired.

Suppose now that $\mathcal{A}$ is a complex commutative Banach algebra with nonzero multiplicative identity element e, and let $\mathcal{I}$ be a proper closed ideal in $\mathcal{A}$. The quotient $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}$ is a complex commutative algebra with a nonzero multiplicative identity element in a natural way, and it can be shown that $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}$ is also a Banach algebra with respect to the corresponding quotient norm. If $\mathcal{I}$ is a maximal ideal in $\mathcal{A}$, then $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}$ is a field, and hence is isomorphic to the field of complex numbers, as in the previous paragraph. It follows that every maximal ideal in $\mathcal{A}$ is the kernel of a homomorphism from $\mathcal{A}$ onto $\mathbf{C}$ under these conditions.

6.5 Homomorphisms on $L^1(A)$

Let A be a locally compact commutative topological group, and let H be a Haar measure on A. As before, the space $L^1(A)$ of complex-valued integrable functions on A is a commutative Banach algebra with respect to convolution and the L^1 norm. If $\phi \in \widehat{A}$, then the mapping from $f \in L^1(A)$ to $\widehat{f}(\phi)$ is a homomorphism from $L^1(A)$ as a Banach algebra into the field of complex numbers, as in (5.32) in Section 5.4. Note that $\widehat{f}(\phi) \neq 0$ when f is a nonnegative real-valued function supported on a sufficiently small neighborhood of 0 in A with integral equal to 1, because $\phi(0) = 1$ and ϕ is continuous on A. Similarly, if ϕ and ϕ' are distinct elements of $\widehat{A}$, then $\phi(a) \neq \phi'(a)$ for some $a \in A$, and it is easy to see that $\widehat{f}(\phi) \neq \widehat{f}(\phi')$ when f is a nonnegative real-valued function on A supported in a sufficiently small neighborhood of a with integral equal to 1. Thus we get a natural one-to-one mapping from $\widehat{A}$ into the set of nonzero homomorphisms on $L^1(A)$. We would like to show that every nonzero homomorphism on $L^1(A)$ is of the form $f \mapsto \widehat{f}(\phi)$ for some $\phi \in \widehat{A}$, so that this mapping is a surjection.

Suppose for the moment that A is discrete, so that we can take H to be counting measure on A, and $L^1(A)$ is the same as $\ell^1(A)$. For each $a \in A$, let $\delta_a(x)$ be the function on A equal to 1 when $x = a$ and to 0 otherwise. In this situation, $L^1(A)$ has a multiplicative identity element given by δ_0, and

$$\delta_a * \delta_b = \delta_{a+b} \tag{6.40}$$

for every $a, b \in A$. Let Φ be a nonzero homomorphism on $L^1(A)$, and put

$$\phi(a) = \overline{\Phi(a)} \tag{6.41}$$

for each $a \in A$. Thus $\phi(0) = 1$, because $\Phi(\delta_0) = 1$, and

$$\phi(a + b) = \phi(a)\,\phi(b) \tag{6.42}$$

for every $a, b \in A$. This implies that $\phi(a) \neq 0$ for each $a \in A$, by taking $b = -a$, and hence that ϕ is a homomorphism from A into the multiplicative group of nonzero complex numbers. We also have that

$$|\phi(a)| = |\Phi(\delta_a)| \le 1 \tag{6.43}$$

for every $a \in A$, by (6.37) in the previous section, and because δ_a has L^1 norm equal to 1 for each $a \in A$. Applying this to $-a$, we get that $|\phi(a)| = 1$ for every $a \in A$, so that ϕ is a homomorphism from A into the unit circle $\mathbf{T}$. By construction, $\Phi(f) = \widehat{f}(\phi)$ when $f = \delta_a$ for any $a \in A$, and this also holds when f has finite support on A, by linearity. It follows that $\Phi(f) = \widehat{f}(\phi)$ for every $f \in L^1(A)$, because functions with finite support on A are dense in $L^1(A)$, and both Φ and $f \mapsto \widehat{f}(\phi)$ are bounded linear functionals on $L^1(A)$.

Suppose now that A is σ-compact, and let Φ be a nonzero homomorphism from $L^1(A)$ into $\mathbf{C}$. Thus Φ is a bounded linear functional on $L^1(A)$ with dual norm less than or equal to 1, by (6.37) in the previous section. Because A is σ-compact and hence is σ-finite with respect to Haar measure, the Riesz representation theorem implies that there is a bounded complex-valued Borel measurable function ϕ on A with essential supremum norm less than or equal to 1 such that

$$\Phi(f) = \int_A f(x)\,\overline{\phi(x)}\,dH(x) \tag{6.44}$$

for every $f \in L^1(A)$. If $f, g \in L^1(A)$, then

$$\Phi(f * g) = \Phi(f)\,\Phi(g) = \Phi(f) \int_A g(y)\,\overline{\phi(y)}\,dH(y) \tag{6.45}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(f * g) &= \int_A (f * g)(x)\,\overline{\phi(x)}\,dH(x) \\ &= \int_A \int_A f(x - y)\,g(y)\,\overline{\phi(x)}\,dH(y)\,dH(x). \end{aligned} \tag{6.46}$$

Interchanging the order of integration and comparing the result with (6.45), we get that

$$\Phi(f)\,\overline{\phi(y)} = \int_A f(x - y)\,\overline{\phi(x)}\,dH(x) = \Phi(f_y) \tag{6.47}$$

for almost every $y \in A$, where $f_y(x) = f(x - y)$.

By standard arguments, $y \mapsto f_y$ is a continuous mapping from A into $L^1(A)$ for each $f \in L^1(A)$. More precisely, this follows from uniform continuity when f is a continuous function on A with compact support, and otherwise one can get this for an arbitrary integrable function f on A by approximating f by a continuous function with compact support with respect to the L^1 norm. This implies that $\Phi(f_y)$ is a continuous function of y on A, because Φ is a bounded linear functional on $L^1(A)$. If we apply this to $f \in L^1(A)$ such that $\Phi(f) \neq 0$, then we get that $\phi(y)$ is equal to a continuous function of y on A almost everywhere. Thus we may as well suppose that ϕ is continuous on A, and hence that (6.47) holds for every $f \in L^1(A)$ and every $y \in A$.

In particular, $\phi(0) = 1$, since (6.47) holds for $y = 0$ and $f \in L^1(A)$ such that $\Phi(f) \neq 0$. If $y, z \in A$, then we can apply (6.47) to $y + z$ instead of y to get that

$$\Phi(f)\,\overline{\phi(y+z)} = \Phi(f_{y+z}) \tag{6.48}$$

for every $f \in L^1(A)$. Similarly, we can apply (6.47) to f_y instead of f and z in place of y to get that

$$\Phi(f_{y+z}) = \Phi(f_y)\,\overline{\phi(z)} = \Phi(f)\,\overline{\phi(y)}\,\overline{\phi(z)}, \tag{6.49}$$

using (6.47) again in the second step. Combining (6.48) and (6.49), we get that

$$\phi(y+z) = \phi(y)\,\phi(z) \tag{6.50}$$

for every $y, z \in A$, by taking $f \in L^1(A)$ such that $\Phi(f) \neq 0$. As before, this implies that $\phi(y) \neq 0$ for every $y \in A$, by taking $z = -y$.

Remember that $|\phi(y)| \leq 1$ for almost every $y \in A$. By replacing ϕ with a continuous function on A, we get that $|\phi(y)| \leq 1$ for every $y \in A$. This implies that $|\phi(y)| = 1$ for every $y \in A$, because $|\phi(-y)| \leq 1$ and $\phi(-y) = 1/\phi(y)$. Thus ϕ is a continuous homomorphism from A into $\mathbf{T}$ and $\Phi(f) = \widehat{f}(\phi)$ for every $f \in L^1(A)$, as desired.

Essentially the same argument can be used when A is not σ-compact, with some adjustments. The main point is that there is an open subgroup B of A which is σ-compact, as in Section 3.7. Thus A is partitioned into the cosets of B, each of which is σ-finite with respect to Haar measure. If $a + B$ is any coset of B in A, then we can apply the Riesz representation theorem to the restriction of Φ to the subspace of $L^1(A)$ consisting of integrable functions supported on $a + B$. This leads to the same type of representation for Φ as before, since only finitely or countable many cosets of B in A are needed at each step.

6.6 The weak* topology

Let V be a vector space over the real or complex numbers with a norm $\|v\|$, and let V^* be the corresponding dual space of bounded linear functionals on V, with the dual norm $\|\lambda\|_*$. Observe that

$$N_v^*(\lambda) = |\lambda(v)| \tag{6.51}$$

defines a seminorm on V^* for each $v \in V$. The collection of these seminorms N_v^*, $v \in V$, is a nice collection of seminorms on V^* in the sense discussed in Section 3.10, and thus defines a topology on V^*, known as the *weak* topology.* It is easy to see that the closed unit ball

$$B_{V^*} = \{\lambda \in V^* : \|\lambda\|_* \le 1\} \tag{6.52}$$

in V^* is a closed set in V^* with respect to the weak* topology. A famous theorem of Banach and Alaoglu states that B_{V^*} is actually compact with respect to the weak* topology on V^*.

Now let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra over the real or complex numbers, and let $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ be the collection of homomorphisms from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$, as appropriate. Thus $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ is contained in the closed unit ball $B_{\mathcal{A}^*}$ in the dual $\mathcal{A}^*$ of $\mathcal{A}$, as in Section 6.4. It is not difficult to check that $\mathcal{A}$ is a closed set in $\mathcal{A}^*$ with respect to the weak* topology, and hence is compact with respect to the weak* topology, by the Banach–Alaoglu theorem. If $\mathcal{A}$ has a nonzero multiplicative identity element e, then

$$\mathcal{H}_1(\mathcal{A}) = \{\lambda \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}) : \lambda(e) = 1\} \tag{6.53}$$

is the same as the set of nonzero homomorphisms on $\mathcal{A}$. In this case, $\mathcal{H}_1(\mathcal{A})$ is also a closed set in $\mathcal{A}^*$ with respect to the weak* topology, and hence is compact with respect to the weak* topology, by the Banach–Alaoglu theorem again.

Let A be a locally compact commutative topological group, and let H be a Haar measure on A. As usual, the space $L^1(A)$ of complex-valued integrable functions on A is a commutative Banach algebra with respect to convolutions. If $\phi \in \widehat{A}$, then $f \mapsto \widehat{f}(\phi)$ is a nonzero homomorphism on $L^1(A)$, and we saw in the preceding section that every nonzero homomorphism from $L^1(A)$ into the field of complex numbers is of this form. This defines a mapping from $\widehat{A}$ into the set $\mathcal{H}(L^1(A))$ of complex homomorphisms on $L^1(A)$, and one can check that this mapping is continuous with respect to the topology on $\mathcal{H}(L^1(A))$ induced by the weak* topology on the dual of $L^1(A)$ and the topology defined on $\widehat{A}$ previously. This is very easy to do when A is compact, and otherwise the main point is that integrable functions on A can be approximated by functions with compact support with respect to the L^1 norm.

Of course, if A is compact, then the topology defined on $\widehat{A}$ previously is the same as the discrete topology, and so any mapping from $\widehat{A}$ into another topological space is continuous. In this case, one can show that the topology on $\widehat{A}$ correspomding to the weak* topology on the dual of $L^1(A)$ is also discrete. This uses the fact that $\widehat{A} \subseteq L^1(A)$ when A is compact, and the orthogonality of distinct elements of $\widehat{A}$ with respect to the standard L^2 inner product on A. If A is discrete, then $\widehat{A}$ is compact, and $L^1(A)$ has a multiplicative identity element, as in the preceding section. It is easy to see that the topology defined previously on $\widehat{A}$ is the same as the one induced by the weak* topology on $L^1(A)$ in this situation as well, basically because compact subsets of A are finite when A is discrete.

6.7 Comparing topologies on $\widehat{A}$

Let A be a locally compact commutative topological group with a Haar measure H, as before. If $\phi \in \widehat{A}$, then $f \mapsto \widehat{f}(\phi)$ is a bounded linear functional on $L^1(A)$, which leads to a natural mapping from $\widehat{A}$ into the dual of $L^1(A)$. It is easy to see that this mapping is continuous with respect to the topology on $\widehat{A}$ defined previously and the weak* topology on $L^1(A)^*$, as mentioned in the preceding section. In fact, this mapping is a homeomorphism onto its image, so that the usual topology on $\widehat{A}$ is the same as the one induced by the weak* topology on $L^1(A)^*$.

To see this, let $\phi \in \widehat{A}$ be given, as well as a nonempty compact set $K \subseteq A$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Thus

$$\left\{\psi \in \widehat{A} : \sup_{x \in K} |\psi(x) - \phi(x)| < \epsilon\right\} \tag{6.54}$$

is a basic open set in $\widehat{A}$ containing ϕ. In order to show that this contains a relative neighborhood of ϕ in $\widehat{A}$ with respect to the topology induced by the weak* topology on $L^1(A)^*$, it suffices to check that there are finitely many integrable functions $f_1, \ldots, f_l$ on A and finitely many positive real numbers $r_1, \ldots, r_n$ such that

$$\{\psi \in \widehat{A} : |\widehat{f_j}(\psi) - \widehat{f_j}(\phi)| < r_j \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, l\} \tag{6.55}$$

is contained in (6.54).

Let f be a nonnegative continuous real-valued function on A with compact support and $\int_A f\,dH = 1$. If the support of f is contained in a sufficiently small neighborhood of 0 in A, then

$$|\widehat{f}(\phi) - 1| = \left|\int_A f(x)\,\overline{\phi(x)}\,dH(x) - 1\right| < \frac{1}{4}, \tag{6.56}$$

because ϕ is continuous on A and $\phi(0) = 1$. In particular,

$$|\widehat{f}(\phi)| > \frac{3}{4}. \tag{6.57}$$

Let us now fix such a function f for the rest of the argument.

Let η be a positive real number less than or equal to $1/4$. As one of the conditions on $\psi \in \widehat{A}$ as in (6.55), we ask that

$$|\widehat{f}(\psi) - \widehat{f}(\phi)| < \eta \le \frac{1}{4}. \tag{6.58}$$

In particular, this implies that

$$|\widehat{f}(\psi)| > \frac{1}{2}. \tag{6.59}$$

As in Section 5.1, put $(T_a(f))(x) = f(x + a)$ for each $a, x \in A$, so that

$$(\widehat{T_a(f)})(\psi) = \psi(a)\,\widehat{f}(\psi) \tag{6.60}$$

for every $\psi \in \widehat{A}$. If $\widehat{f}(\psi)$ is sufficiently close to $\widehat{f}(\phi)$, and $(\widehat{T_a(f)})(\psi)$ is sufficiently close to $(\widehat{T_a(f)}(\phi)$, then it follows that $\psi(a)$ is as close as one wants to $\phi(a)$.

Of course,

$$\begin{aligned} (6.61)\ |(\widehat{T_a(f)})(\psi) - (\widehat{T_b(f)})(\psi)| &\le \int_A |(T_a(f))(x) - (T_b(f))(x)|\, dH(x) \\ &= \int_A |f(x+a) - f(x+b)|\, dH(x) \\ &= \int_A |f(x+a-b) - f(x)|\, dH(x) \end{aligned}$$

for every $\psi \in \widehat{A}$ and $a, b \in A$. Because f is a continuous function with compact support on A, and hence f is uniformly continuous, the right side of (6.61) tends to 0 as $a - b \to 0$ in A. If $(\widehat{T_a(f)})(\psi)$ is sufficiently close to $(\widehat{T_a(f)})(\phi)$, then it follows that $(\widehat{T_b(f)})(\psi)$ is as close to $(\widehat{T_b(f)})(\phi)$ as one wants when $a - b$ is sufficiently close to 0 in A.

If $\widehat{f}(\psi)$ is sufficiently close to $\widehat{f}(\phi)$, and if $(\widehat{T_a(f)})(\psi)$ is sufficiently close to $(\widehat{T_a(f)})(\phi)$, then we get that $\psi(b)$ is as close as we want to $\phi(b)$ when $a - b$ is sufficiently close to 0 in A. Note that this works uniformly over $\psi \in \widehat{A}$, in the sense that how close $a - b$ should be to 0 in A does not depend on ψ. If $K \subseteq A$ is compact, then K can be covered by neighborhoods of finitely many of its elements to which the previous statement applies. In order to ensure that ψ is uniformly close to ϕ on K, it is enough to know that $\widehat{f}(\psi)$ is sufficiently close to $\widehat{f}(\phi)$, and that $(\widehat{T_a(f)})(\psi)$ is sufficiently close to $(\widehat{T_a(f)})(\phi)$ for finitely many $a \in K$, as desired.

Chapter 7

Operators on L^2

7.1 Convolution operators

Let A be a locally compact commutative topological group with a Haar measure H. If θ is a complex-valued integrable function on A with respect to H, then

$$C_\theta(f) = \theta * f \tag{7.1}$$

defines a bounded linear operator on the space $L^2(A)$ of complex-valued square-integrable functions on A with respect to H, with operator norm less than or equal to the L^1 norm of θ. This follows from the discussion in Chapter 5.7, applied to the measure $\nu(E) = \int_E \theta \, dH$. Using Fubini's theorem, it is easy to see that the adjoint of C_θ with respect to the standard inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_A f(x) \, \overline{g(x)} \, dH(x) \tag{7.2}$$

on $L^2(A)$ is given by $C_\theta^* = C_{\widetilde{\theta}}$, where $\widetilde{\theta}(x) = \overline{\theta(-x)}$.

Suppose now that A is compact, and let us normalize H as usual so that $H(A) = 1$. If θ is a continuous function on A, then one can check that C_θ is a compact linear operator on $L^2(A)$, in the sense that C_θ can be approximated in the operator norm by finite rank operators on $L^2(A)$. This uses the fact that θ is uniformly continuous on A, and it also holds for integrable functions θ on A, since they can be approximated by continuous functions with respect to the L^1 norm. Note that C_θ is normal as well, which means that it commutes with its adjoint, since its adjoint is a convolution operator too, as in the previous paragraph.

It follows from well-known results about compact normal linear operators on Hilbert spaces that for each $\theta \in L^1(A)$, there is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(A)$ consisting of eigenvectors for C_θ. Put

$$E(\lambda, \theta) = \{f \in L^2(A) : C_\theta(f) = \lambda \, f\} \tag{7.3}$$

for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ and $\theta \in L^1(A)$, which is the eigenspace in $L^2(A)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ and the linear operator C_θ. It is also well known that $E(\lambda, \theta)$ is a finite-dimensional linear subspace of $L^2(A)$ when $\lambda \neq 0$, because C_θ is a compact linear operator on $L^2(A)$.

Let T_a be the usual translation operator on $L^2(A)$ for each $a \in A$, so that $(T_a(f))(x) = f(x + a)$ for every $f \in L^2(A)$. Thus T_a is a unitary operator on $L^2(A)$ for each $a \in A$, and it is easy to see that T_a commutes with C_θ for every $\theta \in L^1(A)$. This implies that T_a maps $E(\lambda, \theta)$ into itself for each $a \in A$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, and $\theta \in L^1(A)$, and in fact that

$$T_a(E(\lambda, \theta)) = E(\lambda, \theta) \tag{7.4}$$

for every $a \in A$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, and $\theta \in L^1(A)$, since the previous statement also applies to $T_{-a} = T_a^{-1}$. Suppose that $\lambda \neq 0$, so that $E(\lambda, \theta)$ is a finite-dimensional linear subspace of $L^2(A)$, as before. The restriction of T_a to $E(\lambda, \theta)$ is a unitary operator on $E(\lambda, \theta)$ with respect to the restriction of the standard inner product on $L^2(A)$ to $E(\lambda, \theta)$ for each $a \in A$, and so for each $a \in A$ there is an orthonormal basis for $E(\lambda, \theta)$ consisting of eigenvectors for T_a. Because A is commutative, and hence T_a commutes with T_b for every $a, b \in A$, it is well known that there is an orthonormal basis for $E(\lambda, \theta)$ whose elements are eigenvectors for T_a for each $a \in A$ simultaneously. This uses the fact that the eigenspaces for T_a are invariant under T_b for every $a, b \in A$, since T_a and T_b commute with each other.

7.2 Simultaneous eigenfunctions

Let A be a compact commutative topological group, as in the previous section. Also let $f \in L^2(A)$ be an eigenvector for T_a for each $a \in A$ with L^2 norm equal to 1, so that for each $a \in A$ there is a complex number $\mu(a)$ such that

$$T_a(f) = \mu(a)\, f. \tag{7.5}$$

In particular,

$$\mu(a) = \langle T_a(f), f \rangle \tag{7.6}$$

for each $a \in A$, which implies that $\mu(a)$ is a continuous function of $a \in A$, since $a \mapsto T_a(f)$ is continuous as a mapping from A into $L^2(A)$. As usual, the continuity of $a \mapsto T_a(f)$ follows from the uniform continuity of f when f is a continuous function on A, and otherwise one can approximate any $f \in L^2(A)$ by continuous functions on A with respect to the L^2 norm.

Note that $\mu(0) = 0$ and $|\mu(a)| = 1$ for each $a \in A$, because T_0 is the identity operator and T_a is unitary for every $a \in A$. Similarly,

$$\mu(a + b) = \mu(a)\, \mu(b) \tag{7.7}$$

for all $a, b \in A$, because $T_a \circ T_b = T_{a+b}$. This shows that μ defines a continuous character on A.

If we put $g(x) = \mu(x)^{-1} f(x)$, then it is easy to see that $T_a(g) = g$ for every $a \in A$. More precisely, this means that $T_a(g)$ is equal to g as elements of $L^2(A)$, so that for each $a \in A$ we have that $g(x+a) = g(x)$ for almost every $x \in A$ with respect to Haar measure. If f is continuous on A, then g is continuous on A too, and it follows that $g(x+a) = g(x)$ for every $a, x \in A$, which is to say that g is constant on A. Otherwise, one can use Fubini's theorem to argue that for almost every $x \in A$, $g(x+a) = g(x)$ for almost every $a \in A$. As soon as this holds for any $x \in A$, we get that g is equal to a constant almost everywhere on A. Alternatively, the condition that for each $a \in A$ $g(x+a) = g(x)$ for almost every $x \in A$ implies that the convolution of g with any integrable function on A is constant on A. This also implies that g is equal to a constant almost everywhere on A, by approximating g with respect to the L^2 norm by convolutions of g with functions supported in small neighborhoods of 0 in A.

Thus f is equal to a constant multiple of μ almost everywhere on A. If $\theta \in L^1(A)$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, $\lambda \neq 0$, and $E(\lambda, \theta) \neq \{0\}$, then it follows that there is an orthonormal basis for $E(\lambda, \theta)$ consisting of characters on A. Conversely, if $\phi \in \widehat{A}$, then $C_\theta(\phi) = \theta * \phi = \widehat{\theta}(\phi)\, \phi$, as in (5.70) in Section 5.7.

If $\theta \in L^1(A)$ satisfies $\widehat{\theta}(\phi) = 0$ for every $\phi \in \widehat{A}$, then the previous discussion implies that $\lambda = 0$ is the only eigenvalue of C_θ, and hence that $C_\theta(f) = 0$ for every $f \in L^2(A)$. This implies that $\theta = 0$ under these conditions, since there are continuous functions f on A supported in a small neighborhood of 0 such that $\theta * f$ approximates θ with respect to the L^1 norm.

In particular, if $\theta \in L^2(A)$ is orthogonal to every $\phi \in \widehat{A}$, then $\theta = 0$. This implies that finite linear combinations of characters on A are dense in $L^2(A)$.

Suppose now that f is a continuous function on A, and let us show that f can be approximated uniformly on A by finite linear combinations of characters on A. As usual, f can be approximated uniformly on A by functions of the form $f * h$, where h is a continuous function supported in a small neighborhood of 0 in A. If $g \in L^2(A)$ approximates f with respect to the L^2 norm, then $g * h$ approximates $f * h$ uniformly on A for any fixed $h \in L^2(A)$, because the supremum norm of the convolution of two functions in $L^2(A)$ is less than or equal to the product of their L^2 norms. If g is a finite linear combination of characters on A, then $g * h$ is also a finite linear combination of characters on A, since the convolution of an integrable function on A with a character is equal to a constant multiple of that character, as before. Because f can be approximated by finite linear combinations of characters with respect to the L^2 norm, $f * h$ can be approximated uniformly by finite linear combinations of characters for each $h \in L^2(A)$, and hence f can be approximated uniformly by finite linear combinations of characters, as desired.

If μ is a complex regular Borel measure on A such that $\widehat{\mu}(\phi) = 0$ for every $\phi \in \widehat{A}$, then

$$\int_A f \, d\mu = 0 \tag{7.8}$$

for every $f \in \widehat{A}$, and hence for every function f on A which can be expressed as a finite linear combination of characters on A. This implies that (7.8) holds for every continuous function f on A, because every continuous function on A can be approximated by finite linear combinations of characters uniformly on A, as in the previous paragraph. It follows that $\mu = 0$ on A when $\widehat{\mu}(\phi) = 0$ for every $\phi \in \widehat{A}$.

7.3 Non-compact groups

Let A be a locally compact commutative topological group again. Even if A is not compact, $\theta \mapsto C_\theta$ defines a bounded linear mapping from $L^1(A)$ into the space $\mathcal{BL}(L^2(A))$ of bounded linear operators on $L^2(A)$. This mapping is also a homomorphism from $L^1(A)$ as an algebra with respect to convolution into $\mathcal{BL}(L^2(A))$ as an alegbra with respect to composition of operators. Thus the collection $\mathcal{A}_0$ of bounded linear operators on $L^2(A)$ of the form C_θ for some $\theta \in L^1(A)$ is a commutative subalgebra of $\mathcal{BL}(L^2(A))$. More precisely, $\mathcal{A}_0$ is a $*$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{BL}(L^2(A))$, because $C_\theta^* \in \mathcal{A}_0$ for every $\theta \in L^1(A)$, by the remarks at the beginning of the chapter.

Let $\mathcal{A}_1$ be the linear span of $\mathcal{A}_0$ and the identity operator I on $L^2(A)$ in $\mathcal{BL}(L^2(A))$, which is also a $*$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{BL}(L^2(A))$. Note that $I \in \mathcal{A}_0$ when A is discrete, in which case $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}_0$. The closure $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{A}_1$ in $\mathcal{BL}(L^2(A))$ is a commutative Banach subalgebra of $\mathcal{BL}(L^2(A))$ that contains the identity operator and is a $*$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{BL}(L^2(A))$, which implies that $\mathcal{A}$ is a C^* *algebra*. It follows from well-known results that for each $T \in \mathcal{A}$ there is a complex homomorphism Φ on $\mathcal{A}$ such that $|\Phi(T)|$ is equal to the operator norm $\|T\|_{op}$ of T on $L^2(A)$.

If Φ is any complex homomorphism on $\mathcal{A}$, then $\theta \mapsto \Phi(C_\theta)$ defines a complex homomorphism on $L^1(A)$. As in Section 6.5, if $\Phi(C_\theta) \neq 0$ for some $\theta \in L^1(A)$, then there is a continuous homomorphism $\phi : A \to \mathbf{T}$ such that

$$\Phi(C_\theta) = \int_A \theta(x)\, \overline{\phi(x)}\, dH(x) = \widehat{\theta}(\phi) \tag{7.9}$$

for every $\theta \in L^1(A)$, where H denotes Haar measure on A, as usual. If $\theta \in L^1(A)$ is not equal to 0 almost everywhere on A with respect to H, then $C_\theta(f) \neq 0$ for some $f \in L^2(A)$, as in the previous section. This implies that there is a complex homomorphism Φ on $\widehat{A}$ such that $\Phi(C_\theta) \neq 0$, as in the preceding paragraph, and hence that $\widehat{\theta}(\phi) \neq 0$ for some $\phi \in \widehat{A}$.

If ν is a nonzero complex regular Borel measure on A, then it is easy to see that there is a continuous complex-valued function f on A with compact support such that $(f * \nu)(0) \neq 0$. It follows that $(f * \nu)(x) \neq 0$ for every x in a neighborhood of 0 in A, because $f * \nu$ is continuous on A, as in Section 5.7. The convolution $f * \nu$ may also be considered as an integrable function on A with respect to Haar measure H, which is thus not equal to 0 almost everywhere on A with respect to H. The discussion in the preceding paragraph implies that the Fourier transform of $f * \nu$ is not identically zero on $\widehat{A}$, and in particular that the Fourier transform of ν is not identically zero on $\widehat{A}$.

7.4 Some connections with duality

Let A be a locally compact commutative topological group. If $a, b \in A$ and $a \ne b$, then there is a $\phi \in \widehat{A}$ such that $\phi(a) \ne \phi(b)$. More precisely, if A is discrete, then this follows from the discussion at the end Section 4.4. If A is compact, then this can be derived from the fact that every continuous complex-valued function on A can be approximated uniformly by a finite linear combination of characters, as in Section 7.2. Of course, this also uses the fact that continuous functions on A separate points, by Urysohn's lemma. If A is any locally compact commutative topological group, then consider the Borel measure on A given by

$$\mu_{a,b} = \delta_a - \delta_b, \tag{7.10}$$

where δ_a, δ_b are the Dirac masses at a, b, respectively. Thus

$$\widehat{\mu_{a,b}}(\phi) = \overline{\phi(a)} - \overline{\phi(b)} \tag{7.11}$$

for every $\phi \in \widehat{A}$, and $\mu_{a,b} \not\equiv 0$ when $a \ne b$. The discussion in the previous section implies that $\widehat{\mu_{a,b}}(\phi) \ne 0$ for some $\phi \in \widehat{A}$, which means exactly that $\phi(a) \ne \phi(b)$, as desired.

Suppose for the moment that A is a closed subgroup of a compact abelian topological group B, with the induced topology, so that A is also compact. As in the previous paragraph, characters on B separate points. This implies that every character on A is the restriction to A of a character on B, by the discussion in Section 4.5. Equivalently, every character on A can be extended to a character on B. Similarly, if B is a discrete abelian group and A is any subgroup of B, then every character on A can be extended to a character on B, as in Section 4.4. In fact, if A is a closed subgroup of a locally compact abelian topological group B, then it is well known that every character on A can be extended to B, but we shall not get into this here. As another variant of this, suppose that A is an open subgroup of an abelian topological group B, which implies that A is a closed subgroup of B. If ϕ is a character on A, then ϕ can be extended to a homomorphism from B into $\mathbf{T}$, as in the case where B is discrete. Under these conditions, any extension of ϕ to a homomorphism from B into $\mathbf{T}$ is continuous, because ϕ is continuous on A and A is an open subgroup of B.

Suppose now that A and B are locally compact commutative topological groups, and that h is a continuous homomorphism from A into B. This leads to a natural *dual homomorphism* $\widehat{h}$ from $\widehat{B}$ into $\widehat{A}$, which sends a character ϕ on B to $\phi \circ h$ as a character on A. It is easy to see that $\widehat{h}$ is continuous as a mapping from $\widehat{B}$ into $\widehat{A}$ with respect to their corresponding dual topologies, basically because h maps compact subsets of A to compact subsets of B. If A is a subgroup of B with the induced topology, and if h is the obvious inclusion mapping that sends each element of A to itself as an element of B, then $\widehat{h}$ sends each character ϕ on B to its restriction to A, as in the previous paragraph.

Alternatively, let A be a locally compact commutative topological group, and let C be a closed subgroup of A. Thus the quotient $B = A/C$ is also a locally compact abelian topological group with respect to the quotient topology, and the natural quotient mapping $h : A \to B$ is a continuous homomorphism. If ϕ is a character on B, then $\widehat{h}(\phi) = \phi \circ h$ is a character on A whose kernel contains C as a subgroup. Conversely, if ψ is a homomorphism from A into $\mathbf{T}$ whose kernel contains C as a subgroup, then ψ can be expressed as $\phi \circ h$ for a unique homomorphism ϕ from B into $\mathbf{T}$. If ψ is also continuous as a mapping from A into $\mathbf{T}$, then one check that ϕ is continuous as a mapping from B into $\mathbf{T}$, because of the way that the quotient topology is defined.

It follows that $\widehat{h}$ defines a one-to-one mapping from $\widehat{B}$ onto the subgroup of $\widehat{A}$ consisting of characters on A whose kernels contain C. It is easy to see that this is a closed subgroup of $\widehat{A}$ with respect to the usual dual topology on $\widehat{A}$. We have already seen that $\widehat{h}$ is continuous, and in this case one can check that $\widehat{h}$ is actually a homeomorphism from $\widehat{B}$ onto its image in $\widehat{A}$ in this case, with respect to the topology induced by the one one $\widehat{A}$. To see this, the main point is that every compact set in B is contained in the image of a compact set in A under h. More precisely, every point in B is contained in an open set that is contained in the image of a compact set in A, because A is locally compact and h is an open mapping. Hence every compact set $K \subseteq B$ is contained in the union of finitely many open sets, each of which is contained in the image of a compact set in A under h, so that K is contained in the image of a compact set E in A under h. Of course, $h^{-1}(K)$ is a closed set in A, and so $E \cap h^{-1}(K)$ is a compact set in A which is mapped onto K by h.

Remember that the dual group $\widehat{A}$ associated to a locally compact abelian topological group A is also a locally compact abelian topological group in a natural way, as in Section 4.8. Thus the *second dual* $\widehat{\widehat{A}}$ may be defined as usual as the group of continuous homomorphisms from $\widehat{A}$ into the multiplicative group $\mathbf{T}$ of complex numbers with modulus 1. This is a locally compact commutative topological group as well, using the topology determined on $\widehat{\widehat{A}}$ by the one on $\widehat{A}$ in the same way that the topology on $\widehat{A}$ was defined in terms of the topology on A. In particular, if A is discrete, then $\widehat{A}$ is compact, and hence $\widehat{\widehat{A}}$ is discrete too. Similarly, if A is compact, then $\widehat{A}$ is discrete, which implies that $\widehat{\widehat{A}}$ is compact.

Let $a \in A$ be given, and put

$$\Psi_a(\phi) = \phi(a) \tag{7.12}$$

for each $\phi \in \widehat{A}$, as in (4.41) in Section 4.5. This defines a homomorphism from $\widehat{A}$ into $\mathbf{T}$, since the group structure on $\widehat{A}$ is defined by pointwise multiplication. It is easy to see that Ψ_a is also continuous with respect to the usual topology on $\widehat{A}$, basically because $\{a\}$ is a nonempty compact subset of A. Thus $\Psi_a \in \widehat{\widehat{A}}$ for each $a \in A$, and in fact the mapping $a \mapsto \Psi_a$ is a homomorphism from A into $\widehat{\widehat{A}}$, because

$$\Psi_{a+b}(\phi) = \phi(a+b) = \phi(a)\,\phi(b) = \Psi_a(\phi)\,\Psi_b(\phi) \tag{7.13}$$

for every $a, b \in A$. The fact that elements of $\widehat{A}$ separate points on A says exactly that $a \mapsto \Psi_a$ is a one-to-one mapping from A into $\widehat{\widehat{A}}$.

If A is discrete, then every element of $\widehat{\widehat{A}}$ is of the form Ψ_a for some $a \in A$, as discussed at the end of Section 4.5. If A is any locally compact abelian topological group, then one can check that $a \mapsto \Psi_a$ is continuous as a mapping from A into $\widehat{\widehat{A}}$. Of course, this is trivial when A is discrete, and otherwise one can se the fact that compact subsets of $\widehat{A}$ are equicontinuous on A, as in Section 4.7. A well-known theorem states that $a \mapsto \Psi_a$ is a homeomorphism from A onto $\widehat{\widehat{A}}$ for any locally compact abelian topological group A. If A is compact, then this can be see as follows.

Let $\widehat{\widehat{A}}_1$ be the subgroup of $\widehat{\widehat{A}}$ consisting of characters on $\widehat{A}$ of the form Ψ_a for some $a \in A$. If A is compact, then $\widehat{\widehat{A}}_1$ is a compact subgroup of $\widehat{\widehat{A}}$, because $a \mapsto \Psi_a$ is a continuous mapping from A into $\widehat{\widehat{A}}$. In this case, it follows immediately that $a \mapsto \Psi_a$ is a homeomorphism from A onto $\widehat{\widehat{A}}_1$ with respect to the topology induced by the one on $\widehat{\widehat{A}}$, because a one-to-one continuous mapping from a compact topological space onto a Hausdorff space is always a homeomorphism. Thus it remains to show that $\widehat{\widehat{A}}$ is equal to $\widehat{\widehat{A}}_1$ when A is compact.

Note that $\widehat{\widehat{A}}_1$ is a closed subgroup of $\widehat{\widehat{A}}$ when A is compact, because $\widehat{\widehat{A}}_1$ is compact, as before. Thus $\widehat{\widehat{A}}/\widehat{\widehat{A}}_1$ is also a compact abelian topological group under these conditions. If $\widehat{\widehat{A}}_1 \neq \widehat{\widehat{A}}$, then $\widehat{\widehat{A}}/\widehat{\widehat{A}}_1$ is nontrivial, and hence there is a nontrivial continuous character on $\widehat{\widehat{A}}/\widehat{\widehat{A}}_1$, by the remarks at the beginning of the section. Equivalently, this means that there is a nontrivial continuous character on $\widehat{\widehat{A}}$ whose kernel contains $\widehat{\widehat{A}}_1$. However, $\widehat{\widehat{A}}$ is the dual of the discrete abelian group $\widehat{A}$, which implies that every continuous character on $\widehat{\widehat{A}}$ is given by evaluation at an element of $\widehat{A}$, as before. If $\phi \in \widehat{A}$ has the property that $\Psi_a(\phi) = \phi(a) = 1$ for every $a \in A$, then ϕ is the identity element of $\widehat{A}$, and hence the character on $\widehat{\widehat{A}}$ corresponding to evaluation at ϕ is trivial as well. This implies that there is no nontrivial continuous character on $\widehat{\widehat{A}}$ whose kernel contains $\widehat{\widehat{A}}_1$, so that $\widehat{\widehat{A}}_1 = \widehat{\widehat{A}}$, as desired.

Chapter 8

p-Adic numbers

8.1 The p-adic absolute value

Let p be a prime number, and let x be a rational number. The *p-adic absolute value* $|x|_p$ of x is defined as follows. If $x = 0$, then $|x|_p = 0$. Otherwise, if $x = p^j\, a/b$ for some integers a, b, and j, where $a, b \neq 0$ and a, b are not divisible by p, then we put

$$|x|_p = p^{-j}. \tag{8.1}$$

It is easy to see that

$$|x + y|_p \le \max(|x|_p, |y|_p) \tag{8.2}$$

for every $x, y \in \mathbf{Q}$. More precisely, if $x = p^j\, a/b$ and $y = p^j\, c/d$, where a, b, c, d, and j are integers, and $b, d \neq 0$ are not divisible by p, then

$$x + y = p^j \Big(\frac{a\,d + b\,c}{b\,d}\Big) \tag{8.3}$$

where $b\,d \neq 0$ is not divisible by p. This implies that $|x + y|_p \le p^{-j}$ under these conditions, and (8.2) follows by taking j as large as possible.

Like the ordinary absolute value on $\mathbf{R}$, we also have that

$$|x\,y|_p = |x|_p\,|y|_p \tag{8.4}$$

for every $x, y \in \mathbf{Q}$. The *p-adic metric* on $\mathbf{Q}$ is defined by

$$d_p(x, y) = |x - y|_p. \tag{8.5}$$

This is actually an ultrametric on $\mathbf{Q}$, because

$$d_p(x, z) \le \max(d_p(x, y), d_p(y, z)) \tag{8.6}$$

for every $x, y, z \in \mathbf{Q}$, by (8.2).

Note that $|x|_p \le 1$ for every integer x. More precisely, a rational number x satisfies $|x|_p \le 1$ if and only if x can be expressed as a/b, where a and b are integers, $b \ne 0$, and b is not divisible by p. Because p is prime, the ring $\mathbf{Z}/p\,\mathbf{Z}$ of integers modulo p is a field, and hence there is an integer c such that $b\,c \equiv 1$ modulo p. Thus $x = (a\,c)/(b\,c) = (a\,c)/(1 - p\,l)$ for some integer l. As usual,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} (p\,l)^j = \frac{1 - (p\,l)^{n+1}}{1 - p\,l} \tag{8.7}$$

for each integer $n \ge 0$. In this context, $(p\,l)^{n+1} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ with respect to the p-adic metric, so that

$$a\,c \sum_{j=0}^{n} (p\,l)^n \to \frac{a\,c}{1 - p\,l} = x \tag{8.8}$$

as $n \to \infty$ with respect to the p-adic metric. This shows that every $x \in \mathbf{Q}$ with $|x|_p \le 1$ can be approximated by integers with respect to the p-adic metric.

8.2 Completion

In the same way that the real numbers $\mathbf{R}$ can be obtained by completing the rational numbers $\mathbf{Q}$ as a metric space with respect to the standard metric, the *p-adic numbers* $\mathbf{Q}_p$ are obtained by completing $\mathbf{Q}$ with respect to the p-adic metric. Thus $\mathbf{Q}_p$ can be defined initially as a complete metric space, with an isometric embedding of $\mathbf{Q}$ with the p-adic metric onto a dense subset of $\mathbf{Q}_p$. Let us identify $\mathbf{Q}$ with this dense subset of $\mathbf{Q}_p$, and let $d_p(x, y)$ be the metric on $\mathbf{Q}_p$, which extends the p-adic metric on $\mathbf{Q}$. As usual, $\mathbf{Q}_p$ can be described in terms of Cauchy sequences in $\mathbf{Q}$ with respect to the p-adic metric, and $\mathbf{Q}_p$ is uniquely determined up to isometric equivalence.

Using the description of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ in terms of Cauchy sequences in $\mathbf{Q}$ with respect to the p-adic metric or the other properties of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ mentioned in the previous paragraph, one can check that the p-adic metric is an ultrametric on $\mathbf{Q}_p$, and that $d_p(x, y)$ is an integer power of p for each $x, y \in \mathbf{Q}_p$ with $x \ne y$. Addition and multiplication can also be extended to $\mathbf{Q}_p$, so that $\mathbf{Q}_p$ becomes a commutative ring, with 0 and 1 as its additive and multiplicative identity elements. The p-adic absolute value $|x|_p$ extends to $x \in \mathbf{Q}_p$ as well, and is an integer power of p when $x \ne 0$. It is easy to see that (8.2), (8.4), and (8.5) continue to hold on $\mathbf{Q}_p$. If $x \in \mathbf{Q}_p$ and $x \ne 0$, then one can show that x has a multiplicative inverse in $\mathbf{Q}_p$, so that $\mathbf{Q}_p$ is a field.

If $(M, d(x, y))$ is any metric space and $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence of elements of M, then

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} d(x_j, x_{j+1}) = 0. \tag{8.9}$$

Although this condition is not normally sufficient to imply that $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in M, this does work when $d(x, y)$ is an ultrametric on M. In particular, if $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of elements of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ that converges to 0 with respect to the p-adic metric, then the partial sums $s_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j$ satisfy

$$s_{n+1} - s_n = a_{n+1} \to 0 \tag{8.10}$$

in $\mathbf{Q}_p$ as $n \to \infty$, and hence $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbf{Q}_p$. Thus an infinite series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j$ of p-adic numbers converges when $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ converges to 0 in $\mathbf{Q}_p$, because $\mathbf{Q}_p$ is complete.

It is easy to see that addition and multiplication define continuous mappings from $\mathbf{Q}_p \times \mathbf{Q}_p$ into $\mathbf{Q}_p$, using the product topology on $\mathbf{Q}_p \times \mathbf{Q}_p$ corresponding to the topology on $\mathbf{Q}_p$ determined by the p-adic metric. In particular, $\mathbf{Q}_p$ is a commutative topological group with respect to addition, since the additive inverse of $x \in \mathbf{Q}_p$ is the same as multiplying x by -1.

8.3 p-Adic integers

The set $\mathbf{Z}_p$ of *p-adic integers* may be defined by

$$\mathbf{Z}_p = \{x \in \mathbf{Q}_p : |x|_p \le 1\}. \tag{8.11}$$

If $x, y \in \mathbf{Z}_p$, then $x + y \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ and $x\,y \in \mathbf{Z}_p$, by (8.2) and (8.4), so that $\mathbf{Z}_p$ is a sub-ring of $\mathbf{Q}_p$. Note that $\mathbf{Z} \subseteq \mathbf{Z}_p$, and that $\mathbf{Z}_p$ is a closed set in $\mathbf{Q}_p$ with respect to the p-adic metric. Equivalently, $\mathbf{Z}_p$ is the same as the closure of $\mathbf{Z}$ in $\mathbf{Q}_p$. To see this, let $x \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ be given, so that $|x|_p \le 1$. Because $\mathbf{Q}$ is dense in $\mathbf{Q}_p$, for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $y \in \mathbf{Q}$ such that $|x - y|_p < \epsilon$. If $\epsilon \le 1$, then we also get that $|y|_p \le 1$, by the ultrametric version of the triangle inequality. As in Section 8.1, y can be approximated by integers with respect to the p-adic metric, and hence x can be too, as desired.

If j is an integer, then put

$$p^j\,\mathbf{Z} = \{p^j\,x : x \in \mathbf{Z}\}, \tag{8.12}$$

and similarly

$$p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p = \{p^j\,x : x \in \mathbf{Z}_p\}. \tag{8.13}$$

Thus $p^j\,\mathbf{Z}$ and $p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ are sub-rings of $\mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{Q}_p$, respectively, and they are ideals in $\mathbf{Z}$ and $\mathbf{Z}_p$, respectively, when $j \ge 0$. Equivalently,

$$p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p = \{y \in \mathbf{Q}_p : |y|_p \le p^{-j}\} \tag{8.14}$$

for each j. If $j \ge 0$, then the quotients $\mathbf{Z}/p^j\,\mathbf{Z}$ and $\mathbf{Z}_p/p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ can be defined as commutative rings in the usual way. Because $\mathbf{Z} \subseteq \mathbf{Z}_p$ and $p^j\,\mathbf{Z} \subseteq \mathbf{Z}_p$, there is a natural homomorphism from $\mathbf{Z}/p^j\,\mathbf{Z}$ into $\mathbf{Z}_p/p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p$. It is easy to see that this homomorphism is a surjection, using the fact that $\mathbf{Z}$ is dense in $\mathbf{Z}_p$. One can also check that this homomorphism is injective, and hence an isomorphism. The main point is that if $x \in \mathbf{Z} \cap (p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p)$, then $|x|_p \le p^{-j}$, and hence $x \in p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p$.

Let $(M, d(x,y))$ be any metric space for the moment. Remember that a set $E \subseteq M$ is said to be *bounded* if E is contained in a ball in M, and that E is said to be *totally bounded* in M if for each $\epsilon > 0$, E is contained in the union of finitely many balls of radius ϵ in M. It is easy to see that totally bounded subsets of M are bounded, and that compact subsets of M are totally bounded. If M is complete, then it is well known that $E \subseteq M$ is compact if and only if E is closed and totally bounded. Note that bounded subsets of the real line with the standard metric are totally bounded.

If j is a positive integer, then the natural isomorphism between $\mathbf{Z}/p^j\,\mathbf{Z}$ and $\mathbf{Z}_p/p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ implies that the latter has exactly p^j elements. This implies that $\mathbf{Z}_p$ is the union of p^j translates of $p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p$, and hence that $\mathbf{Z}_p$ is the union of p^j closed balls of radius p^{-j} in $\mathbf{Q}_p$ for each positive integer j. Thus $\mathbf{Z}_p$ is a compact set in $\mathbf{Q}_p$ with respect to the p-adic metric, because $\mathbf{Q}_p$ is complete and $\mathbf{Z}_p$ is closed and totally bounded. It follows that $\mathbf{Q}_p$ is locally compact, since translated of $\mathbf{Z}_p$ in $\mathbf{Q}_p$ are compact as well. Observe that $p^k\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ is compact in $\mathbf{Q}_p$ for each integer k too, which implies that closed and bounded subsets of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ are compact.

8.4 Haar measure on $\mathbf{Q}_p$

Let H be Haar measure on $\mathbf{Q}_p$, normalized so that $H(\mathbf{Z}_p) = 1$. If j is a positive integer, the it follows from the discusion in the previous section that $\mathbf{Z}_p$ is the union of p^j pairwise-disjoint translates of $p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p$. This implies that

$$H(p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p) = p^{-j}. \tag{8.15}$$

This equation also holds when $j \le 0$, in which case $p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ is the union of p^{-j} pairwise-disjoint translates of $\mathbf{Z}_p$. Note that $p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ is an open and closed subgroup of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ for each integer j, and that the restriction of H to $p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ is a Haar measure on $p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ as a compact topological group.

With this normalization, Haar measure on $\mathbf{Q}_p$ is the same as 1-dimensional Hausdorff measure on $\mathbf{Q}_p$. This can be used as a way to construct Haar measure on $\mathbf{Q}_p$, which is analogous to the standard construction of Lebesgue measure on the real line. Of course, any Hausdorff measure on $\mathbf{Q}_p$ is automatically invariant under translations, because the p-adic metric on $\mathbf{Q}_p$ is invariant under translations by construction. However, 1-dimensional Hausdorff measure on $\mathbf{Q}_p$ has the additional property that it is finite for bounded subsets of $\mathbf{Q}_p$, and it is positive for nonempty open subsets of $\mathbf{Q}_p$.

Alternatively, if f is a continuous real or complex-valued function on $\mathbf{Z}_p$, then one can define a Haar integral of f, as follows. We have seen that $\mathbf{Z}_p$ is the union of p^j pairwise-disjoint translates of $p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ for each positive integer j. Using this, one can define Riemann sums by averaging f over p^j points in $\mathbf{Z}_p$, with exactly one point in each of the translates of $p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ in $\mathbf{Z}_p$. Because f is continuous on $\mathbf{Z}_p$ and $\mathbf{Z}_p$ is compact, f is also uniformly continuous on $\mathbf{Z}_p$, and one can use this to show that any sequence of Riemann sums defined as before is a Cauchy sequence as $j \to \infty$. Thus the Riemann sums converge in $\mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$, as appropriate, as $j \to \infty$, and the Haar integral of f is defined to be the limit of the Riemann sums. One can also use the uniform continuity of f on $\mathbf{Z}_p$ to show that the limit of the Riemann sums does not depend on which points in the translates of $p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ in $\mathbf{Z}_p$ were used in the definition of the Riemann sums. One could instead consider upper and lower Riemann sums for continuous real-valued functions on $\mathbf{Z}_p$, and show that they give the same result in the limit, using uniform continuity again. At any rate, this defines a Haar integral as a nonnegative linear functional on continuous functions on $\mathbf{Z}_p$, and one can check that it is invariant under translations on $\mathbf{Z}_p$. As usual, one can then get Haar measure on $\mathbf{Z}_p$ as a regular Borel measure from the Haar integral using the Riesz representation theorem.

Similarly, one can define a Haar integral for continuous functions on $p^l\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ for each integer l. If $l < 0$, then $p^l\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ is the union of p^{-l} pairwise-disjoint translates of $\mathbf{Z}_p$, and the Haar integral of a function on $p^l\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ is the same as the sum of p^{-l} Haar integrals over $\mathbf{Z}_p$. If f is a continuous real or complex-valued function on $\mathbf{Q}_p$ with compact support, then the support of f is contained in $p^l\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ for some integer l, and the Haar integral of f as a function on $\mathbf{Q}_p$ can be defined as the Haar integral of f over $p^l\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ for such an l. One can check that this defines a nonnegative linear functional on the space of continuous functions on $\mathbf{Q}_p$ with compact support which is invariant under translations, so that Haar measure on $\mathbf{Q}_p$ corresponds to this Haar integral as in the Riesz representation theorem.

8.5 $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$

Consider $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$ as a commutative group with respect to addition, which is the quotient of the commutative group $\mathbf{Q}_p$ of p-adic numbers with respect to addition by the subgroup $\mathbf{Z}_p$ of p-adic integers. This is analogous to $\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$ as the quotient of the commutative group $\mathbf{Q}$ of rational numbers with respect to addition by the subgroup $\mathbf{Z}$ of integers. Because of the natural inclusions of $\mathbf{Q}$ in $\mathbf{Q}_p$ and $\mathbf{Z}$ in $\mathbf{Z}_p$, there is a natural homomorphism from $\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$ into $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$. It is easy to see that this homomorphism is a surjection, because $\mathbf{Q}$ is dense in $\mathbf{Q}_p$, and $\mathbf{Z}_p$ is an open subgroup of $\mathbf{Q}_p$. However, this homomorphism is not an injection, because $\mathbf{Z}$ is a proper subgroup of $\mathbf{Q}\cap\mathbf{Z}_p$.

To deal with this, let B_p be the subgroup of $\mathbf{Q}$ consisting of rational numbers of the form $p^{-j}\,a$, where a and j are integers. It is easy to see that B_p is a subgroup of $\mathbf{Q}$ with respect to addition, and that B_p is dense in $\mathbf{Q}_p$, because $\mathbf{Z}$ is dense in $\mathbf{Z}_p$. Of course, $\mathbf{Z}\subseteq B_p$, and so the quotient $B_p/\mathbf{Z}$ makes sense and is a subgroup of $\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$. As before, the restriction of the natural homomorphism from $\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$ onto $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$ also maps $B_p/\mathbf{Z}$ onto $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$, because B_p is dense in $\mathbf{Q}_p$ and $\mathbf{Z}_p$ is an open subgroup of $\mathbf{Q}_p$. This actually defines an isomorphism from $B_p/\mathbf{Z}$ onto $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$, because $B_p\cap\mathbf{Z}_p=\mathbf{Z}$.

Let $B_{p,j}=p^{-j}\,\mathbf{Z}$ be the subgroup of B_p consisting of rational numbers of the form $p^{-j}\,a$ with $a\in\mathbf{Z}$ for each nonnegative integer j, so that $B_{p,j}\subseteq B_{p,j+1}$ for each j, and $B_p=\bigcup_{j=0}^\infty B_{p,j}$. In particular, $\mathbf{Z}\subseteq B_{p,j}$ for each $j\ge 0$, so that the quotient $B_{p,j}/\mathbf{Z}$ makes sense and is a subgroup of $B_p/\mathbf{Z}$. Put $C_p=B_p/\mathbf{Z}$ and $C_{p,j}=B_{p,j}/\mathbf{Z}$ for each nonnegative integer j, and observe that $C_{p,j}\subseteq C_{p,j+1}$ for each $j\ge 0$ and that $C_p=\bigcup_{j=0}^\infty C_{p,j}$. By construction, $C_{p,j}=p^{-j}\,\mathbf{Z}/\mathbf{Z}$ is isomorphic as a group to $\mathbf{Z}/p^j\,\mathbf{Z}$ for each $j\ge 0$. Similarly, $C_{p,j+1}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}/p^{j+1}\,\mathbf{Z}$, and $C_{p,j} \subseteq C_{p,j+1}$ corresponds to the subgroup $p\,\mathbf{Z}/p^{j+1}\,\mathbf{Z}$ of $\mathbf{Z}/p^{j+1}\,\mathbf{Z}$ under this isomorphism.

Of course, we can also think of B_p as a subgroup of the group $\mathbf{R}$ of real numbers with respect to addition, and we can think of $C_p = B_p/\mathbf{Z}$ as a subgroup of $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$, which is isomorphic to the multiplicative group $\mathbf{T}$ of complex numbers with modulus equal to 1. If j is a positive integer, then $C_{p,j}$ corresponds to the subgroup of $\mathbf{T}$ consisting of complex numbers z such that z to the power p^j is equal to 1. Thus C_p corresponds to the subgroup of $\mathbf{T}$ consisting of complex numbers z such that z to the power p^j is equal to 1 for some nonnegative integer j. Note that the quotient topology on $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$ is the same as the discrete topology, because $\mathbf{Z}_p$ is an open subgroup of $\mathbf{Q}_p$. By constrast, the subgroup of $\mathbf{T}$ corresponding to C_p is dense in $\mathbf{T}$ with respect to the standard topology.

8.6 Coherent sequences

As in Section 8.3, there is a natural ring isomorphism between $\mathbf{Z}_p/p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ and $\mathbf{Z}/p^j\,\mathbf{Z}$ for each positive integer j. Because $p^{j+1}\,\mathbf{Z} \subseteq p^j\,\mathbf{Z}$ and $p^{j+1}\,\mathbf{Z}_p \subseteq p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p$, there are also natural ring homomorphisms from $\mathbf{Z}/p^{j+1}\,\mathbf{Z}$ onto $\mathbf{Z}/p^j\,\mathbf{Z}$ and from $\mathbf{Z}_p/p^{j+1}\,\mathbf{Z}$ onto $\mathbf{Z}_p/p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ for each j. It is easy to see that these homomorphisms correspond to each other under the isomorphisms mentioned earlier.

Consider the Cartesian product $X = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty}(\mathbf{Z}/p^j\,\mathbf{Z})$, so that the elements of X are sequences $x = \{x_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ with $x_j \in \mathbf{Z}/p^j\,\mathbf{Z}$ for each j. Thus X is a commutative ring with respect to coordinatewise addition and multiplication, and a compact Hausdorff topological space with respect to the product topology associated to the discrete topology on the finite set $\mathbf{Z}/p^j\,\mathbf{Z}$ for each j. Of course, the ring operations on X are continuous with respect to this topology, so that X is a topological ring.

Let us say that $x \in X$ is a *coherent sequence* if x_j is the image of x_{j+1} under the natural homomorphism from $\mathbf{Z}/p^{j+1}\,\mathbf{Z}$ onto $\mathbf{Z}/p^j\,\mathbf{Z}$ for each $j \ge 1$. Let Y be the set of coherent sequences in X, which is a closed sub-ring of X.

Let q_j be the natural quotient ring homomorphism from $\mathbf{Z}$ onto $\mathbf{Z}/p^j\,\mathbf{Z}$ for each positive integer j. Put

$$q(a) = \{q_j(a)\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \tag{8.16}$$

for each $a \in \mathbf{Z}$, so that q defines a ring homomorphism from $\mathbf{Z}$ into X. It is easy to see that q is one-to-one, and that $q(a)$ is a coherent sequence in X for each $a \in \mathbf{Z}$. Thus q maps $\mathbf{Z}$ into Y, and one can check that $q(\mathbf{Z})$ is dense in Y, which is to say that the closure of $q(\mathbf{Z})$ in X with respect to the product topology is equal to Y. More precisely, for each $y \in Y$ and positive integer l, there is an $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $q_l(a) = y_l$, which implies that $q_j(a) = y_j$ when $j \le l$, by coherence.

Similarly, there is a natural ring homomorphism q' from $\mathbf{Z}_p$ onto $\mathbf{Z}/p^j\,\mathbf{Z}$ for each positive integer j, which is the composition of the quotient homomorphism from $\mathbf{Z}_p$ onto $\mathbf{Z}_p/p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ with the usual isomorphism from $\mathbf{Z}_p/p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ onto $\mathbf{Z}/p^j\,\mathbf{Z}$.

Thus

$$q'(a) = \{q'_j(a)\}_{j=1}^\infty \tag{8.17}$$

defines a ring homomorphism from $\mathbf{Z}_p$ into X. Note that the restriction of q'_j to $\mathbf{Z}$ is equal to q_j for each j, and hence the restriction of q' to $\mathbf{Z}$ is equal to q. As before, it is easy to see that q' is a one-to-one mapping from $\mathbf{Z}_p$ into the sub-ring Y of coherent sequences in X.

Because $p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ is an open subset of $\mathbf{Z}_p$ for each j, q'_j is continuous as a mapping from $\mathbf{Z}_p$ into $\mathbf{Z}/p^j\,\mathbf{Z}$ equipped with the discrete topology. This implies that q' is continuous as a mapping from $\mathbf{Z}_p$ into X with the corresponding product topology. In particular, q' maps $\mathbf{Z}_p$ onto a compact subset of X, since $\mathbf{Z}_p$ is compact. Thus $q'(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ is a closed set in X, which is contained in Y and contains $q(\mathbf{Z})$. It follows that $q'(\mathbf{Z}_p) = Y$, because Y is the closure of $q(\mathbf{Z})$ in X, although this can also be verified more directly from the definitions.

It is easy to see that q' is actually a homeomorphism from $\mathbf{Z}_p$ onto Y with respect to the topology on Y induced by the product topology on X, because the open sub-rings $p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ of $\mathbf{Z}_p$ form a local base for the topology of $\mathbf{Z}_p$ at 0. Thus q' defines an isomorphism from $\mathbf{Z}_p$ onto Y as topological rings, and as topological groups with respect to addition in particular.

8.7 Characters

If ϕ is a continuous group homomorphism from $\mathbf{Z}_p$ as a topological group with respect to addition into the multiplicative group $\mathbf{T}$ of complex numbers with modulus 1, then there is a positive integer j such that ϕ maps $p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ into the set of $z \in \mathbf{T}$ such that $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$. As usual, this implies that ϕ maps $p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ onto the trivial subgroup $\{1\}$ of $\mathbf{T}$, since this is the only subgroup of $\mathbf{T}$ contained in the set of $z \in \mathbf{T}$ with $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$. It follows that ϕ can be expressed as the composition of the natural quotient homomorphism from $\mathbf{Z}_p$ onto $\mathbf{Z}_p/p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ with a group homomorphism from $\mathbf{Z}_p/p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ into $\mathbf{T}$. Conversely, the composition of the natural quotient homomorphism from $\mathbf{Z}_p$ onto $\mathbf{Z}_p/p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ with a group homomorphism from $\mathbf{Z}_p/p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ into $\mathbf{T}$ is a continuous group homomorphism from $\mathbf{Z}_p$ into $\mathbf{T}$, because $p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ is an open subgroup of $\mathbf{Z}_p$ for each positive integer j.

As in Section 8.3, $\mathbf{Z}_p/p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ is isomorphic as a ring and hence as a group with respect to addition to $\mathbf{Z}/p^j\,\mathbf{Z}$ for every positive integer j. The group of homomorphisms from $\mathbf{Z}/p^j\,\mathbf{Z}$ into $\mathbf{T}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}/p^j\,\mathbf{Z}$, as discussed at the beginning of Section 4.3. Similarly, one can check that the group of continuous group homomorphisms from $\mathbf{Z}_p$ into $\mathbf{T}$ is isomorphic to the group C_p from Section 8.5. More precisely, for each positive integer j, the subgroup $C_{p,j}$ of C_p described in Section 8.5 corresponds exactly to the group of group homomorphisms from $\mathbf{Z}_p$ into $\mathbf{T}$ that send $p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ to the trivial subgroup $\{1\}$ of $\mathbf{T}$. Equivalently, $C_{p,j}$ can be identified with the group of group homomorphisms from $\mathbf{Z}/p^j\,\mathbf{Z}$ into $\mathbf{T}$ for each j.

Alternatively, let $B(x,y)$ be the image of the product $x\,y$ of $x, y \in \mathbf{Q}_p$ in $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$, so that $\phi_y(x) = B(x,y)$ defines a group homomorphism from $\mathbf{Z}_p$ into

$\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$ for each $y \in \mathbf{Q}_p$. As in Section 8.5, $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$ is isomorphic to $C_p = B_p/\mathbf{Z}$ as a commutative group with respect to addition, which may be considered as a subgroup of $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$, which is isomorphic to the multiplicative group $\mathbf{T}$ of $z \in \mathbf{C}$ with $|z| = 1$. Thus ϕ_y leads to a group homomorphism from $\mathbf{Z}_p$ into $\mathbf{T}$, which is easily seen to be continuous, because $B(x, y) = 0$ when $x\,y \in \mathbf{Z}_p$. In particular, $B(x, y) = 0$ when both x and y are in $\mathbf{Z}_p$, which implies that ϕ_y really only depends on the image of y in $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$. This leads to a homomorphism from $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p \cong C_p$ into the dual group of $\mathbf{Z}_p$, and one can check that this homomorphism is actually an isomporhism.

Similarly, for each $x \in \mathbf{Z}_p$, $y \mapsto B(x, y)$ is a group homomorphism from $\mathbf{Q}_p$ into $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$ with respect to addition, whose kernel contains $\mathbf{Z}_p$. Thus we can identify this homomorphism with a homomorphism from $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$ into itself, which leads to a homomorphism from $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$ into $\mathbf{T}$ as before. One can check directly that every homomorphism from $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$ into $\mathbf{T}$ can be represented by an element of $\mathbf{Z}_p$ in this way, using the isomorphism between $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$ and C_p discussed in Section 8.5. More precisely, remember that C_p is the union of the groups $C_{p,j} \cong \mathbf{Z}/p^j\,\mathbf{Z}$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, where $C_{p,j} \subseteq C_{p,j+1}$ for each j. A homomorphism on C_p is basically the same as a sequence of homomorphisms on the $C_{p,j}$'s, with the additional condition that the jth homomorphism on $C_{p,j}$ be equal to the restriction of the $(j + 1)$th homomorphism on $C_{p,j+1}$ to $C_{p,j}$. The dual of $C_{p,j}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}/p^j\,\mathbf{Z}$ in the usual way, and one can use this to get an isomorphism between the dual of $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$ and $\mathbf{Z}_p$ as a group with respect to addition. This also uses the description of $\mathbf{Z}_p$ in terms of coherent sequences in the previous section, where the coherence condition corresponds exactly to the compatibility condition for homomorphisms on the $C_{p,j}$'s mentioned earlier. In particular, one can use this to show that every element of the dual of $C_p \cong \mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$ can be represented in terms of $y \mapsto B(x, y)$ for some $x \in \mathbf{Z}_p$, as before. Moreover, one can check that this isomorphism between the dual of $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$ and $\mathbf{Z}_p$ is a homeomorphism with respect to the usual topology on $\mathbf{Z}_p$ and the topology on the dual of $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$ as a discrete group.

Of course, $\phi_y(x) = B(x, y)$ also defines a homomorphism from $\mathbf{Q}_p$ as a group with respect to addition into $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$ for each $y \in \mathbf{Q}_p$. If $|y|_p = p^j$ for some integer j, then the kernel of ϕ_y is equal to $p^j\,\mathbf{Z}_p$, and otherwise $\phi_y \equiv 0$ when $y = 0$. As before, $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$ is isomorphic to $C_p = B_p/\mathbf{Z}$, which may be considered as a subgroup of $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} \cong \mathbf{T}$. This permits ϕ_y to be interpreted as a homomorphism from $\mathbf{Q}_p$ into $\mathbf{T}$ for each $y \in \mathbf{Q}_p$, and it is easy to see that this homomorphism is continuous, because of the previous description of its kernel.

Conversely, suppose that ϕ is a continuous homomorphism from $\mathbf{Q}_p$ into $\mathbf{T}$. As usual, the continuity condition implies that there is an integer l such that $\operatorname{Re}\phi(x) > 0$ for every $x \in p^l\,\mathbf{Z}_p$, and hence that $\phi(x) = 1$ for every $x \in p^l\,\mathbf{Z}_p$, because $\{1\}$ is the only subgroup of $\mathbf{T}$ contained in the right half-plane. Thus ϕ is the same as the composition of the natural quotient mapping from $\mathbf{Q}_p$ onto $\mathbf{Q}_p/p^l\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ with a homomorphism from $\mathbf{Q}_p/p^l\,\mathbf{Z}_p$ into $\mathbf{T}$. If $l = 0$, then we have seen that ϕ can be represented by ϕ_y for some $y \in \mathbf{Z}_p$, and otherwise one can reduce to that case using multiplication in $\mathbf{Q}_p$ to get that ϕ can be represented by ϕ_y for some $y \in p^{-l}\, \mathbf{Z}_p$.

Remember that $p^k\, \mathbf{Z}_p$ is a compact subgroup of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ with respect to addition for each integer k, and that every compact subset of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ is contained in $p^k\, \mathbf{Z}_p$ when $-k$ is sufficiently large. If ϕ and ψ are continuous homomorphisms from $\mathbf{Q}_p$ into $\mathbf{T}$ that are uniformly close to each other on $p^k\, \mathbf{Z}_p$ for some integer k, then $\phi(x) = \psi(x)$ for every $x \in p^k\, \mathbf{Z}_p$, by the usual arguments. This simplifies the description of the topology on the dual of $\mathbf{Q}_p$, so that a pair of continuous homomorphisms $\phi, \psi : \mathbf{Q}_p \to \mathbf{T}$ are close to each other with respect to this topology when $\phi(x) = \psi(x)$ for every $x \in p^k\, \mathbf{Z}_p$ for some negative integer k such that $-k$ is large. Using this and the discussion in the previous paragraphs, it follows that $\mathbf{Q}_p$ is isomorphic to its dual group, by an isomorphism that is also a homeomorphism with respect to the appropriate topologies.

Chapter 9

r-Adic integers and solenoids

9.1 r-Adic absolute values

Let $r = \{r_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of positive integers such that $r_j \geq 2$ for each j, and put $R_0 = 1$ and $R_l = \prod_{j=1}^l r_j$ for each positive integer l. Also put $l(0) = +\infty$, and for each nonzero integer a, let $l(a)$ be the largest nonnegative integer such that a is an integer multiple of $R_{l(a)}$. Equivalently, $l(a) + 1$ is the smallest positive integer such that a is not an integer multiple of $R_{l(a)+1}$ when $a \neq 0$. It is easy to see that $l(-a) = l(a)$,

$$l(a + b) \geq \min(l(a), l(b)) \tag{9.1}$$

and

$$l(a\,b) \geq \max(l(a), l(b)) \tag{9.2}$$

for every $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Let $t = \{t_l\}_{l=0}^\infty$ be a strictly decreasing sequence of positive real numbers that converges to 0, and put

$$|a|_r = t_{l(a)} \tag{9.3}$$

for each nonzero integer a, and $|0|_r = 0$. Thus $|-a|_r = |a|_r$,

$$|a + b|_r \leq \max(|a|_r, |b|_r), \tag{9.4}$$

and

$$|a\,b|_r \leq \min(|a|_r, |b|_r) \tag{9.5}$$

for every $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$, by the corresponding statements for $l(a)$ in the previous paragraph. If we put

$$d_r(a, b) = |a - b|_r \tag{9.6}$$

for each $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$, then it follows that

$$d_r(a,c) \le \max(d_r(a,b), d_r(b,c)) \tag{9.7}$$

for every $a, b, c \in \mathbf{Z}$, and hence that $d_r(a,b)$ is an ultrametric on $\mathbf{Z}$. Let us call $|a|_r$ the *r-adic absolute value* of a associated to $t = \{t_l\}_{l=0}^\infty$, and $d_r(a,b)$ the corresponding *r-adic metric* on $\mathbf{Z}$.

Suppose for the moment that $r = \{r_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is a constant sequence, so that $r_j = r_1$ for each j, and $R_l = (r_1)^l$ for every $l \ge 0$. In this case, (9.2) can be improved to

$$l(a\,b) \ge l(a) + l(b) \tag{9.8}$$

for every $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$. If $t_{k+l} \le t_k\, t_l$ for every $k, l \ge 0$, then we also get that

$$|a\,b|_r \le |a|_r\, |b|_r \tag{9.9}$$

for every $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$. If $r_1 = p$ is a prime number, then we have that

$$l(a\,b) = l(a) + l(b) \tag{9.10}$$

for every $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$, and $|a|_r$ is the same as the usual p-adic abslolute value of a when $t_l = p^{-l}$ for each $l \ge 0$.

Perhaps the simplest choice of $t = \{t_l\}_{l=0}^\infty$ for an arbitrary $r = \{r_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is given by $t_l = 1/R_l$, which reduces to $t_l = p^{-l}$ when $r_j = p$ for each j. However, for many purposes the choice of $t = \{t_l\}_{l=0}^\infty$ does not really matter, because the corresponding ultrametrics $d_r(a,b)$ on $\mathbf{Z}$ will be topologically equivalent and invariant under translations. More precisely, suppose that $t = \{t_l\}_{l=0}^\infty$ and $t' = \{t_l'\}_{l=0}^\infty$ are strictly decreasing sequences of positive real numbers that converge to 0, and let $d_r(a,b)$ and $d_r'(a,b)$ be the corresponding ultrametrics on $\mathbf{Z}$, associated to the same sequence $r = \{r_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$. Under these conditions, it is easy to see that the identity mapping on $\mathbf{Z}$ is uniformly continuous as a mapping from $\mathbf{Z}$ equipped with $d_r(a,b)$ onto $\mathbf{Z}$ equipped with $d_r'(a,b)$, and as a mapping from $\mathbf{Z}$ equipped with $d_r'(a,b)$ onto $\mathbf{Z}$ equipped with $d_r(a,b)$.

9.2 r-Adic integers

Let $r = \{r_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ and $R_l = \prod_{j=1}^l r_j$ be as in the previous section, so that $R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ is an ideal in $\mathbf{Z}$ as a commutative ring, and $R_{l+1}\,\mathbf{Z} \subseteq R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ for each l. Thus the quotient $\mathbf{Z}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ is also a commutative ring for each l, and there is a natural ring homomorphism from $\mathbf{Z}/R_{l+1}\,\mathbf{Z}$ onto $\mathbf{Z}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$.

Consider the Cartesian product $X = \prod_{l=1}^\infty (\mathbf{Z}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z})$, whose elements are sequences $x = \{x_l\}_{l=1}^\infty$ with $x_l \in \mathbf{Z}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ for each l. Observe that X is a commutative ring with respect to coordinatewise addition and multiplication, as well as a compact Hausdorff topological space with respect to the product topology associated to the discrete topologies on the finite sets $\mathbf{Z}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ for each l. More precisely, X is a topological ring, since the ring operations are continuous with respect to the product topology on X.

Let $\delta_l(x_l, y_l)$ be the discrete metric on $\mathbf{Z}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ for each l, so that $\delta_l(x_l, y_l)$ is equal to 1 when $x_l \neq y_l$ and to 0 when $x_l = y_l$. Let $t = \{t_l\}_{l=0}^{\infty}$ be as in the previous section, and put

$$\delta'_l(x_l, y_l) = \min(\delta_l(x_l, y_l), t_{l-1}) = t_{l-1}\,\delta_l(x_l, y_l). \tag{9.11}$$

As in Section 1.16,

$$\delta(x, y) = \max_{l \geq 1} \delta'_l(x_l, y_l) \tag{9.12}$$

defines an ultrametric on X for which the corresponding topology is the same as the product topology associated to the discrete topology on $\mathbf{Z}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ for each l. Equivalently, if $x \neq y$, then

$$\delta(x, y) = t_{l(x,y)}, \tag{9.13}$$

where $l(x, y)$ is the smallest nonnegative integer l such that $x_{l+1} \neq y_{l+1}$, which is the same as the largest nonnegative integer such that $x_j = y_j$ for each $j \leq l(x, y)$. If $x = y$, then we can put $l(x, y) = +\infty$.

Let q_l be the natural quotient ring homomorphism from $\mathbf{Z}$ onto $\mathbf{Z}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ for each l, and put

$$q(a) = \{q_l(a)\}_{l=1}^{\infty} \tag{9.14}$$

for each $a \in \mathbf{Z}$. This defines a ring homomorphism from $\mathbf{Z}$ into X, and it is easy to see that the kernel of this homomorphism is equal to $\{0\}$, because $R_l \to +\infty$ as $l \to \infty$. One can also check that

$$\delta(q(a), q(b)) = d_r(a, b) \tag{9.15}$$

for every $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$, where $d_r(a, b)$ is as in (9.6). More precisely,

$$l(q(a), q(b)) = l(a - b) \tag{9.16}$$

for every $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$, where $l(a - b)$ is as defined in the preceding section.

As before, $x = \{x_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty} \in X$ is said to be a *coherent sequence* if x_l is the image of x_{l+1} under the natural homomorphism from $\mathbf{Z}/R_{l+1}\,\mathbf{Z}$ onto $\mathbf{Z}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ for each l. It is easy to see that the collection Y of coherent sequences in X is a closed sub-ring of X, and that $q(\mathbf{Z}) \subseteq Y$. One can also check that Y is equal to the closure of $q(\mathbf{Z})$ in X.

Of course, X is complete as a metric space with respect to $\delta(x, y)$, since it is compact. This can also be verified more directly from the definitions, and it follows that Y is complete as a metric space with respect to the restriction of $\delta(x, y)$ to $x, y \in X$ as well, because Y is closed in X. Thus the completion $\mathbf{Z}_r$ of $\mathbf{Z}$ as a metric space with respect to the r-adic metric $d_r(a, b)$ can be identified with Y with the restriction of $\delta(x, y)$ to $x, y \in Y$. The elements of $\mathbf{Z}_r$ may be referred to as *r-adic integers*.

The identification of $\mathbf{Z}_r$ with Y shows that addition and multiplication of integers can be extended in a nice way to r-adic integers, so that $\mathbf{Z}_r$ is a compact topological ring. The r-adic metric $d_r(a, b)$ has a nice extension to an ultrametric

$\mathbf{Z}_r$ too, which corresponds to $\delta(x, y)$ on Y. Similarly, the r-adic absolute value $|a|_r$ can be extended to $\mathbf{Z}_r$, with properties like those discussed in the previous section. Although the r-adic absolute value and metric depend on the choice of $t = \{t_l\}_{l=0}^\infty$, different choices of t leads to equivalent completions $\mathbf{Z}_r$ of $\mathbf{Z}$ as a topological ring. In particular, the definition of Y in terms of coherent sequences does not depend on the choice of t.

Let k be a positive integer, and let Y_k be the set of $y = \{y_l\}_{l=1}^\infty \in Y$ such that $y_k = 0$ in $\mathbf{Z}/R_k\,\mathbf{Z}$. This implies that $y_l = 0$ in $\mathbf{Z}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ when $l \le k$, because of the coherence condition. It is easy to see that Y_k is a closed ideal in Y, which is also relatively open in Y. Equivalently, Y_k is the same as the closure of $q(R_k\,\mathbf{Z})$ in X, and one can check that Y/Y_k is isomorphic as a ring to $\mathbf{Z}/R_k\,\mathbf{Z}$.

Let H be Haar measure on Y, normalized so that $H(Y) = 1$. Observe that

$$H(Y_k) = 1/R_k \tag{9.17}$$

for each positive integer k, because Y can be expressed as the union of R_k pairwise-disjoint translates of Y_k. If $t_l = 1/R_l$ for each $l \ge 0$, then one can verify that H is the same as the 1-dimensional Hausdorff measure on Y corresponding to the ultrametric $\delta(x, y)$ on Y. Alternatively, a translation-invariant Haar integral can be defined for continuous real or complex-valued functions on Y by approximation by suitable Riemann sums, and Haar measure on Y can be derived from this using the Riesz representation theorem, as usual.

Suppose that ϕ is a continuous group homomorphism from Y as a group with respect to addition into the multiplicative group $\mathbf{T}$ of complex numbers with modulus equal to 1. Continuity of ϕ at $0 \in Y$ implies that there is a positive integer k such that $\operatorname{Re}\phi(y) > 0$ for every $y \in Y_k$, and hence that $\phi(y) = 1$ for every $y \in Y_k$, because $\{1\}$ is the only subgroup of $\mathbf{T}$ contained in the right half-plane. Thus ϕ can be expressed as the composition of the natural quotient mapping from Y onto $Y/Y_k \cong \mathbf{Z}/R_k\,\mathbf{Z}$ with a homomorphism from Y/Y_k into $\mathbf{T}$, and conversely any homomorphism from Y into $\mathbf{T}$ of this type is continuous.

More precisely, for each nonnegative integer l, let $B_{r,l}$ be the subgroup of the group $\mathbf{Q}$ of rational numbers with respect to addition consisting of rational numbers of the form a/R_l, where a is an integer. Thus $B_{r,0} = \mathbf{Z}$ and $B_{r,l} \subseteq B_{r,l+1}$ for each $l \ge 0$, amd we put $B_r = \bigcup_{l=0}^\infty B_{r,l}$, which is also a subgroup of $\mathbf{Q}$ with respect to addition. Similarly, put $C_{r,l} = B_{r,l}/\mathbf{Z}$ and $C_r = B_r/\mathbf{Z}$, so that $C_{r,l} \subseteq C_{r,l+1} \subseteq C_r$ for each l and $C_r = \bigcup_{l=0}^\infty C_{r,l}$. One can check that the dual of $Y \cong \mathbf{Z}_r$ is isomorphic to C_r as a discrete group, and that the dual of C_r is isomorphic to Y.

9.3 Some solenoids

Let us continue with the notations and hypotheses in the previous two sections. Of course, $R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ is a subgroup of the real line $\mathbf{R}$ as a group with respect to addition for each nonnegative integer l. The quotient $\mathbf{R}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ can be defined as a group as well as a topological space and even a 1-dimensional smooth manifold, which is equivalent to the unit circle $\mathbf{T}$ in the usual way.

Let $\widetilde{X}$ be the Cartesian product $\prod_{l=0}^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z})$, so that $\widetilde{X}$ consists of the sequences $x = \{x_l\}_{l=0}^{\infty}$ such that $x_l \in \mathbf{R}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ for each $l \ge 0$. Thus $\widetilde{X}$ is a commutative group with respect to coordinatewise addition, and a compact Hausdorff topological space with respect to the product topology associated to the quotient topology on $\mathbf{R}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ for each l. It is easy to see that the group operations on $\widetilde{X}$ are continuous, so that $\widetilde{X}$ is a topological group, which is isomorphic to the product of a sequence of copies of the unit circle $\mathbf{T}$.

Because $R_{l+1} = R_l\,r_{l+1}$ and hence $R_{l+1}\,\mathbf{Z} \subseteq R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ for each $l \ge 0$, there is a natural group homomorphism from $\mathbf{R}/R_{l+1}\,\mathbf{Z}$ onto $\mathbf{R}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$. The kernel of this homomorphism is equal to $R_l\,\mathbf{Z}/R_{l+1}\,\mathbf{Z} \cong \mathbf{Z}/r_{l+1}\,\mathbf{Z}$ as a subgroup of $\mathbf{R}/R_{l+1}\,\mathbf{Z}$. This homomorphism is also a local diffeomorphism from $\mathbf{R}/R_{l+1}\,\mathbf{Z}$ onto $\mathbf{R}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ as 1-dimensional smooth manifolds.

Let us say that $x = \{x_l\}_{l=0}^{\infty} \in \widetilde{X}$ is a *coherent sequence* if x_l is the image of x_{l+1} under the natural homomorphism from $\mathbf{R}/R_{l+1}\,\mathbf{Z}$ onto $\mathbf{R}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ described in the preceding paragraph for each $l \ge 0$. It is easy to see that the collection $\widetilde{Y}$ of coherent sequences in $\widetilde{X}$ is a closed subgroup of $\widetilde{X}$.

Let $\widetilde{q}_l$ be the canonical quotient mapping from $\mathbf{R}$ onto $\mathbf{R}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ for each $l \ge 0$, which is both a group homomorphism and a local diffeomorphism from $\mathbf{R}$ onto $\mathbf{R}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ as 1-dimensional smooth manifolds. Put

$$\widetilde{q}(a) = \{\widetilde{q}_l(a)\}_{l=0}^{\infty} \tag{9.18}$$

for each $a \in \mathbf{R}$, so that $\widetilde{q}$ is a group homomorphism from $\mathbf{R}$ into $\widetilde{X}$ which is also continuous with respect to the product topology on $\widetilde{X}$ mentioned earlier. It is easy to see that the kernel of $\widetilde{q}$ is trivial, and hence that $\widetilde{q}$ is one-to-one, because $R_l \to \infty$ as $l \to \infty$.

By construction, $\widetilde{q}(a)$ is a coherent sequence for each $a \in \mathbf{R}$, so that $\widetilde{q}(\mathbf{R}) \subseteq \widetilde{Y}$. If $y \in \widetilde{Y}$ and k is a nonnegative integer, then there is an $a \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $\widetilde{q}_k(a) = y_k$, which implies that $\widetilde{q}_l(a) = y_l$ for each $l \le k$, by coherence. This shows that $\widetilde{Y}$ is the closure of $\widetilde{q}(\mathbf{R})$ in $\widetilde{X}$. In particular, it follows that $\widetilde{Y}$ is connected, since the closure of a connected set is connected.

Let k be a nonnegative integer, and let $\widetilde{Y}_k$ be the set of $y \in \widetilde{Y}$ such that $y_k = 0$ in $\mathbf{R}/R_k\,\mathbf{Z}$. Note that $\widetilde{Y}_k$ is a closed subgroup of $\widetilde{Y}$, and that $y_l = 0$ in $\mathbf{R}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ when $y \in \widetilde{Y}_k$ and $l \le k$, by coherence. If $y \in \widetilde{Y}_0$, then $y_0 = 0$ in $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$, and hence $y_l \in \mathbf{Z}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ for each $l \ge 1$, again by coherence. Thus $y' = \{y_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ is an element of the compact commutative topological group Y defined in the previous section, and it is easy to see that $y \mapsto y'$ is an isomorphism between $\widetilde{Y}_0$ and $Y \cong \mathbf{Z}_r$ as topological groups. Under this isomorphism, $\widetilde{Y}_k$ corresponds exactly to the subgroup Y_k of Y discussed in the previous section for each positive integer k.

Let π_k be the kth coordinate projection from $\widetilde{X}$ onto $\mathbf{R}/R_k\,\mathbf{Z}$ for each $k \ge 0$, so that $\pi_k(x) = x_k$ for every $x = \{x_l\}_{l=0}^{\infty} \in \widetilde{X}$. Thus π_k is a continuous homomorphism from $\widetilde{X}$ onto $\mathbf{R}/R_k\,\mathbf{Z}$, and it is easy to see that $\pi_k(\widetilde{Y}) = \mathbf{R}/R_k\,\mathbf{Z}$ too. Note that the kernel of the restriction of π_k to $\widetilde{Y}$ is equal to $\widetilde{Y}_k$.

Suppose that ϕ is a continuous homomorphism from $\widetilde{Y}$ into the multiplicative group $\mathbf{T}$ of complex numbers with modulus equal to 1. Because ϕ is continuous at 0, there is a nonnegative integer k such that $\mathrm{Re}\,\phi(y) > 0$ for every $y \in \widetilde{Y}_k$, which implies that $\phi(\widetilde{Y}_k) = \{1\}$, as usual. Under these conditions, one can check that ϕ is the composition of the restriction of π_k to $\widetilde{Y}_k$ with a continuous homomorphism from $\mathbf{R}/R_k\,\mathbf{Z}$ onto $\mathbf{T}$. Conversely, every homomorphism from $\widetilde{Y}$ into $\mathbf{T}$ of this form is continuous.

As in the previous section, let $B_{r,k}$ be the subgroup of $\mathbf{Q}$ with respect to addition consisting of integer multiples of $1/R_k$, and put $B_r = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} B_{r,k}$. Using the discussion of continuous homomorphisms from $\widetilde{Y}$ into $\mathbf{T}$ in the preceding paragraph, one can show that the dual of $\widetilde{Y}$ is isomorphic to B_r. Similarly, one can show directly that the dual of B_r is isomorphic to $\widetilde{Y}$, by parameterizing the dual of $B_{r,k}$ by $\mathbf{R}/R_k\,\mathbf{Z}$ for each k. Of course, $B_{r,k}$ is isomorphic as a group to $\mathbf{Z}$ for each k, and $\mathbf{R}/R_k\,\mathbf{Z}$ is isomorphic as a topological group to $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$, but one should be a it careful about how they fit together to get B_r and $\widetilde{Y}$.

9.4 Complexification

Again we continue with the notation and hypotheses in the previous sections. Let us now consider the complex plane $\mathbf{C}$ as a commutative topological group with respect to addition, which contains the real line $\mathbf{R}$ as a closed subgroup, and also $R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ for each nonnegative integer l. The quotient $\mathbf{C}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ may be considered as a topological group as well as a Riemann surface. The complex exponential function determines a homomorphic group isomorphism between $\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}$ and the multiplicative group of nonzero complex numbers, and similarly $\mathbf{C}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ is equivalent to $\mathbf{C}\backslash\{0\}$ for each l.

Let $\widetilde{X}^{\mathbf{C}}$ be the Cartesian product $\prod_{l=0}^{\infty}(\mathbf{C}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z})$, which is the set of all sequences $x = \{x_l\}_{l=0}^{\infty}$ with $x_l \in \mathbf{C}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ for each $l \geq 0$. As usual, $\widetilde{X}^{\mathbf{C}}$ is a commutative topological group with respect to coordinatewise addition and the product topology associated to the quotient topology on $\mathbf{C}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ for each l. However, $\widetilde{X}^{\mathbf{C}}$ is not locally compact, because $\mathbf{C}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ is not compact for any l. Note that $\widetilde{X}$ as defined in the preceding section may be considered as a closed subgroup of $\widetilde{X}^{\mathbf{C}}$.

As before, there is a natural homomorphism from $\mathbf{C}/R_{l+1}\,\mathbf{Z}$ onto $\mathbf{C}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ for each l. because $R_{l+1}\,\mathbf{Z} \subseteq R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$. This homomorphism is also a holomorphic local diffeomorphism from $\mathbf{C}/R_{l+1}\,\mathbf{Z}$ onto $\mathbf{C}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ as Riemann surfaces for each l. The kernel of this homomorphism is equal to $R_l\,\mathbf{Z}/R_{l+1}\,\mathbf{Z} \cong \mathbf{Z}/r_{l+1}\,\mathbf{Z}$ as a subgroup of $\mathbf{C}/R_{l+1}\,\mathbf{Z}$.

A sequence $x = \{x_l\}_{l=0}^{\infty} \in \widetilde{X}^{\mathbf{C}}$ is said to be a *coherent sequence* if x_l is the image of x_{l+1} under the natural homomorphism from $\mathbf{C}/R_{l+1}\,\mathbf{Z}$ onto $\mathbf{C}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ for each l, and the collection $\widetilde{Y}^{\mathbf{C}}$ of coherent sequences in $\widetilde{X}^{\mathbf{C}}$ is a closed subgroup of $\widetilde{X}^{\mathbf{C}}$. Note that the set $\widetilde{Y}$ of coherent sequences in $\widetilde{X}$ is the same as the intersection of $\widetilde{Y}^{\mathbf{C}}$ with $\widetilde{X}$. Of course, $\mathbf{C}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ is isomorphic as a topological group to the product of $\mathbf{R}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ and $\mathbf{R}$ for each l, and similarly $\widetilde{Y}^{\mathbf{C}}$ is isomorphic as a topological group to the product of $\widetilde{Y}$ and $\mathbf{R}$. In particular, $\widetilde{Y}^{\mathbf{C}}$ is locally compact, because $\widetilde{Y}$ is compact and $\mathbf{R}$ is locally compact.

Let $\widetilde{q}_l^{\mathbf{C}}$ be the canonical quotient mapping from $\mathbf{C}$ onto $\mathbf{C}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ for each l, which is a group homomorphism and a holomorphic local diffeomorphism from $\mathbf{C}$ onto $\mathbf{C}/R_l\,\mathbf{Z}$ as Riemann surfaces for each l. Put

$$\widetilde{q}^{\mathbf{C}}(a) = \{\widetilde{q}_l^{\mathbf{C}}(a)\}_{l=0}^{\infty} \tag{9.19}$$

for each $a \in \mathbf{C}$, which defines a continuous group homomorphism from $\mathbf{C}$ into $\widetilde{X}^{\mathbf{C}}$. More precisely, $\widetilde{q}^{\mathbf{C}}$ is a one-to-one mapping from $\mathbf{C}$ into $\widetilde{Y}^{\mathbf{C}}$, and the closure of $\widetilde{q}^{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{C})$ in $\widetilde{X}^{\mathbf{C}}$ is equal to $\widetilde{Y}^{\mathbf{C}}$. This is basically the same as for $\widetilde{Y}$, because $\widetilde{Y}^{\mathbf{C}}$ is isomorphic to $\widetilde{Y} \times \mathbf{R}$ as a topological group.

Let $\pi_k^{\mathbf{C}}$ be the kth coordinate projection from $\widetilde{X}^{\mathbf{C}}$ onto $C/R_k\,\mathbf{Z}$ for each nonnegative integer k, which sends $x = \{x_l\}_{l=0}^{\infty} \in \widetilde{X}^{\mathbf{C}}$ to x_k. Note that $\pi_k^{\mathbf{C}}(\widetilde{Y}^{\mathbf{C}}) = \mathbf{C}/R_k\,\mathbf{Z}$ for each k, and that the kernel of the restriction of $\pi_k^{\mathbf{C}}$ to $\widetilde{Y}^{\mathbf{C}}$ is equal to the subgroup $\widetilde{Y}_k$ of $\widetilde{Y} \subseteq \widetilde{Y}^{\mathbf{C}}$ defined in the previous section.

Suppose that ϕ is a continuous homomorphism from $\widetilde{Y}^{\mathbf{C}}$ into the group $\mathbf{C}\backslash\{0\}$ of nonzero complex numbers with respect to multiplication. As usual, continuity of ϕ at 0 implies that there is a nonnegative integer k such that

$$|\phi(y) - 1| < 1/2 \tag{9.20}$$

for every $y \in \widetilde{Y}_k$, and hence that $\phi(\widetilde{Y}_k) = \{1\}$, because $\phi(\widetilde{Y}_k)$ is a subgroup of $\mathbf{C}\backslash\{0\}$. Using this, one can check that ϕ is the composition of the restriction of $\pi_k^{\mathbf{C}}$ to $\widetilde{Y}^{\mathbf{C}}$ with a continuous homomorphism $\phi_k : \mathbf{C}/R_k\,\mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{C}\backslash\{0\}$. Thus

$$\phi \circ \widetilde{q}^{\mathbf{C}} = \phi_k \circ \pi_k^{\mathbf{C}} \circ \widetilde{q}^{\mathbf{C}} = \phi_k \circ \widetilde{q}_k^{\mathbf{C}}, \tag{9.21}$$

so that $\phi \circ \widetilde{q}^{\mathbf{C}}$ is holomorphic as a mapping from $\mathbf{C}$ into $\mathbf{C}\backslash\{0\}$ if and only if ϕ_k is holomorphic as a mapping from $\mathbf{C}/R_k\,\mathbf{Z}$ as a Riemann surface into $\mathbf{C}\backslash\{0\}$.

Of course, the continuous homomorphisms from $\mathbf{T}$ into $\mathbf{C}\backslash\{0\}$ all map $\mathbf{T}$ into itself, and are of the form $z \mapsto z^j$ for some integer j. Similarly, $z \mapsto z^j$ defines a holomorphic homomorphism from $\mathbf{C}\backslash\{0\}$ into itself for each integer j, and every holomorphic homomorphism from $\mathbf{C}\backslash\{0\}$ into itself is of this form. Because $\mathbf{C}/R_k\,\mathbf{Z}$ is holomorphically isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}\backslash\{0\}$ for each k, one can use this to characterize the continuous homomorphisms $\phi : \widetilde{Y}^{\mathbf{C}} \to \mathbf{C}\backslash\{0\}$ such that $\phi \circ \widetilde{q}^{\mathbf{C}} : \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{C}\backslash\{0\}$ is holomorphic. In particular, every continuous homomorphism from $\mathbf{T}$ into itself has a unique extension to a holomorphic homomorphism from $\mathbf{C}\backslash\{0\}$ into itself, and every continuous homomorphism from $\widetilde{Y}$ into $\mathbf{T}$ has a unique extension to a continuous homomorphism from $\widetilde{Y}^{\mathbf{C}}$ into $\mathbf{C}\backslash\{0\}$ whose composition with $\widetilde{q}^{\mathbf{C}}$ is holomorphic.

Chapter 10

Compactifications

10.1 Compactifications and duality

Let A and B be commutative topological groups, and let h be a continuous homomorphism from A into B. Also let $\widehat{A}$, $\widehat{B}$ be the corresponding dual groups of continuous homomorphisms from A, B in $\mathbf{T}$, respectively. If ϕ is a continuous homomorphism from B into $\mathbf{T}$, then $\phi \circ h$ is a continuous homomorphism from A into $\mathbf{T}$, and $\widehat{h}(\phi) = \phi \circ h$ defines a homomorphism from $\widehat{B}$ into $\widehat{A}$, as in Section 7.4. We shall be especially interested in the case where $h(A)$ is dense in B, which implies that $\widehat{h}$ is one-to-one. Let us also restrict our attention from now on to the case where B is compact, so that $\widehat{B}$ is discrete.

Conversely, let C_d be a subgroup of $\widehat{A}$, equipped with the discrete topology. Thus the dual $\widehat{C_d}$ of C_d consists of all homomorphisms from C_d into $\mathbf{T}$, and is compact with respect to the usual dual topology. If $a \in A$ and $\phi \in C_d$, then put

$$\Psi_a(\phi) = \phi(a), \tag{10.1}$$

as in Sections 4.5 and 7.4. It is easy to see that Ψ_a defines a homomorphism from C_d into $\mathbf{T}$ for each $a \in A$, which is automatically continuous, since C_d is discrete. As usual, $a \mapsto \Psi_a$ defines a homomorphism from A into $\widehat{C_d}$, basically because each $\phi \in C_d$ is a homomorphism on A. Note that $a \mapsto \Psi_a$ is continuous as a mapping from A into $\widehat{C_d}$, with respect to the usual dual topology on $\widehat{C_d}$. This uses from the fact that (10.1) is continuous as a function of $a \in A$ for each $\phi \in C_d$, since $C_d \subseteq \widehat{A}$. If C_d separates points in A, then $a \mapsto \Psi_a$ is injective as a mapping from A into $\widehat{C_d}$.

Let B_0 be the subgroup of $B = \widehat{C_d}$ consisting of homomorphisms from C_d into $\mathbf{T}$ of the form Ψ_a for some $a \in A$, and let B_1 be the closure of B_1 in $\widehat{C_d}$. We would like to check that $B_1 = \widehat{C_d}$, so that B_0 is dense in $\widehat{C_d}$. Of course, $\widehat{C_d}/B_1$ is a compact commutative topological group. If $\widehat{C_d}/B_1$ is not the trivial group, then there is a nontrivial homomorphism from $\widehat{C_d}/B_1$ into $\mathbf{T}$. This would imply that there is a nontrivial homomorphism from $\widehat{C_d}$ into $\mathbf{T}$ whose kernel contains B_1, by composing the previous homomorphism with the standard quotient mapping from $\widehat{C_d}$ onto $\widehat{C_d}/B_1$. However, we have seen that every continuous homomorphism from $\widehat{C_d}$ into $\mathbf{T}$ corresponds to evaluation at some $\phi \in C_d$. If evaluation at $\phi \in C_d$ contains B_1 in its kernel, then (10.1) is equal to 1 for every $a \in A$, and hence ϕ is the trivial character on A. It follows that there is no nontrivial continuous homomorphism from $\widehat{C_d}$ into $\mathbf{T}$ that contains B_1 in its kernel, as desired.

As a basic class of examples, take $A = \mathbf{Z}$ and $B = \mathbf{T}$. Let z be an element of $\mathbf{T}$, and put $h_z(j) = z^j$ for each $j \in \mathbf{Z}$. This defines a homomorphism from $\mathbf{Z}$ into $\mathbf{T}$, and every homomorphism from $\mathbf{Z}$ into $\mathbf{T}$ is of this form. Of course, $h_z(\mathbf{Z})$ is a finite subgroup of $\mathbf{T}$ when z corresponds to an angle which is a rational multiple of π, and it is well known that $h_z(\mathbf{Z})$ is dense in $\mathbf{T}$ otherwise. In this situation, $\widehat{A} \cong \mathbf{T}$. $\widehat{B} \cong \mathbf{Z}$, and the dual homomorphism $\widehat{h_z}$ maps $\widehat{B}$ onto the subgroup of $\widehat{A}$ generated by h_z as an element of $\widehat{A}$.

10.2 Almost periodic functions

Let A be a commutative topological group again, and let $C_b(A)$ be the space of bounded continuous complex-valued functions f on A, equipped with the supremum norm $\|f\|_{sup}$. Put

$$f_a(x) = f(x + a) \tag{10.2}$$

for each $a, x \in A$ and $f \in C_b(A)$, and

$$T(f) = \{f_a : a \in A\}, \tag{10.3}$$

which is a subset of $C_b(A)$. If $T(f)$ is totally bounded in $C_b(A)$ with respect to the supremum norm, then f is said to be *almost periodic* on A. Equivalently, f is almost periodic on A if the closure $\overline{T(f)}$ of $T(f)$ in $C_b(A)$ is compact, since $C_b(A)$ is complete with respect to the supremum norm.

If f is constant on A, then $f_a = f$ for each $a \in A$, $T(f) = \{f\}$, and f is obviously almost periodic. Similarly, if f is a continuous homomorphism from A into $\mathbf{T}$, then $f_a = f(a)\, f$ for each $a \in A$, and it is easy to see that f is almost periodic on A, basically because the unit circle is compact. If A is compact, then every continuous function f on A is uniformly continuous, which implies that $a \mapsto f_a$ is continuous as a mapping from A into $C_b(A)$. It follows that $T(f)$ is a compact set in $C_b(A)$ in this case, and hence that f is almost periodic.

If E, E' are totally bounded subsets of $C_b(A)$, then it is easy to see that

$$E + E' = \{g + g' : g \in E,\, g' \in E'\} \tag{10.4}$$

and

$$E \cdot E' = \{g\, g' : g \in E,\, g' \in E'\} \tag{10.5}$$

are totally bounded in $C_b(A)$ as well. This uses the continuity of addition and multiplication on $C_b(A)$, and the fact that totally bounded sets in $C_b(A)$ are bounded in the second case. It follows that sums and products of almost periodic functions on A are almost periodic, since

$$T(f+f') \subseteq T(f) + T(f') \tag{10.6}$$

and

$$T(f\,f') \subseteq T(f) \cdot T(f') \tag{10.7}$$

for every $f, f' \in C_b(A)$. Thus the space $\mathcal{AP}(A)$ of almost periodic functions on A is a subalgebra of $C_b(A)$, and one can also check that $\mathcal{AP}(A)$ is a closed set in $C_b(A)$ with respect to the supremum metric. Note that the complex conjugate of an almost periodic function on A is almost periodic too.

If $E \subseteq C_b(A)$ is any totally bounded set with respect to the supremum norm, then E is equicontinuous at 0, in the sense that for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is an open set $U \subseteq A$ such that $0 \in U$ and

$$|g(x) - g(0)| < \epsilon \tag{10.8}$$

for every $x \in U$ and $g \in E$. More precisely, if E is totally bounded in $C_b(A)$, then the elements of E can be approximated uniformly on A by finitely many continuous functions. This permits the equicontinuity of E at 0 to be derived from the continuity of finitely many functions at 0, by standard arguments. In particular, if $f \in \mathcal{AP}(A)$, then this can be applied to $E = T(f)$, to get that f is uniformly continuous on A.

Let B be another commutative topological group, and suppose that h is a continuous homomorphism from A into B. Observe that the mapping from $g \in C_b(B)$ to $g \circ h \in C_b(A)$ is a bounded linear mapping with respect to the supremum norms on $C_b(A)$ and $C_b(B)$, with operator norm equal to 1, since constant functions on B are sent to constant functions on A with the same constant value. If $h(A)$ is dense in B, then $g \mapsto g \circ h$ is an isometric linear embedding of $C_b(B)$ into $C_b(A)$. At any rate, if $E \subseteq C_b(B)$ is totally bounded with respect to the supremum norm, then it follows that the set

$$E_h = \{g \circ h : g \in E\} \tag{10.9}$$

is totally bounded in $C_b(A)$ with respect to the supremum norm.

If $g \in C_b(B)$ is almost periodic on B, then it is easy to see that $g \circ h$ is almost periodic on A. More precisely, let E be the set of translates of g on B, which is totally bounded in $C_b(B)$ by hypothesis. Thus the set E_h of compositions of elements of E with h is totally bounded in $C_b(A)$, as in the previous paragraph. Because $h : A \to B$ is a homomorphism, every translate of $g \circ h$ on A can be expressed as the composition of a translate of g on B with h. This implies that the collection of translates of $g \circ h$ on A is a subset of E_h, and hence that the collection of translates of $g \circ h$ on A is totally bounded in $C_b(A)$, as desired.

If B is compact, then every continuous function on B is almost periodic, as before. In this case, we have also seen that every continuous function on B can be uniformly approximated by finite linear combinations of characters on B.

It follows that if g is a continuous function on B, then $g \circ h$ can be uniformly approximated on A by finite linear combinations of characters on A.

Conversely, let f be any almost periodic function on A, and let K be the closure of $T(f)$ in $C_b(A)$. Thus K is compact with respect to the supremum metric on $C_b(A)$. If $r \in A$, then consider the mapping that sends $g \in C_b(A)$ to its translate $g_r(x) = g(x + r)$. This is an isometric linear mapping from $C_b(A)$ onto itself for each $r \in A$, which sends f_a to f_{a+r} for each $a \in A$. It follows that $g \mapsto g_r$ sends $T(f)$ onto itself for each $r \in A$, which implies that it also sends K onto itself for each $r \in A$.

We have seen that f is uniformly continuous on A, so that for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is an open set $U(\epsilon) \subseteq A$ such that $0 \in U(\epsilon)$ and

$$|f(x + y) - f(x)| < \epsilon \tag{10.10}$$

for every $x \in A$ and $y \in U(\epsilon)$. This implies that each translate f_a of f satisfies the same condition, so that

$$|f_a(x + y) - f_a(x)| < \epsilon \tag{10.11}$$

for every $a, x \in A$ and $y \in U(\epsilon)$. Similarly, if $g \in K$, then

$$|g(x + y) - g(x)| \le \epsilon \tag{10.12}$$

for every $x \in A$ and $y \in U(\epsilon)$. This shows that the functions in K are uniformly equicontinuous on A.

As before, $g \mapsto g_r$ defines a linear isometry from $C_b(A)$ onto itself for each $r \in A$. More precisely, the collection of linear isometries from $C_b(A)$ onto itself is a group with respect to composition, and the mapping from $r \in A$ to $g \mapsto g_r$ is a homomorphism from A into this group. We have also seen that $g \mapsto g_r$ maps K onto itself for each $r \in A$, so that we get a homomorphism from A into the group $\mathcal{I}(K)$ of isometries from K onto itself, with respect to the restriction of the supremum metric on $C_b(A)$ to K.

As in Section 3.14, $\mathcal{I}(K)$ is a compact topological group with respect to an appropriate metric, which is the supremum metric on the space of continuous mappings from K into itself. It is easy to see that the homomorphism from A into $\mathcal{I}(K)$ described in the preceding paragraph is continuous with respect to this metric, because of the uniform equicontinuity of the elements of K as functions on A. Let B be the closure of the image of A in $\mathcal{I}(K)$ under this homomorphism, which is a compact commutative group with respect to the induced topology.

Put $\lambda(g) = g(0)$ for each $g \in C_b(A)$, which is a bounded linear functional on $C_b(A)$. In particular, the restriction of λ to K is a continuous function on K with respect to the supremum metric. Remember that $f \in T(f) \subseteq K$, so that if $R \in \mathcal{I}(K)$, then $R(f) \in K$ too. The mapping from $R \in \mathcal{I}(K)$ to $R(f) \in K$ is continuous with respect to the corresponding supremum metrics on K and $\mathcal{I}(K)$, and hence

$$\Lambda(R) = \lambda(R(f)) = (R(f))(0) \tag{10.13}$$

is a continuous function on $\mathcal{I}(K)$. If we apply this to the $R \in \mathcal{I}(K)$ that corresponds to $g \mapsto g_r$ for some $r \in A$, then we get that

$$\Lambda(R) = (R(f))(0) = f_r(0) = f(r). \tag{10.14}$$

This shows that f can be expressed as the composition of the continuous function Λ on B with the natural continuous homomorphism from A into B. It follows from this and the earlier discussion that f can be uniformly approximated by finite sums of characters on A, because B is compact.

10.3 Spaces of continuous functions

Let X, Y be (nonempty) topological spaces, and suppose that h is a continuous mapping from X into Y. Remember that the spaces $C_b(X)$, $C_b(Y)$ of bounded continuous complex-valued functions on X, Y, respectively, are commutative Banach algebras with respect to pointwise addition and multiplication and the corresponding supremum norms. If $g \in C_b(Y)$, then $g \circ h \in C_b(X)$, and in fact $g \mapsto g \circ h$ defines a bounded linear mapping from $C_b(Y)$ into $C_b(X)$ which is also an algebra homomorphism. More precisely, the operator norm of this mapping with respect to the supremum norms on $C_b(X)$, $C_b(Y)$ is equal to 1, as one can see by considering constant functions g on Y.

If $h(X)$ is dense in Y, then $g \mapsto g \circ h$ is an isometric linear mapping from $C_b(Y)$ into $C_b(X)$. In this case,

$$\{g \circ h : g \in C_b(Y)\} \tag{10.15}$$

is actually a closed subalgebra of $C_b(X)$ with respect to the supremum norm. More precisely, (10.15) is complete with respect to the supremum norm on X under these conditions, because $C_b(Y)$ is complete with respect to the supremum norm on Y. This implies that (10.15) is a closed set in $C_b(X)$ with respect to the supremum norm, by standard arguments.

Now let $\mathcal{A}$ be any closed subalgebra of $C_b(X)$ that contains the constant functions. Thus $\mathcal{A}$ is also a commutative Banach algebra, and the constant function $\mathbf{1}_X$ on X equal to 1 at each point is the multiplicative identity element in $\mathcal{A}$. If λ is a homomorphism from $\mathcal{A}$ into the complex numbers such that $\lambda(f) \neq 0$ for some $f \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\lambda(\mathbf{1}_X) = 1$, and λ is a bounded linear functional on $\mathcal{A}$ with respect to the supremum norm, with dual norm equal to 1. Let $\mathcal{H}_1(\mathcal{A})$ be the collection of nonzero complex homomorphisms on $\mathcal{A}$, which is a subset of the unit ball of the dual $\mathcal{A}^*$ of $\mathcal{A}$ as a Banach space. As before, $\mathcal{H}_1(\mathcal{A})$ is a closed set in $\mathcal{A}^*$ with respect to the weak* topology, and hence is compact with respect to the weak* topology, by the Banach–Alaoglu theorem.

If $p \in X$, then

$$\lambda_p(f) = f(p) \tag{10.16}$$

defines a nonzero complex homomorphism on $C_b(X)$, and the restriction of λ_p to $\mathcal{A}$ is an element of $\mathcal{H}_1(\mathcal{A})$. Consider the mapping from $p \in X$ to the restriction of λ_p to $\mathcal{A}$, as a mapping from X into $\mathcal{H}_1(\mathcal{A})$. It is easy to see that this mapping is continuous with respect to the weak* topology on $\mathcal{H}_1(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^*$, because (10.16) is a continuous function of p for each $f \in C_b(X)$. Of course, this mapping from X into $\mathcal{H}_1(\mathcal{A})$ is injective if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ separates points on X.

Note that a bounded continuous function f on X is invertible in $C_b(X)$ if and only if $f(x) \neq 0$ for each $x \in X$ and $1/f(x)$ is bounded on X. Equivalently, f is invertible in $C_b(X)$ when there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $|f(x)| \geq \delta$ for every $x \in X$. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ has the additional property that for each $f \in \mathcal{A}$, the complex conjugate $\overline{f}$ of f is an element of $\mathcal{A}$ as well. In this case, if $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is invertible in $C_b(X)$, then $1/f \in \mathcal{A}$ too. Indeed, if $f \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\overline{f} \in \mathcal{A}$, and hence $|f|^2 \in \mathcal{A}$. If f is invertible in $C_b(X)$, then $|f|^2$ is also invertible in $C_b(X)$, and $1/f = \overline{f}/|f|^2$. Thus it suffices to show that $1/|f|^2 \in \mathcal{A}$, which basically means that one can reduce to the case of positive real-valued functions on X. If $|f(x)| \leq 1$ for each $x \in X$, then the supremum norm of $1 - |f(x)|^2$ is strictly less than 1 when f is invertible on X, which implies that

$$|f|^2 = 1 - (1 - |f|^2) \tag{10.17}$$

is invertible in $\mathcal{A}$, as desired. Of course, it is easy to reduce to the case where the supremum norm of f is equal to 1.

Let $\mathcal{H}_1(\mathcal{A}, X)$ be the subset of $\mathcal{H}_1(\mathcal{A})$ consisting of the restrictions of λ_p to $\mathcal{A}$, for each $p \in X$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is invariant under complex conjugation, then $\mathcal{H}_1(\mathcal{A}, X)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_1(\mathcal{A})$ with respect to the weak* topology on $\mathcal{A}^*$. Otherwise, suppose that $\lambda \in \mathcal{H}_1(\mathcal{A})$ is not in the weak* closure of $\mathcal{H}_1(\mathcal{A}, X)$. This means that there are finitely many elements $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ of $\mathcal{A}$ and an $r > 0$ such that

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |\lambda(f_j) - \lambda_p(f_j)| \geq r \tag{10.18}$$

for each $p \in X$. Put $g_j(x) = f_j(x) - \lambda(f_j)\, \mathbf{1}_X(x)$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$, so that

$$\lambda(g_j) = \lambda(f_j) - \lambda(f_j)\, \lambda(\mathbf{1}_X) = \lambda(f_j) - \lambda(f_j) = 0 \tag{10.19}$$

for each j. Of course, $\lambda_p(f_j) = f_j(p)$ for each $p \in X$ and $j = 1, \ldots, n$, and hence

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |g_j(p)| \geq r \tag{10.20}$$

for each $p \in X$, by (10.18). Observe that

$$g(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} |g_j(x)|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_j(x)\, \overline{g_j(x)} \tag{10.21}$$

is an element of $\mathcal{A}$, because $g_j \in \mathcal{A}$ for each $j = 1, \ldots, n$, and thus $\overline{g_j} \in \mathcal{A}$ for each $j = 1, \ldots, n$ too. It is easy to see that $\lambda(g) = 0$, using (10.19) and the fact that λ is a homomorphism on $\mathcal{A}$. However, (10.20) implies that $|g(p)|^2 \geq r^2$ for each $p \in X$, so that g is invertible in $C_b(X)$, and hence g is also invertible in $\mathcal{A}$, as in the previous paragraph. This is a contradiction, because the invertibility of g in $\mathcal{A}$ implies that $\lambda(g) \neq 0$.

In particular, $\mathcal{H}_1(\mathcal{A}, X)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_1(\mathcal{A})$ with respect to the weak* topology when $\mathcal{A} = C_b(X)$, in which case $\mathcal{H}_1(\mathcal{A})$ is the *Stone–Čech compactification* of X. Suppose for the moment that X is compact, so that $C_b(X)$ is the same as the algebra $C(X)$ of all complex-valued continuous functions on X. As before, the mapping from $p \in X$ to λ_p as in (10.16) is continuous with respect to the weak* topology on $C(X)^*$. If X is compact, then it follows that the set of λ_p with $p \in X$ is compact in $C(X)^*$ with respect to the weak* topology. This implies that every nonzero complex homomorphism on $C(X)$ is of the form λ_p for some $p \in X$ when X is compact, because the set of these homomorphisms on $C(X)$ is dense in the set of all nonzero complex homomorphisms on $C(X)$ with respect to the weak* topology on $C(X)^*$, as before.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a closed subalgebra of $C_b(X)$ that contains the constant functions and is invariant under complex conjugation again, where X is not necessarily compact. If λ is any nonzero complex homomorphism on $C_b(X)$, then the restriction of λ to $\mathcal{A}$ is a nonzero complex homomorphism on $\mathcal{A}$. This defines a mapping from $\mathcal{H}_1(C_b(X))$ into $\mathcal{H}_1(\mathcal{A})$, which is continuous with respect to the weak* topologies on $C_b(X)^*$ and $\mathcal{A}^*$. Because $\mathcal{H}_1(C_b(X))$ is compact with respect to the weak* topology on $C_b(X)^*$, it follows that the set of complex homomorphisms on $\mathcal{A}$ that are restrictions of nonzero complex homomorphisms on $C_b(X)$ to $\mathcal{A}$ is compact with respect to the weak* topology on $\mathcal{A}^*$. Of course, $\lambda_p \in \mathcal{H}_1(C_b(X))$ for each $p \in X$, and the set $\mathcal{H}_1(\mathcal{A}, X)$ of the restrictions of the λ_p's to $\mathcal{A}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_1(\mathcal{A})$ with respect to the weak* topology on $\mathcal{A}^*$, as before. Thus the set of restrictions of nonzero complex homomorphisms on $C_b(X)$ to $\mathcal{A}$ is also dense in $\mathcal{H}_1(\mathcal{A})$ with respect to the weak* topology on $\mathcal{A}^*$. This shows that every nonzero complex homomorphism on $\mathcal{A}$ can be obtained from the restriction of a nonzero complex homomorphism on $C_b(X)$ to $\mathcal{A}$ under these conditions, because the set of these restrictions is both dense and compact in $\mathcal{H}_1(\mathcal{A})$ with respect to the weak* topology on $\mathcal{A}^*$. In particular, if X is compact, then every nonzero complex homomorphism on $\mathcal{A}$ can be expressed as the restriction of λ_p to $\mathcal{A}$ for some $p \in X$.

Note that the closed subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of $C_b(X)$ given by (10.15) contains the constant functions on X and is invariant under complex conjugation when h is a continuous mapping from X onto a dense subset of a topological space Y.

10.4 The Bohr compactification

Let A be a commutative topological group again, and let $\widehat{A}$ be the dual group of continuous homomorphisms from A into $\mathbf{T}$, as usual. To be precise, let $\widehat{A}_d$ be $\widehat{A}$ as a commutative group equipped with the discrete topology. Thus the dual $B = \widehat{(\widehat{A}_d)}$ of $\widehat{A}_d$ as a discrete group is a compact commutative topological group in a natural way. As in Section 10.1, the mapping from $a \in A$ to Ψ_a defined in (10.1) is a continuous homomorphism from A onto a dense subgroup of B, which is injective exactly when $\widehat{A}$ separates points on A. This group B is known as the *Bohr compactification* of A.

Of course, if A is compact, then B is the same as the second dual of A, and the mapping from $a \in A$ to Ψ_a is an isomorphism from A onto B. Conversely, if the mapping from $a \in A$ to Ψ_a is a homeomorphism from A onto B, then A is compact. Suppose for the moment that the mapping from $a \in A$ to Ψ_a is a homeomorphism from A onto its image in B, with respect to the induced topology. If A is complete in the sense discussed in the next chapter, then it would follow that the image of A in B is complete as well. This would imply that the image of A in B is a closed subgroup of B, and hence is equal to B, because the image of A is dense in B. As before, this would mean that A should be compact under these conditions. In particular, the completeness property of A used here holds when A is locally compact, and when A is metrizable and complete with respect to a translation-invariant metric.

Let h be the usual homomorphism from A into B, and consider the mapping that sends a continuous complex-valued function g on B to $g \circ h \in C_b(A)$. As in the previous section, this is a homomorphism from the algebra $C(B)$ of all continuous complex-valued functions on B into $C_b(A)$ with respect to pointwise addition and multiplication, and an isometry with respect to the corresponding supremum norms, because $h(A)$ is dense in B. It follows that the image $\mathcal{A}$ of $C(B)$ in $C_b(A)$ under the mapping $g \mapsto g \circ h$ is a closed subalgebra of $C_b(A)$ with respect to the supremum norm, which is contained in the algebra $\mathcal{AP}(A)$ of almost periodic functions on A, as before. Because $B = \widehat{(\widehat{A}_d)}$, the dual of B can be identified with $\widehat{A}_d$ in the usual way. Thus characters on A correspond to characters on B by construction, which implies that characters on A are contained in $\mathcal{A}$. This shows that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{AP}(A)$, because finite linear combinations of characters on A are dense in $\mathcal{AP}(A)$, as in Section 10.2.

Each element of B determines a nonzero homomorphism from $C(B)$ into $\mathbf{C}$, as in the previous section, and conversely every nonzero complex homomorphism on $C(B)$ is of this form. It follows that B can also be identified with the set of nonzero complex homomorphisms on $\mathcal{AP}(A)$, since $C(B)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{AP}(A)$ as a Banach algebra. One can show more directly that nonzero complex homomorphisms on $\mathcal{AP}(A)$ determine characters on $\widehat{A}_d$, using the fact that characters on A are almost periodic.

Chapter 11

Completeness

11.1 Directed systems and nets

A nonempty partially-ordered set $(I, \prec)$ is said to be a *directed system* if for every finite collection $i_1, \ldots, i_n$ of elements of I there is a $j \in I$ such that $i_k \prec j$ for each $k = 1, \ldots, n$. A *net* $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ of elements of a set X indexed by I is basically the same as a function defined on I with values in X, which associates to each $i \in I$ an element x_i of X. If X is a topological space, then a net $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ of elements of X is said to *converge* to $x \in X$ if for each open set U in X with $x \in U$ there is an $i(U) \in I$ such that

$$x_j \in U \tag{11.1}$$

for every $j \in I$ that satisfies $i(U) \prec j$. If $I = \mathbf{Z}_+$ with the standard ordering, then this reduces to ordinary convergence of sequences. It is easy to see that the limit of a convergent net in a Hausdorff topological space is unique.

Let X be a topological space, let p be an element of X, and let $\mathcal{B}(p)$ be a local base for the topology of X at p. Thus $\mathcal{B}(p)$ is a collection of open subsets of X, each of which contains p as an element, and if V is any other open set in X that contains p as an element, then there is an element U of $\mathcal{B}(p)$ such that $U \subseteq V$. Also let $\prec$ be the partial ordering on $\mathcal{B}(p)$ corresponding to reverse-inclusion, so that $U \prec W$ when $U, W \in \mathcal{B}(p)$ satisfy $W \subseteq U$. If $U_1, \ldots, U_n$ are finitely many elements of $\mathcal{B}(p)$, then $\bigcap_{j=1}^n U_j$ is an open set in X that contains p, and hence there is an element W of $\mathcal{B}(p)$ such that $W \subseteq \bigcap_{j=1}^n U_j$. Equivalently, $W \subseteq U_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$, which is the same as saying that $U_j \prec W$ for each j, so that $\mathcal{B}(p)$ is a directed system with respect to $\prec$.

Suppose that for each $U \in \mathcal{B}(p)$, $x(U)$ is an element of U. Under these conditions, it is easy to see that $\{x(U)\}_{U \in \mathcal{B}(p)}$ converges to p as a net of elements of X indexed by $\mathcal{B}(p)$. If p is an element of the closure of a set $E \subseteq X$, then one can choose $x(U)$ so that $x(U) \in E \cap U$ for each $U \in \mathcal{B}(p)$. Similarly, if there is a countable local base for the topology of X at p, and if p is in the closure of $E \subseteq X$, then there is a sequence of elements of E that converges to

p. Conversely, if there is a net of elements of E that converges to p in X, then p is contained in the closure of E.

Let Y be another topological space, and let f be a mapping from X into Y. If f is continuous at $p \in X$ and $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a net of elements of X that converges to p, then it is easy to see that $\{f(x_i)\}_{i \in I}$ converges to $f(p)$ as a net of elements of Y. Conversely, if f is not continuous at p, then there is an open set V in Y such that $f(p) \in V$ and $f(U) \not\subseteq V$ for every open set U in X that contains p as an element. Let $\mathcal{B}(p)$ be a local base for the topology of X at p, and for each $U \in \mathcal{B}(p)$ let $x(U)$ be an element of U such that $f(x(U)) \not\in V$. As before, $\{x(U)\}_{U \in \mathcal{B}(p)}$ converges to p as a net of elements of X indexed by $\mathcal{B}(p)$, where $\mathcal{B}(p)$ is ordered by reverse-inclusion. Of course, $\{f(x(U))\}_{U \in \mathcal{B}(p)}$ does not converge to $f(p)$ as a net of elements of Y, because $f(x(U)) \not\in V$ for every $U \in \mathcal{B}(p)$. Similarly, if there is a countable local base for the topology of X at p and f is not continuous at p, then there is a sequence $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of elements of X that converges to p such that $\{f(x_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty$ does not converges to $f(p)$ in Y.

11.2 Cauchy sequences and nets

Remember that a sequence $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of elements of a metric space $(M, d(x,y))$ is said to be a *Cauchy sequence* if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $L(\epsilon) \geq 1$ such that

$$d(x_j, x_l) < \epsilon \tag{11.2}$$

for every $j, l \geq L(\epsilon)$. In particular, it is well known and easy to see that convergent sequences in M are Cauchy sequences. If every Cauchy sequence in M converges to an element of M, then M is said to be complete as a metric space.

Similarly, a sequence $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of elements of a commutative topological group A is said a *Cauchy sequence* if for each open set U in A with $0 \in U$ there is an $L(U) \geq 1$ such that

$$x_j - x_l \in U \tag{11.3}$$

for every $j, l \geq L(U)$. As before, one can check that convergent sequences in A are Cauchy sequences. If A is a commutative topological group and $d(x,y)$ is a translation-invariant metric on A that determines the same topology on A, then it is easy to see that a sequence $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of elements of A is a Cauchy sequence in A as a commutative topological group if and only if $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence with respect to the metric $d(x,y)$. If every Cauchy sequence of elements of A converges to an element of A, then we may say that A is *sequentially complete*. However, if A does not have a countable local base for its topology at 0, then it may be appropriate to consider nets in A as well.

A net $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ of elements of a commutative topological group A is said to be a *Cauchy net* if for each open set $U \subseteq A$ with $0 \in A$ there is an $i(U) \in I$ such that

$$x_j - x_l \in U \tag{11.4}$$

for every $j, l \in I$ with $i(U) \prec j$ and $i(U) \prec l$. As before, this reduces to the previous definition of a Cauchy sequence in A when $I = \mathbf{Z}_+$, and one can check that convergent nets in A are always Cauchy nets. If every Cauchy net of elements of A converges to an element of A, then we say that A is *complete* as a commutative topological group.

Let $\mathcal{B}_0$ be a local base for the topology of A at 0, and let $\prec_0$ be the partial ordering on $\mathcal{B}_0$ corresponding to reverse-inclusion, as in the previous section. Thus $(\mathcal{B}_0, \prec_0)$ is a directed system, as before. If $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a Cauchy net of elements of A indexed by any directed system $(I, \prec)$, then for each $U \in \mathcal{B}_0$ there is an $i(U) \in I$ such that (11.4) holds for every $j, l \in I$ with $i(U) \prec j, l$. Under these conditions, one can check that $\{x_{i(U)}\}_{U \in \mathcal{B}_0}$ is also a Cauchy net in A as a net indexed by $\mathcal{B}_0$. Similarly, if $\{x_{i(U)}\}_{U \in \mathcal{B}_0}$ converges to an element x of A, then one can verify that $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ converges to x too. It follows that completeness of A can be characterized equivalently by the convergence of Cauchy nets indexed by $\mathcal{B}_0$. In particular, if there is a countable local base for the topology of A at 0 and A is sequentially complete, then A is complete.

11.3 Completeness and compactness

Suppose that K is a compact subset of a commutative topological group A. If $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a Cauchy net of elements of A such that $x_i \in K$ for every $i \in I$, then we would like to show that $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ converges in A to an element of K. To do this, for each $i \in I$ let E_i be the closure in A of the set of x_j with $j \in I$ and $i \prec j$, i.e.,

$$E_i = \overline{\{x_j : j \in I,\ i \prec j\}}. \tag{11.5}$$

Let $i_1, \ldots, i_n$ be finitely many elements of I, and let l be an element of I such that $i_r \prec l$ for each $r = 1, \ldots, n$. This implies that

$$E_l \subseteq \bigcap_{r=1}^{n} E_{i_r}, \tag{11.6}$$

and in particular that $\bigcap_{r=1}^{n} E_{i_r} \neq \emptyset$. Thus E_i, $i \in I$, is a family of nonempty closed subsets of K with the "finite intersection property", and a well-known reformulation of compactness implies that

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} E_i \neq \emptyset. \tag{11.7}$$

If $x \in \bigcap_{i \in I} E_i$, then one can check that $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ converges to x in A, as desired.

Suppose now that A is a locally compact commutative topological group, and let $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a Cauchy net of elements of A. Let U be an open set in A such that $0 \in U$ and $\overline{U}$ is a compact set in A, and let $i(U)$ be an element of I such that (11.4) holds for every $j, l \in I$ with $i(U) \prec j, l$. This implies that

$$x_j \in x_{i(U)} + U \subseteq x_{i(U)} + \overline{U} \tag{11.8}$$

for every $j \in I$ with $i(U) \prec j$, where $x_{i(U)} + \overline{U}$ is also a compact set in A. The net consisting of x_j with $j \in I$ such that $i(U) \prec j$ is a Cauchy net of elements of A contained in the compact set $x_{i(U)} + \overline{U}$, which converges to an element of $x_{i(U)} + \overline{U}$ by the argument in the previous paragraph. It follows that the original net $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ converges to the same element of $x_{i(U)} + \overline{U}$, and hence that A is complete.

Let B be a commutative topological group, and let A be a subgroup of B. If $x \in B$ is an element of the closure of A in B, then there is a net $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ of elements of A that converges to x in B, as in Section 11.1. As before, $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a Cauchy net in B, and in fact $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a Cauchy net in A as a topological group with the topology induced by the one on B. If A is complete, then $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ also converges to an element y of A. Because topological groups are Hausdorff, it follows that $x = y$ under these conditions, and hence that $x \in A$. This shows that A is a closed subgroup of B when A is complete as a commutative topological group with respect to the topology induced by the one on B. If there is a countable local base for the topology of B at 0, then one can take $I = \mathbf{Z}_+$ with the standard ordering, and it suffices that A be sequentially complete. In particular, if A is locally compact with respect to the topology induced by the one on B, then A is complete, as in the preceding paragraph, and hence A is a closed subset of B.

As another application, suppose that A is a dense subgroup of a commutative topological group B, and that h is a continuous homomorphism from A into C, with respect to the topology on A induced by the one on B. Let x be an element of B, and let $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a net of elements of A that converges to x in B. As before, $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a Cauchy net of elements of A with respect to the topology induced on A by the one on B. Under these conditions, it is easy to see that $\{h(x_i)\}_{i \in I}$ is a Cauchy net in C. If C is complete, then it follows that $\{h(x_i)\}_{i \in I}$ converges to an element of C. In particular, this holds when C is locally compact. In this case, we would like to define h at x to be the limit of $\{h(x_i)\}_{i \in I}$ in C. To do this, one should check this value of $h(x)$ does not depend on the choice of net $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ of elements of A converging to x. This is not too difficult, and it is more pleasant when the nets are indexed by the same directed system. One might as well use nets indexed by a local base $\mathcal{B}_0$ for the topology of B at 0, which determines a local base for the topology of B at any point, by translation. It is easy to see that this extension of h is a homomorphism from B into C under these conditions. One can also check that this extension is a continuous mapping from B into C, using the fact that C is regular as a topological space. If there is a countable local base for the topology of B at 0, then one can simply use sequences instead of nets, and it suffices for C to be sequentially complete.

11.4 Continuous functions

Let X be a topological space, and let $C(X)$ be the space of continuous complex-valued functions on X. Thus $C(X)$ is a vector space over the complex numbers with respect to pointwise addition and scalar multiplication, and

$$\|f\|_K = \sup_{x \in K} |f(x)| \tag{11.9}$$

is a seminorm on $C(X)$ for each nonempty compact subset K of X. As usual, the collection of these seminorms defines a topology on $C(X)$ that makes $C(X)$ into a locally convex topological vector space, and a commutative topological group with respect to addition in particular. Note that

$$\|f\,g\|_K \le \|f\|_K \, \|g\|_K \tag{11.10}$$

for all continuous functions f, g on X and nonempty compact sets $K \subseteq X$. Using this, one can check that pointwise multiplication of functions on X defines a continuous mapping from $C(X) \times C(X)$ into $C(X)$, so that $C(X)$ is actually a commutative topological algebra.

Suppose that $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a Cauchy net of continuous complex-valued functions on X, as a net of elements of $C(X)$ as a commutative topological group. This means that for each nonempty compact set $K \subseteq X$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $i(K, \epsilon) \in I$ such that

$$\|f_j - f_l\|_K < \epsilon \tag{11.11}$$

for every $j, l \in I$ that satisfy $i(K, \epsilon) \prec j, l$. In particular, $\{f_i(x)\}_{i \in I}$ is a Cauchy net of complex numbers for each $x \in X$, since one can take $K = \{x\}$. It follows that $\{f_i(x)\}_{i \in I}$ converges to a complex number $f(x)$ for each $x \in X$, because $\mathbf{C}$ is complete.

Combining this with (11.11), we get that for each nonempty compact set $K \subseteq X$, $\epsilon > 0$, and $x \in K$,

$$|f_j(x) - f(x)| \le \epsilon \tag{11.12}$$

for every $j \in I$ such that $i(K, \epsilon) \prec j$. This basically says that $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ converges to f uniformly on compact subsets of K, which implies that the restriction of f to any nonempty compact set $K \subseteq X$ is continuous, by standard arguments. If f is continuous on X, then it follows that $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ converges to f in $C(X)$. In particular, if X is locally compact, then the continuity of f on compact subsets of X implies that f is continuous on X.

Alternatively, let $\{p_r\}_{r=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of elements of X that converges to another element p of X, and let K be the subset of X consisting of the p_r's with $r \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and p. It is easy to see that K is compact under these conditions, so that the restriction of f to K is continuous, as before. This implies that $\{f(p_r)\}_{r=1}^{\infty}$ converges to $f(p)$ as a sequence of complex numbers, which is to say that f is sequentially continuous at every point in X. If there is a countable local base for the topology of X at each point in X, then it follows that f is continuous on X.

Let A be a commutative topological group, and let $\widehat{A}$ be the dual group of continuous homomorphisms from A into the multiplicative group $\mathbf{T}$ of complex numbers with modulus 1, as usual. It is easy to see that $\widehat{A}$ is a closed set in

$C(A)$ with respect to the topology described above with $X = A$, and that $\widehat{A}$ is a topological group with respect to the topology induced by the one on $C(A)$. If $\{\phi_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a net of elements of $\widehat{A}$, then one can also check that $\{\phi_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a Cauchy net in $\widehat{A}$ as a commutative topological group with respect to multiplication if and only if $\{\phi_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a Cauchy net in $C(A)$ as a commutative topological group with respect to addition. More precisely, this uses the fact that

$$|z\, w^{-1} - 1| = |z - w| \tag{11.13}$$

for any two complex numbers z, w with modulus equal to 1. It follows that $\widehat{A}$ is complete as a commutative topological group with respect to multiplication when $C(A)$ is complete as a commutative topological group with respect to addition. In particular, this holds when A is locally compact, and when there is a countable local base for the topology of A at 0, as before. Of course, the latter condition implies that there is a countable local base for the topology of A at every point, using translations.

Now let V be any topological vector space over the real numbers, and let V^* be the corresponding dual space of continuous linear functionals on V. Thus V^* may be considered as a linear subspace of the space of all continuous real-valued functions on V, and it is easy to see that V^* is a closed set with respect to the topology defined by the supremum seminorms associated to nonempty compact subsets of V. The topology on V^* determined by the restriction of the supremum seminorms associated to nonempty compact subsets of V to V^* is the same as the one induced by the corresponding topology on $C(V)$. If $C(V)$ is complete as a commutative topological group with respect to addition, then it follows that V^* is complete as a commutative topological group with respect to addition too. As before, this holds in particular when V is locally compact, and when there is a countable local base for the topology of V at 0.

However, it is well known that V is locally compact if and only if it is finite-dimensional. Moreover, if V has finite-dimension n, then V is isomorphic as a vector space to $\mathbf{R}^n$, and any such isomorphism is a homeomorphism as well. Of course, V^* is also isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}^n$ in this case. If V is any vector space over the real numbers with a norm, then there is a natural dual norm on V^*, as in Section 1.11. As before, V^* is also complete with respect to the dual norm, but the dual norm is stronger than the supremum seminorms on V^* corresponding to compact subsets of V, except when V is locally compact and hence finite-dimensional. Note that there are classes of topological vector spaces V defined using inductive limits, for which there is not a countable local base for the topology of V at 0, but for which continuity of linear functionals on V can be characterized in terms of sequential continuity. This still implies that the dual space V^* is complete with respect to the topology determined by the collection of supremum of seminorms associated to nonempty compact subsets of V, for the same reasons as before.

Let V be a topological vector space over the real numbers again, and let λ be a continuous linear functional on V. As in Section 4.2,

$$\phi(v) = \exp(i\, \lambda(v)) \tag{11.14}$$

is a continuous homomorphism from V as a commutative topological group with respect to addition into $\mathbf{T}$, and every continuous homomorphism from V into $\mathbf{T}$ is of this form. The mapping from λ to ϕ defines an isomorphism from V^* as a commutative group with respect to addition onto the dual group $\widehat{V}$ of V as a commutative topological group with respect to addition. Let us check that this mapping is a homeomorphism with respect to the appropriate topologies on V^* and $\widehat{V}$. More precisely, this means the topology on V^* determined by the collection of supremum seminorms associated to nonempty compact subsets of V, and the topology induced on $\widehat{V}$ by the one on $C(A)$ determined by the supremum seminorms associated to nonempty compact subsets of V.

It is easy to see that $\lambda \mapsto \phi$ defines a continuous mapping from V^* onto $\widehat{V}$. Basically, the main point is that if λ and λ' are continuous linear functionals on V that are uniformly close to each other on a compact set $K \subseteq V$, then $\phi = \exp(i\,\lambda)$ and $\phi' = \exp(i\,\lambda')$ are also uniformly close on K, because of the continuity of the exponential function. To show that $\lambda \mapsto \phi$ is a homeomorphism is a bit more complicated, because $\exp(i\,t)$ is a local homeomorphism from $\mathbf{R}$ onto $\mathbf{T}$, and not a homeomorphism. In particular, ϕ and ϕ' are close when λ and λ' are close modulo 2π. To deal with this, let K be a nonempty compact set in V, and consider

$$\widetilde{K} = \{t\,v : v \in K,\ t \in \mathbf{R},\ \text{and } 0 \le t \le 1\}. \tag{11.15}$$

Remember that scalar multiplication on V defines a continuous mapping from $\mathbf{R} \times V$ into V, because V is a topological vector space. If $K \subseteq V$ is compact, then $[0,1] \times K$ is compact in $\mathbf{R} \times V$ with respect to the product topology, which implies that $\widetilde{K}$ is compact as well, since $\widetilde{K}$ is the image of $[0,1] \times K$ under scalar multiplication as a mapping from $\mathbf{R} \times V$ into V. If ϕ and ϕ' are uniformly close on $\widetilde{K}$, then one can check that λ and λ' are uniformly close on $\widetilde{K}$, and hence on K, using the fact that $\lambda(0) = \lambda'(0) = 0$. This permits one to show that $\lambda \mapsto \phi$ is a homeomorphism from V^* onto $\widehat{V}$, as desired.

11.5 Filters

A nonempty collection $\mathcal{F}$ of nonempty subsets of a set X is said to be a *filter* on X if it satifies the following two additional conditions. First,

$$A \cap B \in \mathcal{F} \tag{11.16}$$

for every $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$. Second, if $A \in \mathcal{F}$, $E \subseteq X$, and $A \subseteq E$, then

$$E \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{11.17}$$

Similarly, a nonempty collection $\mathcal{F}^*$ of nonempty subsets of X is said to be a *pre-filter* on X if for every $A, B \in \mathcal{F}^*$ there is a $C \in \mathcal{F}^*$ such that

$$C \subseteq A \cap B. \tag{11.18}$$

Thus every filter $\mathcal{F}$ on X is a pre-filter, with $C = A \cap B$. Conversely, suppose that $\mathcal{F}^*$ is a pre-filter on X, and consider

$$\mathcal{F} = \{E \subseteq X : A \subseteq E \text{ for some } A \in \mathcal{F}^*\}. \tag{11.19}$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{F}$ is a filter on X under these conditions, which is the filter generated by $\mathcal{F}^*$.

Suppose now that X is a topological space. A filter $\mathcal{F}$ on X is said to *converge* to a point $p \in X$ if for every open set U in X with $p \in U$, we have that $U \in \mathcal{F}$. Note that the limit of a convergent filter on X is unique when X is Hausdorff. If p is any element of a set X, then the collection $\mathcal{F}(p)$ of all subsets E of X such that $p \in E$ is a filter on X. If X is a topological space, then this filter $\mathcal{F}(p)$ converges to p on X. If X is equipped with the discrete topology and $\mathcal{F}$ is a filter on X that converges to p, then $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(p)$. If X is any topological space and $p \in X$, then the collection of all open subsets of X that contain p as an element is a pre-filter on X, and the filter on X generated by this pre-filter converges to p.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a filter on a topological space X that converges to a point $p \in X$, and suppose that $E \in \mathcal{F}$. If U is an open set in X that contains p as an element, then $U \in \mathcal{F}$, and hence $U \cap E \in \mathcal{F}$. This implies that $U \cap E \neq \emptyset$, so that p is an element of the closure $\overline{E}$ of E in X. Conversely, suppose that $p \in \overline{E}$, so that $U \cap E \neq \emptyset$ for every open set U in X with $p \in U$. It is easy to see that the collection of subsets of X of the form $U \cap E$ for some open set $U \subseteq X$ that contains p as an element is a pre-filter on X, and that the filter on X generated by this pre-filter converges to p and contains E as an element.

Now let $(I, \prec)$ be a directed system, and let $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a net of elements of a set X indexed by I. Let i be an element of I, and put

$$B_i = \{x_l : l \in I,\, i \prec l\}. \tag{11.20}$$

If $i, j \in I$, then there is a $k \in I$ such that $i, j \prec k$, because I is a directed system, and hence

$$B_k \subseteq B_i \cap B_j. \tag{11.21}$$

This implies that the collection of subsets of X of the form B_i for some $i \in I$ is a pre-filter on X. If X is a topological space, then it is easy to see that the filter on X generated by this pre-filter converges to a point $p \in X$ if and only if $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ converges to p as a net of elements of X.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a filter on a set X, and suppose that $I \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ is a pre-filter on X that generates $\mathcal{F}$. Let $\prec$ be the partial ordering on I defined by reverse-inclusion, so that $A \prec B$ when $A, B \in I$ and $B \subseteq A$. Observe that $(I, \prec)$ is a directed system, because I is a pre-filter on X. Let $\{x_B\}_{B \in I}$ be a net of elements of X indexed by I such that $x_B \in B$ for every $B \in I$. If X is a topological space, and if $\mathcal{F}$ converges as a filter on X to a point $p \in X$, then every net of elements of X indexed by I of this type also converges to p, because $\mathcal{F}$ is generated by I. If $\mathcal{F}$ does not converge to p, then there is an open set U in X such that $p \in U$ and $U \not\in \mathcal{F}$. This implies that $B \not\subseteq U$ for each $U \in I$, so that for each $B \in I$ there is an $x_B \in B \backslash U$. In this case, $\{x_B\}_{B \in I}$ is a net of elements of X associated to $\mathcal{F}$ as before, and $\{x_B\}_{B \in I}$ does not converge to p in X.

Let X and Y be sets, and let f be a mapping from X into Y. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a filter on X, then

$$f_*(\mathcal{F}) = \{E \subseteq Y : f^{-1}(E)\} \tag{11.22}$$

is a filter on Y. Equivalently, the collection of subsets of Y of the form $f(B)$ for some $B \in \mathcal{F}$ is a pre-filter on Y, and $f_*(\mathcal{F})$ is the same as the filter on Y generated by this pre-filter. If X and Y are topological spaces, $\mathcal{F}$ is a filter on X that converges to a point $p \in X$, and $f : X \to Y$ is continuous at p, then it is easy to see that $f_*(\mathcal{F})$ converges to $f(p)$ on Y. Conversely, if $\mathcal{F}$ is the filter on X generated by the pre-filter of all open sets in X that contain p as an element, and if $f_*(\mathcal{F})$ converges to $f(p)$ in Y, then f is continuous at p.

A filter $\mathcal{F}$ on a commutative topological group A is said to be a *Cauchy filter* if for each open set U in A with $0 \in U$ there is an $E \in \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$E - E = \{x - y : x, y \in E\} \subseteq U. \tag{11.23}$$

If $\mathcal{F}$ converges to a point $p \in X$, then it is easy to see that $\mathcal{F}$ is a Cauchy filter on A. Suppose that $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a net of elements of A, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be the filter on X generated by the sets B_i with $i \in I$ as in (11.20). Observe that $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a Cauchy net in A if and only if $\mathcal{F}$ is a Cauchy filter. Now let $\mathcal{F}$ be any filter on A, let $I \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ be a pre-filter that generates $\mathcal{F}$, and let $\{x_B\}_{B \in I}$ be a net of elements of A indexed by I such that $x_B \in B$ for each $B \in I$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a Cauchy filter on A, then $\{x_B\}_{B \in I}$ is a Cauchy net in A. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a Cauchy filter on A and $\{x_B\}_{B \in I}$ converges to a point $p \in A$, then one can check that $\mathcal{F}$ converges to p as well. It follows that A is complete in the sense that every Cauchy net of elements of A converges to an element of A if and only if every Cauchy filter on A converges to an element of A.

Let h be a continuous homomorphism from A into another commutative topological group C. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a Cauchy filter on A, then it is easy to see that $h_*(\mathcal{F})$ is a Cauchy filter on C. In particular, suppose that A is a dense subgroup of a topological group B, and let x be any element of B. Let $\mathcal{F}^*$ be the collection of subsets of A of the form $U \cap A$, where U is an open set in B that contains x as an element. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{F}^*$ is a pre-filter on A, and that the filter $\mathcal{F}$ on A generated by $\mathcal{F}^*$ is a Cauchy filter on A. Of course, $\mathcal{F}^*$ may also be considered as a pre-filter on B, that generates a filter on B that converges to x, as before. At any rate, $h_*(\mathcal{F})$ is a Cauchy filter on C, which converges to an element of C when C is complete. This gives another way to look at the extension of h to a continuous homomorphism from B into C when C is complete, as discussed at the end of Section 11.3.

11.6 Refinements

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a filter on a set X. A filter $\mathcal{F}'$ on X is said to be a *refinement* of $\mathcal{F}$ if $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}'$ as collections of subsets of X. In particular, $\mathcal{F}$ may be considered as a refinement of itself. If X is a topological space and $\mathcal{F}$ converges to a point $p \in X$, then every refinement of $\mathcal{F}$ converges to p too.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a filter on a topological space X, and let p be an element of X. If there is a refinement $\mathcal{F}'$ of $\mathcal{F}$ that converges to p, then $p \in \overline{E}$ for every $E \in \mathcal{F}'$, as in the previous section. In particular, $p \in \overline{E}$ for every $E \in \mathcal{F}$. Conversely, suppose that $p \in \overline{E}$ for every $E \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $\mathcal{F}^*$ be the collection of subsets of X of the form $U \cap E$, where U is an open set in X that contains p as an element, and $E \in \mathcal{F}$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{F}^*$ is a pre-filter on X, and that the filter $\mathcal{F}'$ generated by $\mathcal{F}$ is a refinement of $\mathcal{F}$ that converges to p. This shows that there is a refinement of $\mathcal{F}$ that converges to $p \in X$ if and only if $p \in \bigcap_{E \in \mathcal{F}} \overline{E}$.

Let X be a topological space again, and let K be a subset of X. Also let I be a nonempty set, and suppose that E_i is a closed set in X for each $i \in I$. We say that $\{E_i\}_{i \in I}$ satisfies the *finite intersection property* with respect to K if

$$K \cap E_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap E_{i_n} \neq \emptyset \tag{11.24}$$

for every finite collection of indices $i_1, \ldots, i_n$ in I. If $\{E_i\}_{i \in I}$ has the finite intersection property with respect to K and K is compact, then

$$K \cap \Big(\bigcap_{i \in I} E_i\Big) \neq \emptyset. \tag{11.25}$$

To see this, suppose for the sake of a contradiction that $K \cap \Big(\bigcap_{i \in I} E_i\Big) = \emptyset$, which is the same as saying that $K \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I}(X \backslash E_i)$. Thus $\{X \backslash E_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an open covering of K in X. If K is compact, then there are finitely many indices $i_1, \ldots, i_n \in I$ such that $K \subseteq \bigcup_{l=1}^{n}(X \backslash E_{i_l})$, contradicting (11.24). Conversely, if (11.25) holds for every collection $\{E_i\}_{i \in I}$ of closed subsets of X with the finite intersection property with respect to K, then K is compact. Indeed, if $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an open covering of K in X for which there is no finite subcovering, then the collection of closed sets $E_i = X \backslash U_i$ with $i \in I$ has the finite intersection property with respect to K, but (11.25) does not hold.

Suppose that $K \subseteq X$ is compact, and that $\mathcal{F}$ is a filter on X that contains K as an element. If $E_1, \ldots, E_n$ are finitely many elements of $\mathcal{F}$, then

$$K \cap E_1 \cap \cdots \cap E_n \tag{11.26}$$

is also an element of $\mathcal{F}$, and hence is nonempty. In particular,

$$K \cap \overline{E_1} \cap \cdots \cap \overline{E_n} \neq \emptyset, \tag{11.27}$$

so that the collection of closed subsets of X of the form $\overline{E}$ for some $E \in \mathcal{F}$ has the finite intersection property with respect to K. This implies that

$$K \cap \Big(\bigcap_{E \in \mathcal{F}} \overline{E}\Big) \neq \emptyset, \tag{11.28}$$

because K is compact, as in the previous paragraph. It follows that there is a refinement $\mathcal{F}'$ of $\mathcal{F}$ that converges to an element of K, as discussed earlier.

Conversely, suppose that $K \subseteq X$ has the property that every filter $\mathcal{F}$ on X that contains K as an element has a refinement that converges to an element of K, and let us show that K is compact. To do this, let $\{E_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an arbitrary collection of closed subsets of X with the finite intersection property with respect to K, and let us check that (11.25) holds. Let $\mathcal{F}^*$ be the collection of subsets of X of the form

$$K \cap E_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap E_{i_n}, \tag{11.29}$$

where $i_1, \ldots, i_n$ are finitely many indices in I. Because $\{E_i\}_{i \in I}$ has the finite intersection property with respect to K, subsets of X of the form (11.29) are nonempty, and it is easy to see that $\mathcal{F}^*$ is a pre-filter on X. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the filter on X generated by $\mathcal{F}^*$, and observe that $K \in \mathcal{F}$, by construction. Hence there is a refinement $\mathcal{F}'$ of $\mathcal{F}$ that converges to an element p of K, by hypothesis. It follows that $p \in \overline{E}$ for every $E \in \mathcal{F}'$ and thus every $E \in \mathcal{F}$, as discussed earlier. In particular, $p \in E_i$ for each $i \in I$, because E_i is a closed set in X, so that (11.25) holds, as desired.

Now let A be a commutative topological group, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Cauchy filter on A. If $\mathcal{F}'$ is a refinement of $\mathcal{F}$ that converges to a point $p \in X$, then $\mathcal{F}$ converges to p as well. To see this, let W be an open set in A that contains 0, and let U and V be open subsets of A that contain 0 and satisfy

$$U + V \subseteq W. \tag{11.30}$$

Of course, the existence of U and V follows from the continuity of addition at 0 on A. Because $\mathcal{F}$ is a Cauchy filter on A, there is an $E \in \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$E - E \subseteq U. \tag{11.31}$$

Similarly, $p + V \in \mathcal{F}'$, because $\mathcal{F}'$ converges to p on A. In particular, $p + V \in \mathcal{F}$, so that $E \cap (p + V) \in \mathcal{F}$ too, and hence $E \cap (p + V) \neq \emptyset$. If $x \in E \cap (p + V)$, then we get that

$$E \subseteq x + U \subseteq p + U + V \subseteq p + W. \tag{11.32}$$

More precisely, this uses (11.31) and the fact that $x \in E$ in the first step, the fact that $x \in p + V$ in the second step, and (11.30) in the third step. It follows that $p + W \in \mathcal{F}$, so that $\mathcal{F}$ converges to p on X, as desired.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Cauchy filter on A again, and suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ contains a compact set $K \subseteq X$ as an element. As before, there is a refinement $\mathcal{F}'$ of $\mathcal{F}$ that converges to an element p of K, which implies that $\mathcal{F}$ converges to p as well. Suppose now that A is locally compact, and let U be an open set in A such that $0 \in U$ and $\overline{U}$ is compact. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a Cauchy filter on A, then there is an $E \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $E - E \subseteq U$, which implies that

$$E \subseteq x + U \subseteq x + \overline{U} \tag{11.33}$$

for every $x \in E$. It follows that $x + \overline{U}$ is a compact set in X which is also an element of $\mathcal{F}$ for each $x \in E$, and hence that $\mathcal{F}$ converges to an element of A under these conditions.

11.7 Ultrafilters

A filter $\mathcal{F}$ on a set X is said to be an *ultrafilter* if it is maximal with respect to refinements. More precisely, this means that if $\mathcal{F}'$ is a filter on X which is a refinement of $\mathcal{F}$, then $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F}$. If $p \in X$ and $\mathcal{F}(p)$ is the collection of subsets E of X such that $p \in E$, then it is easy to see that $\mathcal{F}(p)$ is an ultrafilter on X. If $\mathcal{F}$ is any filter on X, then there is a refinement of $\mathcal{F}$ which is an ultrafilter, by standard arguments based on the axiom of choice through Zorn's lemma or the Hausdorff maximality principle.

Suppose that X is a topological space, and that $K \subseteq X$ is compact. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a filter on X that contains K as an element, then there is a refinement $\mathcal{F}'$ of $\mathcal{F}$ that converges to an element of K, as in the previous section. If $\mathcal{F}$ is an ultrafilter on X, then it follows that $\mathcal{F}$ converges to an element of K. Conversely, suppose that $K \subseteq X$ has the property that every ultrafilter on X that contains K as an element converges to an element of K. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be any filter on X that contains K as an element, and let $\mathcal{F}'$ be a refinement of $\mathcal{F}$ which is an ultrafilter. In particular, $\mathcal{F}'$ contains K as an element, and so $\mathcal{F}'$ converges to an element of K, by hypothesis. Thus $\mathcal{F}$ has a refinement that converges to an element of K, which implies that K is compact, as in the previous section.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an filter on a set X, and suppose that $B \subseteq X$ has the property that $B \cap E \neq \emptyset$ for every $E \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $\mathcal{F}^*$ be the collection of subsets of X of the form $B \cap E$ for some $E \in \mathcal{F}$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{F}^*$ is a pre-filter on X, and that the filter $\mathcal{F}'$ generated by $\mathcal{F}^*$ is a refinement of $\mathcal{F}$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is an ultrafilter on X, then it follows that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}'$. In particular, this implies that $B \in \mathcal{F}$ under these conditions. Conversely, suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is a filter on a set X with the property that if $B \subseteq X$ satisfies $B \cap E \neq \emptyset$ for every $E \in \mathcal{F}$, then $B \in \mathcal{F}$. Let us check that $\mathcal{F}$ is an ultrafilter on X in this case. Let $\mathcal{F}'$ be a refinement of $\mathcal{F}$, and let B be any element of $\mathcal{F}'$. If $E \in \mathcal{F}$, then $E \in \mathcal{F}'$, which implies that $B \cap E \in \mathcal{F}'$, and hence that $B \cap E \neq \emptyset$. By hypothesis, it follows that $B \in \mathcal{F}$, so that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}'$, as desired.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an ultrafilter on a set X, and let B be any subset of X. If $B \cap E \neq \emptyset$ for every $E \in \mathcal{F}$, then $B \in \mathcal{F}$, as in the preceding paragraph. Otherwise, if $B \cap E = \emptyset$ for some $E \in \mathcal{F}$, then $E \subseteq X \backslash B$, which implies that $X \backslash B \in \mathcal{F}$, because $\mathcal{F}$ is a filter on X. Conversely, suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is a filter on X with the property that for each $B \subseteq X$, either $B \in \mathcal{F}$ or $X \backslash B \in \mathcal{F}$. Let us show that this implies that $\mathcal{F}$ is an ultrafilter on X. Let B be a subset of X such that $B \cap E \neq \emptyset$ for each $E \in \mathcal{F}$. If $X \backslash B \in \mathcal{F}$, then we get a contradiction, by applying the previous condition to $E = X \backslash B$. This implies that $B \in \mathcal{F}$ in this situation, and hence that $\mathcal{F}$ is an ultrafilter on X, by the criterion in the previous paragraph.

Now let A be a commutative topological group. A subset K of A is said to be *totally bounded* in A if for each open set U in A with $0 \in U$, K is contained in the union of finitely many translates of U. If K is compact, then it is easy to see that K is totally bounded, by covering K by translates of U and reducing to a finite subcovering by compactness. If $d(x, y)$ is a translation-invariant metric on A that determines the same topology on A, then one can check that $K \subseteq A$

is totally bounded as a subset of A as a commutative topological group if and only if K is totally bounded as a subset of A as a metric space with respect to the metric $d(x, y)$.

Suppose that $K \subseteq A$ is totally bounded, and that $\mathcal{F}$ is an ultrafilter on A that contains K as an element. Let us check that $\mathcal{F}$ is a Cauchy filter on A under these conditions. Let U be an open set in A with $0 \in U$, and let V be an open set in A such that $0 \in V$ and $V - V \subseteq U$. Because K is totally bounded in A, there are finitely many elements $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ of A such that

$$K \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} (x_j + V). \tag{11.34}$$

If $x_j + V \in \mathcal{F}$ for some j, then we get the desired Cauchy condition, since

$$(x_j + V) - (x_j + V) = V - V \subseteq U. \tag{11.35}$$

Otherwise, $A \backslash (x_j + V) \in \mathcal{F}$ for each $j = 1, \ldots, n$, because $\mathcal{F}$ is an ultrafilter on A. Of course, this implies that $\bigcap_{j=1}^{n} (A \backslash (x_j + V)) \in \mathcal{F}$, because $\mathcal{F}$ is a filter on A. Equivalently, this means that $A \backslash \Big(\bigcup_{j=1}^{m} (x_j + V) \Big) \in \mathcal{F}$, which contradicts (11.34) and the fact that $K \in \mathcal{F}$.

Suppose now that A is complete, and that $K \subseteq A$ is both closed and totally bounded. If $\mathcal{F}$ is an ultrafilter on A that contains K as an element, then $\mathcal{F}$ is a Cauchy filter on A, as in the preceding paragraph. This implies that $\mathcal{F}$ converges to an element p of A, because A is complete, and that $p \in K$, because K is closed in A. It follows that K is a compact set in A under these conditions.

Let X and Y be sets, and let f be a mapping from X into Y. If $\mathcal{F}$ is an ultrafilter on X, then the corresponding filter $f_*(\mathcal{F})$ on Y as in (11.22) is an ultrafilter on Y. To see this, it suffices to check that for each subset B of Y, either $B \in f_*(\mathcal{F})$ or $Y \backslash B \in f_*(\mathcal{F})$. This is the same as saying that $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{F}$ or $f^{-1}(Y \backslash B) \in \mathcal{F}$, because of the way that $f_*(\mathcal{F})$ is defined. Of course, $f^{-1}(Y \backslash B) = X \backslash f^{-1}(B)$, and either $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{F}$ or $X \backslash f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{F}$, as desired, because $\mathcal{F}$ is an ultrafilter on X.

Now let I be a nonempty set, and suppose that X_i is a topological space for each $i \in I$. Also let $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ be the Cartesian product of the X_i's, equipped with the product topology. If K_i is a compact subset of X_i for each $i \in I$, then Tychonoff's theorem states that $K = \prod_{i \in I} K_i$ is a compact set in X. There is a nice proof of this using ultrafilters, as follows. It suffices to show that if $\mathcal{F}$ is an ultrafilter on X that contains K as an element, then $\mathcal{F}$ converges to a point in K. Let p_i be the obvious coordinate projection from X onto X_i for each $i \in I$, so that $(p_i)_*(\mathcal{F})$ is an ultrafilter on X_i for each $i \in I$, as in the previous paragraph. It is easy to see that $K_i \in (p_i)_*(\mathcal{F})$ for each $i \in I$, so that $(p_i)_*(\mathcal{F})$ converges to an element x_i of K_i for each $i \in I$, because K_i is compact. Using this, one can check that $\mathcal{F}$ converges to the point $x \in K$ defined by $p_i(x) = x_i$ for each $i \in I$, as desired.

11.8 Equicontinuity

Let X be a topological space, and let $C(X)$ be the space of continuous complex-valued functions o X, as usual. A collection E of complex-valued functions on X is said to be *equicontinuous* at a point $p \in X$ if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is an open set $U \subseteq X$ such that

$$|f(p) - f(q)| < \epsilon \tag{11.36}$$

for every $q \in U$ and $f \in E$. Of course, this implies that each $f \in E$ is continuous at p. Suppose now that $E \subseteq C(X)$ is equicontinuous at every point $p \in X$, and let K be a nonempty compact subset of X.

Also let $\epsilon > 0$ be given, and for each $p \in K$, let $U(p)$ be an open set in X such that $p \in U(p)$ and

$$|f(p) - f(q)| < \epsilon/3 \tag{11.37}$$

for every $q \in U$ and $f \in E$. Because K is compact, there are finitely many elements $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ of K such that

$$K \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} U(p_j). \tag{11.38}$$

If $f, g \in E$ and $q \in U(p_j)$ for some $j = 1, \ldots, n$, then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(q) - g(q)| &\le |f(q) - f(p_j)| + |f(p_j) - g(p_j)| + |g(p_j) - g(q)| \\ &< |f(p_j) - g(p_j)| + 2\,\epsilon/3. \end{aligned} \tag{11.39}$$

This and (11.38) imply that

$$|f(q) - g(q)| < \max_{1 \le j \le n} |f(p_j) - g(p_j)| + 2\,\epsilon/3 \tag{11.40}$$

for every $f, g \in E$ and $q \in K$.

Suppose in addition that E is uniformly bounded pointwise on X. More precisely, this means that for each $p \in X$ there is a nonnegative real number $C(p)$ such that

$$|f(p)| \le C(p) \tag{11.41}$$

for every $f \in E$. If we consider

$$(f(p_1), \ldots, f(p_n)) \tag{11.42}$$

as an element of $\mathbf{C}^n$ for each $f \in C(X)$, then it follows that the set of (11.42) with $f \in E$ is a bounded subset of $\mathbf{C}^n$. Since bounded subsets of $\mathbf{C}^n$ are totally bounded, we get that there are finitely many functions $f_1, \ldots, f_r$ in E with the property that for each $f \in E$ there is an $l = 1, \ldots, r$ such that

$$\max_{1 \le j \le n} |f(p_j) - f_l(p_j)| < \epsilon/3. \tag{11.43}$$

Combining this with (11.40), we get that

$$\sup_{q \in K} |f(q) - f_l(q)| < \epsilon. \tag{11.44}$$

As before, $C(X)$ is a topological vector space with respect to the topology determined by the collection of supremum seminorms associated to nonempty compact subsets of X. In particular, $C(X)$ is a commutative topological group with respect to addition and this topology. The previous discussion shows that $E \subseteq C(X)$ is totally bounded in $C(X)$ as a topological group with respect to addition and this topology when E is equicontinuous at every point in X and uniformly bounded pointwise on X.

If E is also a closed set in $C(X)$, then E is compact with respect to this topology. This follows from the discussion in the previous section when $C(X)$ is complete as a commutative topological group with respect to addition, which we have seen holds when X is locally compact, and when there is a countable local base for the topology of X at each point. Otherwise, one can show directly that $E \subseteq C(X)$ is complete in the sense that any Cauchy net of elements of E converges to an element of E when E is equicontinuous at each point in X and closed in $C(X)$. Indeed, if a net of elements of E converges pointwise to a function f on X, and if E is equicontinuous at every point in X, then it is easy to see that f is continuous on X. Using this, one can check that any Cauchy net of element of E converges to an element of E with respect to the usual topology on $C(X)$ when E is equicontinuous at each point in X and closed in $C(X)$, by essentially the same arguments as in the other cases of completeness. As before, this implies the analogous completeness condition in terms of Cauchy filters, which can also be checked directly in a similar way. Alternatively, one can embed E in a Cartesian product, as in Section 4.7.

In the other direction, if $E \subseteq C(X)$ is totally bounded, then E is uniformly bounded pointwise on X, as well as on compact subsets of X. If, in addition, X is locally compact, then E is equicontinuous at every point in X. Otherwise, the restrictions of the elements of E to compact subsets of X are equicontinuous at each point.

Let $C(X, \mathbf{T})$ be the space of continuous mappings from X into the unit circle $\mathbf{T}$, which is a closed subset of $C(X)$ with respect to the usual topology. It is easy to see that $C(X, \mathbf{T})$ is also a commutative topological group with respect to pointwise multiplication of functions and the topology on $C(X, \mathbf{T})$ induced by the one on $C(X)$. As in Section 11.4, a net of elements of $C(X, \mathbf{T})$ is a Cauchy net of with respect to addition if and only if it is a Cauchy net with respect to multiplication, because of (11.13). Similarly, a set $E \subseteq C(X, \mathbf{T})$ is totally bounded as a subset of $C(X, \mathbf{T})$ as a topological group with respect to multiplication if and only if E is totally bounded as a subset of $C(X)$ as a topological group with respect to addition.

Bibliography

[1] D. Armacost, *The Structure of Locally Compact Abelian Groups*, Dekker, 1981.

[2] M. Atiyah and I. Macdonald, *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*, Addison-Welsey, 1969.

[3] W. Arveson, *A Short Course on Spectral Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 2002.

[4] G. Bachman and L. Narici, *Functional Analysis*, Dover, 2000.

[5] L. Baggett, *Functional Analysis: A Primer*, Dekker, 1992.

[6] R. Beals, *Topics in Operator Theory*, University of Chicago Press, 1971.

[7] R. Beals, *Advanced Mathematical Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, 1973.

[8] R. Beals, *Analysis: An Introduction*, Cambridge University Press, 2004.

[9] J. Benedetto and W. Czaja, *Integration and Modern Analysis*, Birkhäuser, 2009.

[10] S. Berberian, *Introduction to Hilbert Space*, Chelsea, 1976.

[11] S. Berberian, *Lectures in Functional Analysis and Operator Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1974.

[12] S. Berberian, *Measure and Integration*, AMS Chelsea, 2011.

[13] R. Bhatia, *Notes on Functional Analysis*, Hindustan Book Agency, 2009.

[14] G. Birkhoff and S. Mac Lane, *A Survey of Modern Algebra*, 4th edition, Macmillan, 1977.

[15] S. Bochner, *Lectures on Fourier Integrals*, with an author's supplement on monotone functions, Stieltjes integrals, and harmonic analysis, translated by M. Tenenbaum and H. Pollard, Annals of Mathematics Studies **42**, Princeton University Press, 1959.

[16] S. Bochner and K. Chandrasekharan, *Fourier Transforms*, Annals of Mathematics Studies **19**, Princeton University Press, 1949.

[17] H. Brezis, *Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, 2011.

[18] A. Browder, *Introduction to Function Algebras*, Benjamin, 1969.

[19] A. Browder, *Mathematical Analysis: An Introduction*, Springer-Verlag, 1996.

[20] J. Cassels, *Local Fields*, Cambridge University Press, 1986.

[21] J. Cerdà, *Linear Functional Analysis*, American Mathematical Society, Real Sociedad Matemática Española, 2010.

[22] K. Chandrasekharan, *A Course on Topological Groups*, Hindustan Book Agency, 2011.

[23] D. Cohn, *Measure Theory*, Birkhäuser, 1993.

[24] R. Coifman and G. Weiss, *Analyse Harmonique Non-Commutative sur Certains Espaces Homogènes*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **242**, Springer-Verlag, 1971.

[25] R. Coifman and G. Weiss, *Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society **83** (1977), 569–645.

[26] R. Coifman and G. Weiss, *Tranference Methods in Analysis*, Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences Regional Conference Series in Mathematics **31**, American Mathematical Society, 1976.

[27] J. Conway, *A Course in Functional Analysis*, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, 1990.

[28] G. David and S. Semmes, *Fractured Fractals and Broken Dreams: Self-Similar Geometry through Metric and Measure*, Oxford Uiversoty Press, 1997.

[29] A. Deitmar, *A First Course in Harmonic Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, 2002.

[30] A. Deitmar and S. Echterhoff, *Principles of Harmonic Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, 2009.

[31] R. Douglas, *Banach Algebra Techniques in Operator Theory*, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, 1998.

[32] J. Duoandikoetxea, *Fourier Analysis*, translated and revised from the 1995 Spanish original by D. Cruz-Uribe, SFO, American Mathematical Society, 2001.

[33] P. Duren, *Theory of H^p Spaces*, Academic Press, 1970.

[34] Y. Eidelman, V. Milman, and A. Tsolomitis, *Functional Analysis: An Introduction*, American Mathematical Society, 2004.

[35] K. Falconer, *The Geometry of Fractal Sets*, Cambridge University Press, 1986.

[36] K. Falconer, *Fractal Geometry: Mathematical Foundations and Applications*, 2nd edition, Wiley, 2003.

[37] G. Folland, *A Course in Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, CRC Press, 1995.

[38] G. Folland, *Real Analysis*, 2nd edition, Wiley, 1999.

[39] G. Folland, *A Guide to Advanced Real Analysis*, Mathematical Association of America, 2009.

[40] G. Folland and E. Stein, *Hardy Spaces on Homogeneous Groups*, Mathematical Notes **28**, Princeton University Press, 1982.

[41] T. Gamelin, *Uniform Algebras*, Prentice-Hall, 1969.

[42] T. Gamelin, *Uniform Algebras and Jensen Measures*, Cambridge University Press, 1978.

[43] T. Gamelin, *Complex Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, 2001.

[44] T. Gamelin and R. Greene, *Introduction to Topology*, 2nd edition, Dover, 1999.

[45] J. Garnett, *Bounded Analytic Functions*, revised edition, Springer-Verlag, 2007.

[46] B. Gelbaum, *Modern Real and Complex Analysis*, Wiley, 1995.

[47] F. Gouvêa, *p-Adic Numbers: An Introduction*, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, 1997.

[48] R. Greene and S. Krantz, *Function Theory of One Complex Variable*, 3rd edition, American Mathematical Society, 2006.

[49] P. Halmos, *Measure Theory*, Van Nostrand, 1950.

[50] P. Halmos, *Lectures on Ergodic Theory*, Chelsea, 1960.

[51] P. Halmos, *Finite-Dimensional Vector Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1974.

[52] P. Halmos, *A Hilbert Space Problem Book*, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, 1982.

[53] P. Halmos, *Introduction to Hilbert Space and the Theory of Spectral Multiplicity*, AMS Chelsea, 1998.

[54] V. Hansen, *Functional Analysis: Entering Hilbert Space*, World Scientific, 2006.

[55] J. Heinonen, *Lectures on Analysis on Metric Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 2001.

[56] E. Hewitt and K. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, Volumes I and II, Springer-Verlag, 1970, 1979.

[57] E. Hewitt and K. Stromberg, *Real and Abstract Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, 1975.

[58] P. Higgins, *Introduction to Topological Groups*, Cambridge University Press, 1974.

[59] F. Hirsch and G. Lacombe, *Elements of Functional Analysis*, translated from the 1997 French original by S. Levy, Springer-Verlag, 1999.

[60] K. Hoffman, *Banach Spaces of Analytic Functions*, Dover, 1988.

[61] K. Hofmann and S. Morris, *The Structure of Compact Groups*, de Gruyter, 2006.

[62] K. Hofmann and S. Morris, *The Lie Theory of Connected Pro-Lie Groups*, European Mathematical Society, 2007.

[63] W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, *Dimension Theory*, Princeton University Press, 1974.

[64] I. James, *Introduction to Uniform Spaces*, Cambridge University Press, 1990.

[65] I. James, *Topologies and Uniformities*, Springer-Verlag, 1999.

[66] F. Jones, *Lebesgue Integration on Euclidean Space*, Jones and Bartlett, 1993.

[67] E. Kaniuth, *A Course in Commutative Banach Algebras*, Springer-Verlag, 2009.

[68] S. Kantorovitz, *Introduction to Modern Analysis*, Oxford University Press, 2003.

[69] I. Kaplansky, *Set Theory and Metric Spaces*, 2nd edition, Chelsea, 1977.

[70] I. Kaplansky, *Lie Algebras and Locally Compact Groups*, University of Chicago Press, 1995.

[71] Y. Katznelson, *An Introduction to Harmonic Analysis*, 3rd edition, Cambridge University Press, 2004.

[72] J. Kelley, *General Topology*, Springer-Verlag, 1975.

[73] J. Kelley, I. Namioka, et al., *Linear Topological Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1976.

[74] J. Kelley and T. Srinivasan, *Measure and Integral*, Springer-Verlag, 1988.

[75] S. Kesavan, *Functional Analysis*, Hindustn Book Agency, 2009.

[76] A. Knapp, *Basic Real Analysis*, Birkhäuser, 2005.

[77] A. Knapp, *Advanced Real Analysis*, Birkhäuser, 2005.

[78] P. Koosis, *Introduction to H_p Spaces*, 2nd edition, with two appendices by V. Havin, Cambridge University Press, 1998.

[79] S. Krantz, *A Panorama of Harmonic Analysis*, Mathematical Association of America, 1999.

[80] S. Krantz, *Real Analysis and Foundations*, 2nd edition, Chapman & Hall / CRC, 2005.

[81] S. Krantz, *Geometric Function Theory*, Birkhäuser, 2006.

[82] S. Krantz, *A Guide to Complex Variables*, Mathematical Association of America, 2008.

[83] S. Krantz, *A Guide to Real Variables*, Mathematical Association of America, 2009.

[84] S. Krantz, *A Guide to Topology*, Mathematical Association of America, 2009.

[85] S. Krantz, *Essentials of Topology with Applications*, CRC Press, 2010.

[86] S. Lang, *Real and Functional Analysis*, 3rd edition, Springer-Verlag, 1993.

[87] S. Lang, *Undergraduate Analysis*, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, 1997.

[88] P. Lax, *Functional Analysis*, Wiley, 2002.

[89] E. Lorch, *Spectral Theory*, Oxford University Press, 1962.

[90] B. MacCluer, *Elementary Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, 2009.

[91] S. Mac Lane and G. Birkhoff, *Algebra*, 3rd edition, AMS Chelsea, 1999.

[92] G. McCarty, *Topology: An Introduction with Application to Topological Groups*, 2nd edition, Dover, 1988.

[93] P. Mattila, *Geometry of Sets and Measures in Euclidean Spaces*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.

[94] D. Montgomery and L. Zippin, *Topological Transformation Groups*, Krieger, 1974.

[95] L. Nachbin, *The Haar Integral*, translated from the Portuguese by L. Bechtolsheim, Krieger, 1976.

[96] J. von Neumann, *Invariant Measures*, American Mathematical Society, 1999.

[97] W. Page, *Topological Uniform Structures*, Dover, 1988.

[98] D. Promislow, *A First Course in Functional Analysis*, Wiley, 2008.

[99] H. Reiter and J. Stegeman, *Classical Harmonic Analysis and Locally Compact Groups*, 2nd edition, Oxford University Press, 2000.

[100] A. Robertson and W. Robertson, *Topological Vector Spaces*, 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press, 1980.

[101] H. Royden, *Real Analysis*, 3rd edition, Macmillan, 1988.

[102] W. Rudin, *Principles of Mathematical Analysis*, 3rd edition, McGraw-Hill, 1976.

[103] W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, 3rd edition, McGraw-Hill, 1987.

[104] W. Rudin, *Fourier Analysis on Groups*, Wiley, 1990.

[105] W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, 2nd edition, McGraw-Hill, 1991.

[106] B. Rynne and M. Youngson, *Linear Functional Analysis*, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, 2008.

[107] D. Sarason, *Function Theory on the Unit Circle*, Department of Mathematics, Virginia Polytechnic and State University, 1978.

[108] D. Sarason, *Complex Function Theory*, 2nd edition, American Mathematical Society, 2007.

[109] K. Saxe, *Beginning Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, 2002.

[110] M. Schechter, *Principles of Functional Analysis*, 2nd edition, American Mathematical Society, 2002.

[111] S. Semmes, *Some Novel Types of Fractal Geometry*, Oxford University Press, 2001.

[112] J.-P. Serre, *Lie Algebras and Lie Groups*, corrected 5th printing of the 2nd edition, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1500**, Springer-Verlag, 2006.

[113] E. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton University Press, 1970.

[114] E. Stein, *Topics in Harmonic Analysis Related to the Littlewood–Paley Theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies **63**, Princeton University Press, 1970.

[115] E. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*, with the assistance of T. Murphy, Princeton University Press, 1993.

[116] E. Stein and R. Shakarchi, *Fourier Analysis*, Princeton University Press, 2003.

[117] E. Stein and R. Shakarchi, *Complex Analysis*, Princeton University Press, 2003.

[118] E. Stein and R. Shakarchi, *Real Analysis*, Princeton University Press, 2005.

[119] E. Stein and R. Shakarchi, *Functional Analysis*, Princeton University Press, 2011.

[120] E. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, Princeton University Press, 1991.

[121] E. Stout, *The Theory of Uniform Algebras*, Bogden & Quigley, 1971.

[122] K. Stromberg, *Introduction to Classical Real Analysis*, Wadsworth, 1981.

[123] K. Stromberg, *Probability Theory for Analysts*, lecture notes prepared by K. Ravindran, Chapman & Hall, 1994.

[124] D. Stroock, *Probability Theory: An Analytic View*, 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press, 2011.

[125] D. Stroock, *Essentials of Integration Theory for Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, 2011.

[126] M. Stroppel, *Locally Compact Groups*, European Mathematical Society, 2006.

[127] D. Sullivan, *Linking the universalities of Milnor–Thurston, Feigenbaum, and Ahlfors–Bers*, in *Topological Methods in Modern Mathematics*, 543–564, Publish or Perish, 1993.

[128] C. Swartz, *Elementary Functional Analysis*, World Scientific, 2009.

[129] M. Taibleson, *Fourier Analysis on Local Fields*, Mathematical Notes **15**, Princeton University Press, 1975.

[130] F. Treves, *Topological Vector Spaces, Distributions, and Kernels*, Dover, 2006.

[131] N. Varopoulos, L. Saloff-Coste, and T. Coulhon, *Analysis and Geometry on Groups*, Cambridge University Press, 1992.

[132] M. Väth, *Integration Theory: A Second Course*, World Scientific, 2002.

[133] R. Wheeden and A. Zygmund, *Measure and Integral*, Dekker, 1977.

[134] A. Wilansky, *Modern Methods in Topological Vector Spaces*, McGraw-Hill, 1978.

[135] R. Zimmer, *Essential Results of Functional Analysis*, University of Chicago Press, 1990.

[136] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series*, Volumes I, II, 3rd edition, with a foreword by R. Fefferman, Cambridge University Press, 2002.